Appendix Table 1: NTPS Response Rates by University

NC	Employment	Survey	Traditional	Adjusted	Quality Prep	Opportunities
University	Rate	Responses	Response Rate	Response Rate	Mean & SD	to Learn Mean & SD
University 1	70.34	52	37.41	26.31	4.12 (0.65)	3.63 (0.79)
University 2	71.06	519	46.67	33.16	3.96 (0.66)	3.44 (0.64)
University 3	78.03	75	52.82	41.21	3.93 (0.61)	3.54 (0.52)
University 4	73.75	26	56.52	41.68	3.97 (0.71)	3.59 (0.69)
University 5	60.87	24	64.86	39.48	4.11 (0.47)	3.53 (0.67)
University 6	41.38	6	50.00	20.69	4.11 (0.79)	3.35 (0.77)
University 7	64.64	79	49.38	31.92	3.97 (0.65)	3.38 (0.62)
University 8	66.36	35	53.03	35.19	3.99 (0.56)	3.63 (0.62)
University 9	39.29	8	57.14	22.45	4.04 (0.56)	3.59 (0.80)
University 10	59.46	12	27.27	16.21	4.36 (0.51)	4.07 (0.65)
University 11	72.36	535	46.56	33.69	4.05 (0.63)	3.58 (0.64)
University 12	65.52	72	62.07	40.67	3.92 (0.78)	3.40 (0.82)
University 13	46.67	46	50.55	23.59	4.32 (0.48)	3.84 (0.45)
University 14	60.59	59	46.83	28.37	4.08 (0.83)	3.45 (0.71)
University 15	62.92	40	46.51	29.26	3.94 (0.69)	3.41 (0.81)
University 16	67.21	24	40.00	26.88	4.06 (0.74)	3.67 (0.70)
University 17	68.42	13	37.14	25.41	4.09 (0.62)	3.60 (0.52)
University 18	42.22	43	44.33	18.72	4.12 (0.63)	3.56 (0.71)
University 19	61.96	37	38.14	23.63	4.17 (0.61)	3.65 (0.59)
University 20	66.99	42	50.60	33.90	4.19 (0.51)	3.68 (0.57)
University 21	57.03	39	43.33	24.71	3.98 (0.61)	3.51 (0.67)
University 22	86.08	90	53.25	45.84	4.53 (0.51)	4.05 (0.62)
University 23	51.22	19	65.51	33.55	4.30 (0.48)	3.88 (0.65)
University 24	77.01	28	47.46	36.55	3.91 (0.69)	3.48 (0.76)
University 25	63.29	48	39.34	24.89	4.04 (0.58)	3.55 (0.72)
University 26	60.00	4	20.00	12.00	4.25 (0.42)	3.24 (0.87)
University 27	72.73	16	55.17	40.13	4.09 (0.73)	3.63 (0.64)
University 28	69.48	101	44.69	31.05	3.86 (0.67)	3.26 (0.65)
University 29	70.93	31	52.54	37.27	4.06 (0.65)	3.60 (0.66)
University 30	83.33	41	44.09	36.74	3.99 (0.64)	3.56 (0.72)
University 31	80.38	35	42.68	34.31	3.90 (0.79)	3.33 (0.63)
University 32	62.96	9	50.00	31.48	3.97 (0.80)	3.46 (0.51)
University 33	66.48	278	45.13	30.00	3.92 (0.62)	3.38 (0.64)
University 34	68.38	120	45.28	30.96	3.77 (0.58)	3.43 (0.56)
University 35	75.06	429	43.33	32.52	3.89 (0.68)	3.39 (0.62)
University 36	72.96	300	41.10	29.99	4.02 (0.66)	3.50 (0.64)
University 37	43.01	18	43.90	18.88	4.38 (0.44)	3.77 (0.58)
University 38	65.48	194	37.96	24.86	3.80 (0.62)	3.34 (0.68)
University 39	69.91	269	39.39	27.54	3.89 (0.69)	3.48 (0.68)
University 40	73.58	34	41.46	30.51	4.20 (0.68)	3.58 (0.66)
University 41	64.39	28	33.74	21.73	4.31 (0.51)	3.71 (0.59)

Note: This table displays one-year employment rates, the number of survey responses, and survey response rates for each North Carolina TPP. Traditional response rates represent the number of respondents divided by the total number of first-year teachers who received the NTPS. Adjusted response rates multiply the traditional response rate by the one-year employment rate. For each TPP, this table also displays descriptive data (mean and standard deviation) for the summary measures for Quality of Teacher Preparation and Opportunities to Learn.

Appendix Table 2: Factor Loadings for NTPS Items

NTPS Items	Factor 1	Factor 2	Factor 3
Perceptions of Teacher Preparation Quality			
Collaborate with colleagues to improve student learning	0.42	0.56	0.24
Set challenging and appropriate goals for student learning and performance	0.44	0.62	0.29
Empower students to become self-directed and productive learners	0.35	0.67	0.34
Maintain discipline and an orderly, purposeful learning environment	0.22	0.64	0.42
Work with parents and families to better understand students and to support their learning	0.23	0.47	0.55
Develop positive and supportive relationships with students	0.30	0.76	0.24
Create an environment of high expectations for all students	0.39	0.70	0.24
Teach in ways that support English language learners	0.39	0.72	0.23
	0.22	0.24	0.75
Teach in ways that support students with diverse ethnic, racial, cultural, and socioeconomic backgrounds	0.30	0.34	0.66
Teach in ways that support special education students	0.18	0.24	0.76
Teach in ways that support academically gifted students	0.32	0.25	0.65
Develop a classroom environment that promotes respect and group responsibility	0.35	0.70	0.31
Teach the concepts, knowledge, and skills of your discipline	0.70	0.34	0.07
Align instruction with state standards	0.73	0.29	0.03
Relate classroom teaching to the real world	0.67	0.39	0.24
Use knowledge of student learning and curriculum to plan instruction	0.69	0.38	0.23
Develop a variety of assessments	0.70	0.26	0.33
Provide purposeful feedback to students to guide their learning	0.68	0.29	0.36
Differentiate instruction	0.57	0.29	0.51
Use technology in the classroom to improve learning outcomes	0.59	0.21	0.38
Help students think critically to solve problems	0.65	0.31	0.37
Develop students' questioning and discussion skills	0.64	0.33	0.41
Analyze student performance data	0.68	0.22	0.40
Adapt practice based on research and student performance data	0.65	0.24	0.45
Opportunities to Learn			
Study stages of child development and learning	0.14	0.47	0.36
Develop strategies for managing student behavior	0.18	0.83	0.30
Develop strategies for establishing classroom procedures	0.30	0.81	0.23
Develop strategies for teaching English language learners	0.11	0.25	0.67
Develop strategies for teaching students from diverse racial, ethnic, cultural, and socioeconomic backgrounds	0.26	0.26	0.66
Develop strategies for teaching students with special needs	0.08	0.13	0.78
Develop strategies for teaching students who are academically gifted	0.27	0.22	0.65
Develop strategies for teaching students of varying ability	0.35	0.22	0.70
Apply state or national standards to instruction	0.77	0.15	0.07
Plan units and lessons	0.80	0.19	0.03
Create formative and summative student assessments	0.81	0.12	0.22
Analyze student assessment data/work to adjust instruction	0.71	0.16	0.38
Provide meaningful and specific academic feedback to students	0.66	0.22	0.43
Develop instructional strategies to promote students' critical thinking skills	0.68	0.21	0.43

Note: This table displays factor loadings from exploratory factor analysis on the Perceptions of Teacher Preparation Quality and Opportunities to Learn sections of the NTPS. All factor loadings of 0.40 or above are bolded. We label the three factors as *Instruction* (factor 1), *Supportive Learning Environments* (factor 2), and *Teaching Diverse Learners* (factor 3).

Appendix Table 3: Unique Teacher Counts in Models

	Quality of Teacher Preparation		Opportunities to Learn				
	1st Year 2nd Year		1st Year	2 nd Year			
	Teachers	Teachers	Teachers	Teachers			
Completer-Level Measures: Teacher Value-Added							
Rich Covariate Adjustment (OLS)	2236	2449	1570	1796			
School Fixed Effect	1537	1718	925	1081			
Completer-Level Measures: Teacher Evaluation Ratings							
Rich Covariate Adjustment (OLS)	3235	3193	2320	2316			
School Fixed Effect	2545	2501	1591	1573			
Completer-Level Measures: Teacher Retention							
Rich Covariate Adjustment (OLS)	3453	3453	2509	2509			
School Fixed Effect	2765	2765	1776	1776			

Note: This table displays counts of unique teachers contributing to completer-level analyses of value-added estimates, evaluation ratings, and retention. We provide counts from rich covariate adjustment (OLS) and school fixed effect models.

Appendix Table 4: Results from Models Excluding Classroom and School Covariates

Appendix Table 4: Results from Models Excluding Classroom and School Covariates								
		her Preparation	Opportunities to Learn					
	1st Year	2 nd Year	1 st Year	2 nd Year				
	Teachers	Teachers	Teachers	Teachers				
Completer-Level Measures: Teacher Value-Added								
Summary	0.029	0.012	0.036	0.010				
Response	(0.020)	(0.020)	(0.024)	(0.023)				
Instruction	0.015	-0.011	0.026	0.003				
Instruction	(0.019)	(0.020)	(0.024)	(0.023)				
Supportive	0.050*	0.049*	0.080**	0.065**				
Learning	(0.019)	(0.020)	(0.023)	(0.023)				
Environment	, ,	, , ,	, , ,	, ,				
Teaching Diverse	-0.008	-0.014	-0.019	-0.030				
Learners	(0.019)	(0.018)	(0.023)	(0.022)				
Observation	3,147	3,361	2,239	2,484				
Count		·	2,239	<i>2</i> ,404				
Completer-Level Mo	easures: Teacher E	valuation Ratings						
Summary	0.064**	0.056**	0.060**	0.051**				
Response	(0.013)	(0.014)	(0.016)	(0.015)				
Instruction	0.021+	0.022	0.018	0.016				
Ilisti uction	(0.013)	(0.014)	(0.015)	(0.015)				
Supportive	0.065**	0.067**	0.042**	0.071**				
Learning	(0.013)	(0.014)	(0.015)	(0.016)				
Environment		, , ,						
Teaching Diverse	0.031*	0.011	0.049**	0.019				
Learners	(0.012)	(0.014)	(0.015)	(0.016)				
Observation	3,235	3,159	2,320	2,316				
Count	3,233	3,139	2,320	2,310				
Completer-Level Mo	easures: Teacher Ro							
Summary	0.009^{*}	0.016*	0.010*	0.008				
Response	(0.005)	(0.006)	(0.005)	(0.007)				
Instruction	0.006	0.010	0.006	0.002				
Instruction	(0.005)	(0.006)	(0.005)	(0.007)				
Supportive	0.005	0.006	0.011+	0.015*				
Learning	(0.005)	(0.007)						
Environment	(0.003)	(0.007)	(0.005)	(0.008)				
Teaching Diverse	0.006	0.012+	0.002	-0.001				
Learners	(0.005)	(0.006)	(0.005)	(0.007)				
Observation	3,453	3,453	2,509	2,509				
Count	3,433	3,433	2,309	2,309				

Note: This table displays results from models assessing whether perceptions of TPPs predict the EVAAS estimates, NCEES evaluation ratings, and retention of first-year teachers and second-year teachers. Models exclude classroom and school covariates. Standard errors clustered at the teacher-level are in parentheses. +, *, and ** indicate statistical significance at the 0.10, 0.05, and 0.01 levels, respectively.

Appendix Table 5: Do Completer Perceptions of TPPs Predict Teacher Outcomes? University Fixed Effect Models

		her Preparation	Opportunities to Learn		
	1 st Year	2 nd Year	1st Year	2 nd Year	
	Teachers	Teachers	Teachers	Teachers	
Completer-Level Med	asures: Teacher Va	alue-Added			
Summary	0.018	0.012	0.024	0.003	
Response	(0.020)	(0.020)	(0.025)	(0.024)	
Instruction	0.009	-0.010	0.018	-0.007	
mstruction	(0.019)	(0.020)	(0.025)	(0.024)	
Supportive	0.036+	0.047*	0.069**	0.063**	
Learning	(0.019)	(0.021)	(0.024)	(0.024)	
Environment		, ,			
Teaching Diverse	-0.008	-0.013	-0.024	-0.031	
Learners	(0.019)	(0.019)	(0.025)	(0.023)	
Observation	3,147	3,361	2,239	2,484	
Count		-	2,237	2,464	
Completer-Level Med	asures: Teacher E	valuation Ratings			
Summary	0.058**	0.052**	0.049**	0.042**	
Response	(0.013)	(0.014)	(0.016)	(0.016)	
Instruction	0.022+	0.026+	0.015	0.015	
mstruction	(0.013)	(0.014)	(0.015)	(0.016)	
Supportive	0.057**	0.061**	0.042**	0.067**	
Learning	(0.013)	(0.014)	(0.015)	(0.017)	
Environment		, ,			
Teaching Diverse	0.025*	0.006	0.035*	0.008	
Learners	(0.012)	(0.014)	(0.015)	(0.017)	
Observation	3,235	3,159	2,320	2,316	
Count			2,320	2,510	
Completer-Level Med					
Summary	0.011*	0.016*	0.010^{+}	0.009	
Response	(0.005)	(0.007)	(0.005)	(0.007)	
Instruction	0.007	0.010	0.005	0.000	
	(0.005)	(0.007)	(0.005)	(0.007)	
Supportive	0.005	0.006	0.011*	0.019*	
Learning	(0.005)	(0.007)	(0.006)	(0.008)	
Environment				·	
Teaching Diverse	0.007	0.012+	0.003	0.000	
Learners	(0.005)	(0.006)	(0.005)	(0.007)	
Observation Count	3,453	3,453	2,509	2,509	

Note: This table displays results from models assessing whether perceptions of TPPs predict the EVAAS estimates, NCEES evaluation ratings, and retention of first-year teachers and second-year teachers. All models include a university fixed effect. Standard errors clustered at the teacher-level are in parentheses. +, *, and ** indicate statistical significance at the 0.10, 0.05, and 0.01 levels, respectively.

Appendix Table 6: Perceptions of Teacher Preparation Quality and Teacher Outcomes

NTPS Items—Teacher Preparation Quality	Value- Added	Evaluation Ratings	Retention
Callabarreta mith callacture to improve student laconing	0.004	0.041**	0.006
Collaborate with colleagues to improve student learning	(0.023)	(0.015)	(0.005)
Set challenging and appropriate goals for student learning and	0.048*	0.080**	0.006
performance	(0.023)	(0.015)	(0.006)
	0.063**	0.074**	0.001
Empower students to become self-directed and productive learners	(0.022)	(0.014)	(0.006)
Maintain dissipline and an audusty mymosoful learning anying mont	0.063**	0.061**	0.011*
Maintain discipline and an orderly, purposeful learning environment	(0.019)	(0.013)	(0.005)
Work with parents and families to better understand students	0.000	0.041**	0.010*
and to support their learning	(0.019)	(0.012)	(0.004)
Develop modifies and gramoutive relationships with students	0.031	0.072**	0.005
Develop positive and supportive relationships with students	(0.024)	(0.016)	(0.006)
Create an anxironment of high expectations for all students	0.026	0.065**	0.010
Create an environment of high expectations for all students	(0.026)	(0.016)	(0.006)
Touch in ways that support English language learners	-0.005	0.028*	0.003
Teach in ways that support English language learners	(0.018)	(0.012)	(0.004)
Teach in ways that support students with diverse ethnic, racial, cultural,	0.019	0.053**	0.007
and socioeconomic backgrounds	(0.021)	(0.013)	(0.005)
Touch in ways that support special advection students	-0.001	0.034**	0.009+
Teach in ways that support special education students	(0.019)	(0.012)	(0.005)
Touch in ways that support academically gifted students	0.013	0.050**	0.009+
Teach in ways that support academically gifted students	(0.019)	(0.012)	(0.005)
Develop a classroom environment that promotes respect and group	0.041+	0.062**	0.020**
responsibility	(0.023)	(0.016)	(0.006)
Teach the concepts, knowledge, and skills of your discipline	0.022	0.050**	0.006
reach the concepts, knowledge, and skins of your discipline	(0.025)	(0.017)	(0.006)
Align instruction with state standards	0.025	0.035*	0.009
Aligh instruction with state standards	(0.024)	(0.015)	(0.006)
Relate classroom teaching to the real world	0.013	0.054**	0.005
Relate classfoom teaching to the fear world	(0.022)	(0.015)	(0.006)
Use knowledge of student learning and curriculum to plan instruction	0.035	0.054**	0.014^{*}
Ose knowledge of student learning and curriculum to plan histraction	(0.024)	(0.016)	(0.006)
Develop a variety of assessments	0.029	0.057**	0.011^{*}
Develop a variety of assessments	(0.021)	(0.014)	(0.005)
Provide purposeful feedback to students to guide their learning	0.030	0.048**	0.010^{+}
Provide purposerui reedoack to students to guide their rearning	(0.021)	(0.014)	(0.005)
Differentiate instruction	0.021	0.043**	0.006
Differentiate histraction	(0.022)	(0.014)	(0.005)
Use technology in the classroom to improve learning outcomes	0.010	0.012	0.009+
Ose technology in the classroom to improve learning outcomes	(0.021)	(0.014)	(0.005)
Help students think critically to solve problems	0.030	0.052**	0.010+
Theip students tillik critically to solve problems	(0.022)	(0.014)	(0.006)
Develop students' questioning and discussion skills	0.017	0.051**	0.006
Develop students questioning and discussion skins	(0.023)	(0.014)	(0.005)
A natura atridant narfarmanas data	0.027	0.045**	0.008
Analyze student performance data	(0.021)	(0.014)	(0.005)
Adopt prostice based on research and student market and at	0.015	0.053**	0.010*
Adapt practice based on research and student performance data	(0.020)	(0.014)	(0.005)

Note: This table displays results from models assessing whether perceptions of TPP quality predict the EVAAS estimates, NCEES evaluation ratings, and retention of first-year teachers. Each survey item is entered into a separate regression model. Standard errors clustered at the teacher-level are in parentheses. +, *, and ** indicate statistical significance at the 0.10, 0.05, and 0.01 levels, respectively.

Appendix Table 7: Opportunities to Learn and Teacher Outcomes

NTDC Harry Organization to I com-	Value-	Evaluation	Datamtian
NTPS Items—Opportunities to Learn	Added	Ratings	Retention
Study stages of child development and learning	-0.032	0.017	-0.000
Study stages of child development and learning	(0.026)	(0.016)	(0.006)
Develop strategies for managing student behavior	0.079**	0.063**	0.018**
Develop strategies for managing student behavior	(0.026)	(0.017)	(0.006)
Develop strategies for establishing classroom procedures	0.086**	0.057**	0.012^{*}
Develop strategies for establishing classroom procedures	(0.025)	(0.016)	(0.006)
Develop strategies for teaching English language learners	0.004	0.031*	0.008
Develop strategies for teaching English language learners	(0.023)	(0.015)	(0.005)
Develop strategies for teaching students from diverse racial, ethnic,	0.061*	0.048**	-0.001
cultural, and socioeconomic backgrounds	(0.025)	(0.016)	(0.005)
Develop strategies for teaching students with special needs	-0.004	0.041**	$\boldsymbol{0.010}^*$
Develop strategies for teaching students with special needs	(0.024)	(0.015)	(0.005)
Develop strategies for teaching students who are academically gifted	-0.023	0.037*	0.005
Develop strategies for teaching students who are academically grited	(0.024)	(0.015)	(0.005)
Develop strategies for teaching students of varying ability	0.015	0.046**	0.004
Develop strategies for teaching students of varying ability	(0.027)	(0.016)	(0.005)
Apply state or national standards to instruction	0.051+	0.012	0.002
Appry state of national standards to histraction	(0.026)	(0.016)	(0.005)
Plan units and lessons	0.030	0.008	0.007
r ian units and lessons	(0.027)	(0.018)	(0.006)
Create formative and summative student assessments	0.031	0.044**	0.009+
Create formative and summative student assessments	(0.025)	(0.016)	(0.005)
Analyza student assessment deta/work to adjust instruction	0.012	0.047**	0.011^{*}
Analyze student assessment data/work to adjust instruction	(0.024)	(0.015)	(0.005)
Provide meaningful and specific academic feedback to students	0.024	0.047**	0.007
r rovide meaningful and specific academic feedback to students	(0.025)	(0.015)	(0.005)
Develop instructional strategies to promote students' critical thinking	0.021	0.037*	0.013*
skills	(0.024)	(0.017)	(0.005)

Note: This table displays results from models assessing whether perceptions of opportunities to learn in TPPs predict the EVAAS estimates, NCEES evaluation ratings, and retention of first-year teachers. Each survey item is entered into a separate regression model. Standard errors clustered at the teacher-level are in parentheses. +, *, and ** indicate statistical significance at the 0.10, 0.05, and 0.01 levels, respectively.

Appendix Table 8: Results by Response Rate

	Quality of Teacher Preparation		Opportunities to Learn	
	Response Rates	Response Rates	Response Rates	Response
	>= 45%	< 45 %	>= 45%	<i>Rates</i> < 45 %
Teacher Value-Added (1st Year Teac	hers)			
Summary Dasmansa	0.037	0.022	0.023	0.047
Summary Response	(0.027)	(0.029)	(0.032)	(0.036)
Instruction	0.027	0.004	0.032	0.037
Histruction	(0.025)	(0.030)	(0.033)	(0.038)
Symmontics I coming Environments	0.047^{+}	0.054+	0.091**	0.052
Supportive Learning Environments	(0.026)	(0.028)	(0.030)	(0.038)
Tanahina Diyansa Laannana	-0.007	-0.009	-0.043	0.002
Teaching Diverse Learners	(0.025)	(0.031)	(0.029)	(0.039)
Observation Count	1,786	1,361	1,276	963
Teacher Evaluation Ratings (1st Yea	r Teachers)			
C	0.027+	0.098**	0.026	0.090**
Summary Response	(0.016)	(0.019)	(0.022)	(0.021)
T	0.006	0.032+	-0.019	0.058*
Instruction	(0.016)	(0.019)	(0.020)	(0.023)
Constitution Francisco	0.031+	0.094**	0.022	0.068**
Supportive Learning Environments	(0.016)	(0.019)	(0.019)	(0.022)
Tarahina Diagona I assuran	0.013	0.049**	0.043*	0.039+
Teaching Diverse Learners	(0.016)	(0.019)	(0.020)	(0.022)
Observation Count	1,825	1,410	1,304	1,016
Teacher Retention (Return for a 2nd	Year)			
G D	0.007	0.011	0.010	0.012
Summary Response	(0.007)	(0.007)	(0.007)	(0.008)
To at months on	0.006	0.003	0.007	0.003
Instruction	(0.006)	(0.007)	(0.006)	(0.008)
Compositive I coming Environment	0.007	0.003	0.003	0.005
Supportive Learning Environments	(0.007)	(0.008)	(0.006)	(0.010)
To alian Diama I	-0.000	0.015+	0.007	0.015+
Teaching Diverse Learners	(0.006)	(0.008)	(0.007)	(0.009)
Observation Count	1,943	1,510	1,404	1,105

Note: This table displays results from models assessing whether perceptions of TPPs predict the EVAAS estimates, NCEES evaluation ratings, and retention of first-year teachers. Separate models are run for completers of preparation programs with response rates less than 45 percent and greater than/equal to 45 percent. These analyses focus on completer-level survey measures. Standard errors clustered at the teacher-level are in parentheses. +, *, and ** indicate statistical significance at the 0.10, 0.05, and 0.01 levels, respectively.