

References

- Agnew RAL (2008). John Forbes FRS (1787-1861). JLL Bulletin: Commentaries on the history of treatment evaluation (<https://www.jameslindlibrary.org/articles/john-forbes-frs-1787-1861>).
- Alcock T (1823). An essay of the education and duties of the general practitioner in medicine and surgery containing suggestions relating to the investigation of disease, and the registration of practical results. Transactions of the associated Apothecaries and Surgeon-Apothecaries of England and Wales 1: 75-135.
- Alcock T (1830). Lectures on practical and medical surgery. London: Burgess and Hill.
- Amrhein V et al (2019). Retire statistical significance. Nature 567: 305-307.
- Andrew J (1891). The Harveian oration. London: Allard.
- Bailey R, Howick J (2018). Did John Stuart Mill influence the design of controlled clinical trials? JLL Bulletin: Commentaries on the history of treatment evaluation (<https://www.jameslindlibrary.org/articles/john-stuart-mill-influence-design-controlled-clinical-trials/>).
- Baker RB, McCullough L (2009). Discourses of philosophical medical ethics. In: Baker RB, McCullough L eds. The Cambridge world history of medical ethics. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press, 284-290.
- Balfour TG (1854). Quoted in West C. Lectures on the Diseases of Infancy and Childhood. London: Longman, Brown, Green and Longmans.
- Barclay AW (1864). Medical errors: fallacies connected with the application of the inductive method of reasoning to the science of medicine. London: Churchill.
- Barclay AW (1881). The Harveian oration. Royal College of Physicians. London: Harrison.
- Bariéty M (1972). Louis et la méthode numérique. Clio medica 7: 177-183.
- Bartlett E (1844). An essay on the philosophy of medical science. Philadelphia: Lea and Blanchard.

Bernard C (1865). *Introduction à l'étude de la médecine expérimentale*. Paris: Flammarion.

Bisset Hawkins F (1829). *Elements of Medical Statistics*. London: Longman et al.

Bird A (2017). Systematicity, knowledge, and bias. How systematicity made clinical medicine a science. *Synthese*. DOI 10.1007/s11229-017-1342-y.

Bird A (2018). James Jurin and the avoidance of bias in collecting and assessing evidence on the effects of variolation. *JLL Bulletin: Commentaries on the history of treatment evaluation* (<https://www.jameslindlibrary.org/articles/james-jurin-and-the-avoidance-of-bias-in-collecting-and-assessing-evidence-on-the-effects-of-variolation/>).

Bishop D, Gill E (2019). Robert Boyle on the importance of reporting and replicating experiments. *JLL Bulletin: Commentaries on the history of treatment evaluation*. (<https://jameslindlibrary.org/articles/robert-boyle-on-the-importance-of-reporting-and-replicating-experiments/>).

Blane G (1819). *Elements of medical logick, or philosophical principles of the practice of physick*, 3rd. edition (1823). London: Underwood.

Black W (1789). *An arithmetical and medical analysis of the diseases and mortality of the human species*. London: Dilly. (2nd ed. reprinted. Farnborough, Hants: Gregg 1973).

Booth CC[†] (2002). John Haygarth FRS (1740-1827). *JLL Bulletin: Commentaries on the history of treatment evaluation* (<https://www.jameslindlibrary.org/articles/john-haygarth-frs-1740-1827/>).

Bothwell LE, Greene JA, Podolsky SH, Jones DS (2016). Assessing the gold standard – lessons from the history of RCTs. *New England Journal of Medicine* 374: 2175-2181.

Boylston AW (2008a). Zabdiel Boylston (1679/80-1766). *JLL Bulletin: Commentaries on the history of treatment evaluation* (<https://www.jameslindlibrary.org/articles/zabdiel-boylston-167980-1766/>).

Boylston AW (2008b). William Watson's use of controlled clinical experiments in 1768. JLL Bulletin: Commentaries on the history of treatment evaluation (<https://www.jameslindlibrary.org/articles/william-watsons-use-of-controlled-clinical-experiments-in-1767/>).

Boylston AW (2010). Thomas Nettleton and the dawn of quantitative assessments of the effects of medical interventions. JLL Bulletin: Commentaries on the history of treatment evaluation (<https://www.jameslindlibrary.org/articles/thomas-nettleton-and-the-dawn-of-quantitative-assessments-of-the-effects-of-medical-interventions/>).

Boylston AW (2012). The origins of inoculation. JLL Bulletin: Commentaries on the history of treatment evaluation (<https://www.jameslindlibrary.org/articles/the-origins-of-inoculation/>)

Boylston AW, Williams AE (2008). Zabdiel Boylston's evaluation of inoculation against smallpox. JLL Bulletin: Commentaries on the history of treatment evaluation (<https://www.jameslindlibrary.org/articles/zabdiel-boylstons-evaluation-of-inoculation-against-smallpox/>).

British and Foreign Medical Review (1841) 6 (2): 1-21.

Cabanis PJG (1798). *Du degré de certitude de la médecine*. Paris: Didot.

Canguilhem, G (1970–1980). Cabanis, Pierre-Jean-Georges. In: *Dictionary of Scientific Biography*. New York: Charles Scribner's Sons, vol 3: 1–3.

Chalmers I, Dukan E, Podolsky SH, Davey Smith G (2011). The advent of fair treatment allocation schedules in clinical trials during the 19th and early 20th centuries. JLL Bulletin: Commentaries on the history of treatment evaluation (<https://www.jameslindlibrary.org/articles/the-advent-of-fair-treatment-allocation-schedules-in-clinical-trials-during-the-19th-and-early-20th-centuries/>).

Chalmers J, Chalmers I, Tröhler U (2017). Helping physicians to keep abreast of the medical literature: Andrew Duncan and *Medical and Philosophical Commentaries, 1773-1795*. JLL Bulletin: Commentaries on the history of treatment evaluation

(<https://www.jameslindlibrary.org/articles/helping-physicians-keep-abreast-medical-literature-andrew-duncan-medical-philosophical-commentaries-1773-1795/>).

Clifton F (1732). The state of physick, ancient and modern, briefly considered: with a plan for the improvement of it. London: Nourse.

Coleman W (1990). Experimental physiology and statistical inference: The therapeutic trial in nineteenth century Germany. In: Krüger L, Gigerenzer G, and Morgan MS (eds). The probabilistic revolution. Cambridge MA–London: MIT Press, vol 2, pp 201-226.

Daston L (1995). Classical probability in the enlightenment. Princeton NJ: Princeton University Press.

Daviel J (1753): Sur une nouvelle méthode de guérir la cataracte par l'extraction du cristalin. Paris: Mémoires de l'Académie Royale de Chirurgie 2: 337–354.

Dean ME (2004). The trials of homeopathy. Essen: KVC Verlag.

Dean ME (2009). Comparative evaluation of homeopathy and allopathy within the Parisian hospital system, 1849-51. JLL Bulletin: Commentaries on the history of treatment evaluation.

de la Condamine CM (1754). Mémoire sur l'inoculation de la petite vérole. Lu a l'assemblée Condamine e publique de l'Académie royale des sciences, le mercredi 24 avril 1754. Paris: Durand.

de la Harpe P, Gabriel J-P (2010). Daniel Bernoulli, pionnier de modèles mathématiques en médecine. Images des mathématiques. CNRS, Janvier 12, n.p.

de Laplace PS (1820). Théorie analytique des probabilités, 3rd ed. In: Oeuvres complètes 7. Paris: Gauthier-Villars 1886.

de Laplace, PS (1995). Philosophical essay on probabilities. New York: Springer.

de Lavoisier de AL (1865). Oeuvres. Paris: Imprimerie Impériale, 3 (mémoires).

Dickersin K, Chalmers I (2010). Recognising, investigating and dealing with incomplete and biased reporting of clinical research: from Francis Bacon to the World Health Organisation. JLL Bulletin: Commentaries on

the history of treatment evaluation

(<https://www.jameslindlibrary.org/articles/Recognising-investigating-and-dealing-with-incomplete-and-biased-reporting-of-clinical-research-from-francis-bacon-to-the-World-Health-Organisation/>).

Donaldson IML (2016a). Antoine de Lavoisier's role in designing a single-blind trial to assess whether 'Animal Magnetism' exists. JLL Bulletin: Commentaries on the history of treatment evaluation

(<https://www.jameslindlibrary.org/articles/antoine-de-lavoisiers-role-in-designing-a-single-blind-trial-to-assess-whether-animal-magnetism-exists/>).

Donaldson IML (2016b). George Starkey's 1658 challenge to Galenists to compare their treatment results with his. JLL Bulletin: Commentaries on the history of treatment evaluation

(<https://www.jameslindlibrary.org/articles/george-starkeys-1658-challenge-to-galenists-to-compare-their-treatment-results-with-his/>).

Ephraim A (1893). Über die Bedeutung der statistischen Methode für die Medicin. [Volkmann's Sammlung Klinischer Vorträge N.F. Innere Medicin 24: pp 695 -716. Leipzig: Breitkopf und Härtel.

Edinburgh Medical Journal (1869). Review.14: 842-846.

Faure JF (1759). Recueil des pièces qui ont concouru pour le prix de l'Académie Royale de Chirurgie. Paris: Le Prieur, 8: 489-520.

Fick A (1866). Anwendung der Wahrscheinlichkeitsrechnung auf medicinische Statistik. In: The same. Die medicinische Physik, 2nd ed, Anhang, pp 416-439.

Fordyce G (1793). An attempt to improve the evidence of medicine. Transactions of a Society for the Improvement of Medical and Chirurgical Knowledge. London: Johnson.

Franklin B (1759). Some account on the success of inoculation for the small-pox in England and America. London: W Strahan.

Gavarret LDJ (1840). Principes généraux de statistique médicale: ou développement des règles qui doivent présider à son emploi. Paris: Bechet jeune & Labé.

Gillies D (2000). Philosophical theories of probability. London, New York: Routledge.

Gigerenzer G et al (1989). The empire of chance. How probability changed science and everyday life. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Gigerenzer G (2002). Reckoning with risk. Learning to live with uncertainty. London: Allen Lane, Penguin.

Gregory J (1772/1805). Lectures on the duties and qualifications of a physician (new ed.). Edinburgh: Creech.

Griesinger W (1848). Zur Revision der heutigen Arzneimittellehre. Archiv für Physiologische Heilkunde 7: 1-24.

Guy WA (1839). On the value of the numerical method as applied to science but especially to physiology and medicine. Journal of the (Royal) Statistical Society 2: 25-47.

Guy W (1860). Croonian Lectures. The numerical method, and its application to the science and art of medicine. British Medical Journal, Part I, (i)331-334; Part IV (i) 467-469; Part VI (ii) 593-597.

Hacking I (1975, repr.2006). The emergence of probability. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Hald A (1998). A history of mathematical statistics from 1750 to 1930. New York: Wiley-Interscience.

Hammerstein N (1995). Antisemitismus und die deutschen Universitäten. Frankfurt/M: Campus.

Hamilton L (1816). De synocho castrensi. Edinburgh: Ballantyne.

Hannaway C (2007). Louis, Pierre-Charles -Alexandre. In: Bynum WF and Bynum H (eds). Dictionary of medical biography. Westport, London: Greenwood, vol 3, pp 814-815.

Hawkins FB (1829). The elements of medical statistics. London: Longman, Rees, Orme, Brown & Green.

Haygarth J (1784). An inquiry into how to prevent smallpox. Chester and London: Monk & Johnson.

Haygarth J (1793). Sketch of a plan to exterminate the casual smallpox from Great Britain; and to introduce general inoculation. London: J Johnson.

Haygarth J (1805). A clinical history of diseases 1. Acute rheumatism. 2. Nodosity of the joints. London: Cadell and Davies.

Henle J (1844). Medicinische Wissenschaft und Empirie. Zeitschrift für rationelle Medizin 1:1-35.

Henle J (1846). Handbuch der rationellen Pathologie. Braunschweig, Vieweg, Vol. 1.

Hirschberg J (1874). Die mathematischen Grundlagen der medizinischen Statistik. Leipzig: Veit.

Hodgkin T (1854). Numerical method of conducting medical inquiries. Association Medical Journal, New series 2: 1090-1094.

Holmes OW (1861). Currents and countercurrents in medical science with other addresses and essays. Boston: Ticknor and Fields, 1861.

Howick J (2016). Aulus Cornelius Celsus and 'empirical' and 'dogmatic' medicine JLL Bulletin: Commentaries on the history of treatment evaluation (<https://www.jameslindlibrary.org/articles/aulus-cornelius-celsus-and-empirical-and-dogmatic-medicine/>).

Huber T (1959). Daniel Bernoulli, Physiologie und Statistik. Stuttgart: Schwabe.

Huth EJ (2005). Quantitative evidence for judgments on the efficacy of inoculation for the prevention of smallpox: England and New England in the 1700s. JLL Bulletin: Commentaries on the history of treatment evaluation (<http://www.jameslindlibrary.org/articles/quantitative-evidence-for-judgments-on-the-efficacy-of-inoculation-for-the-prevention-of-smallpox-england-and-new-england-in-the-1700s/>).

Huth EJ (2006). Jules Gavarret's Principes Généraux de Statistique Médicale: a pioneering text on the statistical analysis of the results of treatments. JLL Bulletin: Commentaries on the history of treatment evaluation (<http://www.jameslindlibrary.org/articles/jules-gavarrets-principes-generaux-de-statistique-medicale-a-pioneering-text-on-the-statistical-analysis-of-the-results-of-treatments/>).

Ineichen R (1994). Der „Viererfelddtest“ von Carl Liebermeister (Bemerkungen zur Entwicklung der medizinischen Statistik im 19.Jahrhundert). *Historia Mathematica* 21: 28-38.

Jessen W (1867). Zur analytischen Statistik. *Zeitschrift für Biologie* 3:128-136.

Jorland G, Opinel A and Weisz G eds (2005). *Body counts. Medical quantification in historical and sociological perspectives*. Montreal, Kingston, London, Ithaca: McGill-Queen's University Press.

Jurin J (1724). A letter to the learned Dr. Caleb Cotesworth, F.R.S. of the College of Physicians, London, and physician to St. Thomas's Hospital; containing a comparison between the danger of the natural small-pox, and that given by inoculation. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London*, 32:213-227.

Jürgensen T (1866). *Klinische Studien über die Behandlung des Abdominaltyphus mittelst des kalten Wassers*. Leipzig: Vogel.

Justman S (2017). James Lind and the disclosure of failure. *Journal of the Royal College of Physicians Edinburgh* 47: 384–387.

Jütte R (2013). The early history of placebo. *Complementary Therapies in Medicine* 21: 94-97.

Kaptchuk TJ (1998). Intentional ignorance: a history of blind assessment and placebo controls in medicine. *Bulletin of the History of Medicine* 72: 389-433.

La Berge AF (2005). Medical statistics at the Paris school. What was at stake? In: Jorland G, Opinel A and Weisz G (eds). *Body counts. Medical quantification in historical and sociological perspectives*. Montreal, Kingston, London, Ithaca: McGill-Queen's University Press, pp 89-108.

Lancet (1834-35). Essay Review of state of therapeutics. Vol 2: 81-87.

Lancet (1834-35). Essay review of PCA Louis. Pathological researches of phthisis. Introduction, notes, additions and an essay by Charles Cowan. Vol 2: 292-97.

Lancet 1836-37, vol 1:413-14.

Liebermeister, von C (1861). Bemerkungen über die Anwendung der Mathematik auf die physikalischen Wissenschaften. *Journal für praktische Chemie* 84: 416-419.

Liebermeister, von C (1877). Ueber Wahrscheinlichkeitsrechnung in Anwendung auf therapeutische Statistik. In: Volkmann R (ed). *Sammlung klinischer Vorträge*. Leipzig: Breitkopf und Härtel, 110, Innere Medizin, No. 39, pp 935-962.

Liebermeister C, Jürgensen T (1877). Treatment of fever; Principles of treatment of croupous pneumonia. London: The New Sydenham Society 71: pp 275-300; 314-348. Lilienfeld DE (1978). "The greening of epidemiology": Sanitary physicians and the London Epidemiological Society (1830-1870). *Bulletin of the History of Medicine* 52:503-528.

Lind J (1772). A treatise of the scurvy, 3rd ed. London: Crowder.

Louis PCA (1839). Anatomical, pathological and therapeutic researches on the yellow fever of Gibraltar of 1828 from observations by himself and M. Trousseau. Boston: Little and Brown.

Magnello E, Hardy A eds (2002). The road to medical statistics. Amsterdam-New York: Rodopi.

Marks HM (2005). When the state quotes lives: Eighteenth century quarrels over inoculation. In: Jorland G et al eds (2005), pp 51-64.

Martius F (1878). Die Principien der wissenschaftlichen Forschung in der Therapie. In: Volkmann R (ed). *Sammlung klinischer Vorträge*. Leipzig: Breitkopf und Härtel, 139, Innere Medizin No 47, 1169-1188.

Martius F (1881). Die numerische Methode (Statistik und Wahrscheinlichkeitsrechnung) mit besonderer Berücksichtigung ihrer Anwendung auf die Medicin. *Virchow's Archiv für pathologische Anatomie*...83:336-377.

Matthews JR (1995). Quantification and the quest for medical certainty. Princeton NY: Princeton University Press.

Matthews R (2017). Chancing it. The laws of chance and how they can work for you. London: Profile Books.

Matthews RAJ (2020a). The origins of the treatment of uncertainty in clinical medicine. Part 1: Ancient roots, familiar disputes. JLL Bulletin: Commentaries on the history of treatment evaluation (<https://www.jameslindlibrary.org/articles/the-origins-of-the-treatment-of-uncertainty-in-clinical-medicine-part-1-ancient-roots-familiar-disputes/>).

Matthews RAJ (2020b). The origins of the treatment of uncertainty in clinical medicine. Part 2: the emergence of probability theory and its limitations. JLL Bulletin: Commentaries on the history of treatment evaluation (<https://www.jameslindlibrary.org/articles/the-origins-of-the-treatment-of-uncertainty-in-clinical-medicine-part-2-the-emergence-of-probability-theory-and-its-limitations/>).

Miller G (1957). The adoption of inoculation for smallpox in England and France. Philadelphia: The University of Pennsylvania Press.

Milne I, Chalmers I (2014). Alexander Lesassier Hamilton's 1816 report of a controlled trial of bloodletting. JLL Bulletin: Commentaries on the history of treatment evaluation.

Morabia A (2007). Claude Bernard, statistics, and comparative trials. JLL Bulletin: Commentaries on the history of treatment evaluation (<http://www.jameslindlibrary.org/articles/claude-bernard-statistics-and-comparative-trials/>).

Müllener ER (1967). Pierre-Charles-Alexandre Louis' Genfer Schüler und die «Méthode numérique». *Gesnerus* 24:46-76.

Murphy TD (1981). Medical knowledge and statistical methods in early nineteenth century France. *Medical History* 25: 301-319.

Nettleton T (1722). Part of a letter from Dr. Nettleton, physician at Halifax, to Dr. Jurin, R. S. Sec concerning the inoculation of the small-pox, and the mortality of that distemper in the natural way. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London* 32:209-212.

Niebyl PC (1977). The English bloodletting revolution, or modern medicine before 1850. *Bulletin of the History of Medicine* 51: 464-483.

Oesterlen F (1852). *Medicinische Logik*. Tübingen: Laupp.

Oesterlen F (1855). *Medical logic*. London: New Sydenham Society.

Opinel A, Gachelin G (2010). French 19th century contributions to the development of treatments for diphtheria. JLL Bulletin: Commentaries on the history of treatment evaluation

(<http://www.jameslindlibrary.org/articles/french-19th-century-contributions-to-the-development-of-treatments-for-diphtheria/>).

Paré A (1575). 1575 Les oeuvres de M. Ambroise Paré conseiller, et premier chirurgien du Roy avec les figures & portraicts tant de l'Anatomie que des instruments de Chirurgie, & de plusieurs Monstres. Paris: Gabriel Buon.

Petersen J (1877). Hauptmomente in der geschichtlichen Entwicklung der medicischen Therapie. Kopenhagen: Höst.

Pickstone JV (2000). Ways of Knowing: A New History of Science, Technology and Medicine. Manchester: Manchester University Press.

Pinel P (1809). Traité médico-philosophique de l'aliénation mentale, 2nd ed. Paris:

Poisson SD (1837). Recherches sur la probabilité des jugements en matière criminelle et en matière civile, précédées des règles générales du calcul des probabilités. Paris: Bachelier.

Poisson, Dulong, Larrey and Double (1835). Recherches de statistique sur l'affection calculeuse, par M. le Docteur Civiale. Comptes rendus hebdomadaires des séances de l'Académie de Sciences [Statistical research on (urinary) stone, by M. Dr Civiale]. Paris: Bachelier, 167-177. (English translation reprinted 2001: Statistical research on conditions caused by calculi by Doctor Civiale. International Journal of Epidemiology 30:1246-1249).

Porter T (1986). The rise of statistical thinking 1820-1900. Princeton NY: Princeton University Press.

Porter T (1995). Trust in Numbers. The Pursuit of Objectivity in Science and Public Life. Princeton NJ: Princeton University Press.

Porter TM (2005). Medical quantification: Science, regulation and the state. In: Jorland et al (2005) p 394-401.

Prasad V, Cifu A (2011). Medical reversal: Why we must raise the bar before adopting new technologies. *Yale J Biol Med.* 84: 471–478.

Prasad V, Cifu A (2019). *Ending medical reversal*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press.

Radicke G (1858). Die Bedeutung und Werth arithmetischer Mittel mit besonderer Beziehung. *Archiv für physiologische Heilkunde NF 2*: 145-219.

Radicke G (1861). *On the importance and value of arithmetic means*. London: New Sydenham Society.

Richerand, de AB (1825). *Histoire des progrès récents de la chirurgie*. Paris: Béchet le Jeune.

Rothschuh KE (1968). Friedrich Oesterlen (1812-1877) und die Methodologie der Medizin. *Sudhoffs Archiv* 52: 97-129.

Rosenbach O (1891). *Grundlagen, Aufgaben und Grenzen der Therapie*. Wien-Leipzig: Urban und Schwarzenberg.

Rosenbach O (1896). Serumtherapie und Statistik. *Münchener Medicinische Wochenschrift* 43: 911-915,

Rosenbach O (1899). Der Kampf um die Zahl in der medicinischen Wissenschaft. *Münchener Medicinische Wochenschrift* 46:256-257.

Rosenbach O (1905). Die Diagnose als ätiologischer Factor. *Zeitschrift für klinische Medicin* 56:219-240.

Ruffieux C (2020). Les méthodes d'évaluation de nouveaux remèdes dans la première moitié du 19ème siècle: l'exemple des médecins genevois. *Gesnerus* 77: in press.

Rusnock A (2002). *Vital accounts. Quantifying health and population in Eighteenth-century England and France*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Scheuchzer JG (1729). *An account of the success of inoculating the small-pox in Great Britain, for the years 1727 and 1728: With a comparison between the mortality of the natural small-pox, and the miscarriages in that practice; as also some general remarks on its progress*. London: Peele.

Schlich T (2006). Risk and medical innovation. A historical perspective. In: Schlich T, Tröhler U eds. (2006): The risks of medical innovation. Risk perception and assessment in historical context. London-New York: Routledge, pp 1-19.

Schlich T, Tröhler U eds (2006). The risks of medical innovation. Risk perception and assessment in historical context. London- New York: Routledge.

Schweig G (1854). Auseinandersetzung der statistischen Methode in besonderem Hinblick auf das medicinische Bedürfniss. Archiv für physiologische Heilkunde 13: 305-355.

Seneta E, Seif F, Liebermeister H, Dietz K (2004). Carl Liebermeister (1833-1901): a pioneer of the investigation and treatment of fever and the developer of a statistical test. Journal of Medical Biography 12: 215-221.

Senn S (2004). Individual response to treatment: is it a valid assumption? British Medical Journal 329:966-68.

Sheynin OB (1976). P.S. Laplace's work on probability. Archive for History of Exact Sciences 16: 137-187.

Sheynin OB (1978). S.D. Poissons's work in probability. Archive for History of Exact Sciences 18: 245-300.

Sheynin OB (1982). On the history of medical statistics. Archive for History of Exact Sciences 26: 241-286.

Stigler S:M (1986). The history of statistics. Cambridge-London: Belknap Press of Harvard University Press, pp 62-70.

Stolberg M (2006). Inventing the randomized double-blind trial: The Nürnberg salt test of 1835. JLL Bulletin: Commentaries on the history of treatment evaluation
(<https://www.jameslindlibrary.org/articles/inventing-the-randomized-double-blind-trial-the-nurnberg-salt-test-of-1835/>)

Todd TJ (1831). The book of analysis or a new method of experience whereby the induction of the Novum Organon [of Bacon] is made easy of application to medicine, physiology...London: Murray.

Tröhler U (1984). Der Nobelpreisträger Theodor Kocher 1841-1917. Basel-Boston-Stuttgart: Birkhäuser.

Tröhler U (1987). Die Gewissheit der Chirurgie: Grundlagen klinisch-therapeutischer Bewertung um 1750. Praxis (Schweiz. Rundschau Medizin) 76: 958-961.

Tröhler U (2000). "To improve the evidence of medicine". The 18th century British origins of a critical approach. Edinburgh: Royal College of Physicians. (This book is freely available [here](#))

Tröhler U (2001). Commentary: 'Medical art' versus 'medical science': J. Civiale's statistical research on conditions caused by calculi at the Paris Academy of Sciences in 1835. International Journal of Epidemiology 30: 1152-1253.

Tröhler U (2003a). Edward Alanson 1782: responsibility in surgical innovation. JLL Bulletin: Commentaries on the history of treatment evaluation (<https://www.jameslindlibrary.org/articles/edward-alanson-1782-responsibility-in-surgical-innovation/>)

Tröhler U (2003b). James Lind and the evaluation of clinical practice. JLL Bulletin: Commentaries on the history of treatment evaluation (<https://www.jameslindlibrary.org/articles/james-lind-and-the-evaluation-of-clinical-practice/>).

Tröhler U (2003c). James Lind at Haslar Hospital 1758-1774: a methodological theorist. JLL Bulletin: Commentaries on the history of treatment evaluation (<https://www.jameslindlibrary.org/articles/james-lind-at-haslar-hospital-1758-1774-a-methodological-theorist/>).

Tröhler U (2005). Quantifying experience and beating biases: A new culture in Eighteenth-century British Clinical medicine. In: Jorland G et al eds. (2005) pp 19-50.

Tröhler U (2006). To assess and to improve: Practitioners' approaches to doubts linked with medical innovations 1720-1920. In: Schlich T, Tröhler U eds (2006) pp 20-37.

Tröhler U (2007). An early 18th century proposal for improving medicine by tabulating and analysing practice. JLL Bulletin: Commentaries on the history of treatment evaluation (<https://www.jameslindlibrary.org/articles/an-early-18th-century-proposal-for-improving-medicine-by-tabulating-and-analysing-practice/>)

Tröhler U (2010). The introduction of numerical methods to assess the effects of medical interventions during the 18th century: a brief history. JLL Bulletin: Commentaries on the history of treatment evaluation (<https://www.jameslindlibrary.org/articles/the-introduction-of-numerical-methods-to-assess-the-effects-of-medical-interventions-during-the-18th-century-a-brief-history/>).

Tröhler U (2013). William Cheselden's 1740 presentation of data on age-specific mortality after lithotomy. JLL Bulletin: Commentaries on the history of treatment evaluation. (<https://www.jameslindlibrary.org/articles/william-cheseldens-1740-presentation-of-data-on-age-specific-mortality-after-lithotomy/>).

Tröhler U (2014). Statistics and the British controversy about the effects of Joseph Lister's system of antisepsis for surgery, 1867-1890. Republished in the Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine 2015;108:280-287. JLL Bulletin: Commentaries on the history of treatment evaluation (<https://www.jameslindlibrary.org/articles/statistics-and-the-british-controversy-about-the-effects-of-joseph-listers-system-of-antisepsis-for-surgery-1867-1890/>)..

Trousseau A (1862) Conférences sur l'empirisme faites à la Faculté de médecine de Paris...Paris: Delahaye.

Trousseau A (1865). Clinique médicale de l'Hôtel-Dieu, 2e éd. Paris: Baillière.

Trousseau A (1868). Lectures on clinical medicine delivered at the Hôtel Dieu, Paris. London: The New Sydenham Society, XLII

Vandenbroucke JP (1998). Clinical investigation in the 20th century: the ascendancy of numerical reasoning. The Lancet 352: suppl. 12-16.

Verchère F (1908). Discussion sur le traitement opératoire du cancer. In: 2e Congrès de la Société Internationale de Chirurgie. Bruxelles: Hayez, Vol. I, 557-560.

Warner JH (1997). The therapeutic perspective: Medical practice, knowledge, and identity in America, 1820-1885. Princeton NJ: Princeton University Press.

Warner JH (2003). *Against the spirit of system: The French impulse in nineteenth-century American medicine*. Baltimore and London: Johns Hopkins Univ. Press.

Watson W (1768). *An account of a series of experiments, instituted with a view of ascertaining the most successful method of inoculating the smallpox*. London: Nourse.

Weber T (2003). Anti-semitism and philo-semitism among the British and German elite in Oxford and Heidelberg before the first World War. *English Historical Review* 118:86-119.

Weiner DB (2007). Pinel, Philippe. In: Bynum WF and Bynum H (eds). *Dictionary of Medical Biography*. Westport-London: Greenwood Press 4; 1008-1013.

Weisz G (1993). Academic debate and therapeutic reasoning in mid-19th century France. In: Löwy I et al (ed). *Medicine and change: Historical and sociological studies of medical innovation*. Paris: INSERM – J Libbey Eurotext, 287-315.

Weisz G (1995). *The medical mandarins*. New York-Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Wiesing U (1995). *Kunst oder Wissenschaft. Konzeptionen der Medizin in der deutschen Romantik*. Stuttgart-Bad Cannstadt: Fromann-Holzboog.

Wunderlich CRA (1841). *Wien und Paris: ein Beitrag zur Geschichte und Beurtheilung der gegenwärtigen Heilkunde in Deutschland und Frankreich*. Stuttgart: Ebner & Seubert; repr. 2012, USA, Nabu Press.

Wunderlich CRA (1851). Ein Plan zur festeren Begründung der therapeutischen Erfahrung. *CTA Schmidt's Jahrbücher der in-und ausländischen gesammten Medicin*. 41:106-111.