Appendix

Effect of co-payment on dental visits: A regression discontinuity analysis

Upul Cooray^{1,2}, Jun Aida¹, Richard G Watt², Georgios Tsakos², Anja Heilmann² Hirotaka Kato³, Sakura Kiuchi¹, Katsunori Kondo^{4,5}, Ken Osaka¹

¹Department of International and Community Oral Health, Tohoku University Graduate School of Dentistry, Sendai, Japan

²Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, University College London, London, United Kingdom

³Graduate School of Business Administration, Keio University, Japan

⁴Center for Preventive Medical Sciences, Chiba University, Chiba, Japan

⁵Center for Gerontology and Social Science, National Center for Geriatrics and Gerontology, Obu, Japan

	Treatment visits during past 12 months							Check-up visits during past 12 months					
Characteristics	Non missing $(n = 7,374)$		$\begin{array}{l} \text{Missing} \\ (n = 286) \end{array}$		Total (N=7,660)		Non missing $(n = 7,235)$		$\begin{array}{l} \text{Missing} \\ (n = 425) \end{array}$		Total (N=7,660)		
	n	Col%	n	Col%	N	Col%	n	Col%	n	Col%	N	Col%	
Sex													
Male	3,495	47.4%	112	39.2%	3,607	47.1%	3,443	47.6%	164	38.6%	3,607	47.1%	
Female	3,879	52.6%	174	60.8%	4,053	52.9%	3,792	52.4%	261	61.4%	4,053	52.9%	
Household Inco	ome (mill	ion yen/ y	r)										
<2	2,852	38.7%	100	35.0%	2,952	38.5%	2,800	38.7%	152	35.8%	2,952	38.5%	
>=2 to <3	1,520	20.6%	44	15.4%	1,564	20.4%	1,508	20.8%	56	13.2%	1,564	20.4%	
>=3 to <4	1,038	14.1%	22	7.7%	1,060	13.8%	1,028	14.2%	32	7.5%	1,060	13.8%	
>=4	701	9.5%	27	9.4%	728	9.5%	693	9.6%	35	8.2%	728	9.5%	
Missing	1,263	17.1%	93	32.5%	1,356	17.7%	1,206	16.7%	150	35.3%	1,356	17.7%	
Number of ren	naining te	eth											
No teeth	338	4.6%	26	9.1%	364	4.8%	332	4.6%	32	7.5%	364	4.8%	
1 to 9	938	12.7%	43	15.0%	981	12.8%	909	12.6%	72	16.9%	981	12.8%	
10 to 19	1,592	21.6%	47	16.4%	1,639	21.4%	1,544	21.3%	95	22.4%	1,639	21.4%	
>= 20	4,386	59.5%	157	54.9%	4,543	59.3%	4,334	59.9%	209	49.2%	4,543	59.3%	
Missing	120	1.6%	13	4.5%	133	1.7%	116	1.6%	17	4.0%	133	1.7%	

Appendix Table 1. Comparing characteristics of missing informations related to outcome variables

Appendix Table 2. Comparing imputed covariates before and after imputation

	Befor	re Imputation	Afte	After Imputation	
Variable	n	Col%	n	Col%	
Household Income					
<2	2,776	46.4%	3,353	46.8%	
>=2 to <3	1,496	25.0%	1,784	24.9%	
>=3 to <4	1,020	17.1%	1,214	17.0%	
>=4	687	11.5%	810	11.3%	
Total	5,979		7,161		
Number of remaining teeth					
No teeth	329	4.7%	334	4.7%	
1 to 9	903	12.8%	918	12.8%	
10 to 19	1,536	21.8%	1,562	21.8%	
>= 20	4,278	60.7%	4,347	60.7%	
Total	7,046		7,161		

Model type	Linear		Linear interaction		Quadratic		Quadratic interaction	
	Unweighted	Weighted	Unweighted	Weighted	Unweighted	Weighted	Unweighted	Weighted
Т	0.94 [0.73,1.21] 1.11***	0.95 [0.77,1.16] 1.11**	0.96 [0.80,1.16]	1.16*** [1.15,1.18] 0.93***	1.23* [1.02,1.47] 0.78*	1.21*** [1.19,1.23] 0.88***	1.49*** [1.44,1.54]	1.52*** [1.47,1.56]
Z T#Z	[1.04,1.17]	[1.03,1.18]	1.07 [1.00,1.15] 1.07*	0.93 [0.93,0.94] 1.23***	0.78 [0.64,0.96] 1.73***	0.88 [0.86,0.89] 1.37***	0.64*** [0.62,0.66] 1.92***	0.63*** [0.61,0.65] 1.95***
Z^2			[1.00,1.15]	[1.21,1.26]	[1.27,2.34] 0.93**	[1.33,1.41] 0.98***	[1.85,1.99] 0.88 ^{***}	[1.88,2.01] 0.88 ^{***}
$T#Z^2$					[0.88,0.97]	[0.97,0.98]	[0.87,0.89] 1.09*** [1.08,1.11]	[0.87,0.89] 1.10 ^{***} [1.09,1.11]
F_mi value	105.81	214.82	397.73	1285.88	7454.75	4795.42	4670.16	5928.08
N	7,161	7,161	7,161	7,161	7,161	7,161	7,161	7,161

Appendix Table 3: Comparison of overall f statistics for different functional forms of Z (outcome variable= check-up visits)

Exponentiated coefficients; 95% confidence intervals in brackets * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001

indicates interaction

Model type	Linear		Linear interaction		Quadratic		Quadratic interaction	
	Unweighted	Weighted	Unweighted	Weighted	Unweighted	Weighted	Unweighted	Weighted
Т	0.97	0.97	0.99	1.14^{***}	1.17^{*}	1.15^{***}	1.36***	1.38^{***}
	[0.79,1.19]	[0.83,1.13]	[0.87,1.13]	[1.13,1.16]	[1.00,1.38]	[1.13,1.17]	[1.33,1.40]	[1.34,1.42]
Ζ	1.09^{**}	1.09^{**}	1.05	0.95^{***}	0.85	0.94^{***}	0.72^{***}	0.71^{***}
	[1.03,1.14]	[1.03,1.15]	[1.00,1.11]	[0.94,0.96]	[0.71,1.01]	[0.92,0.96]	[0.70,0.75]	[0.69,0.74]
T#Z			1.07^{*}	1.19^{***}	1.49^{**}	1.21^{***}	1.61***	1.62^{***}
			[1.02,1.13]	[1.17,1.20]	[1.14,1.95]	[1.17,1.25]	[1.55,1.67]	[1.57,1.67]
Z^2					0.95^{*}	1.00	0.91***	0.91***
					[0.91,0.99]	[0.99,1.00]	[0.91,0.92]	[0.90,0.92]
$T#Z^2$							1.08^{***}	1.08^{***}
							[1.07,1.09]	[1.07,1.09]
F_mi value	181.55	372.80	843.35	2054.15	2489.94	3251.61	3349.30	3955.23
Ν	7,161	7,161	7,161	7,161	7,161	7,161	7,161	7,161

Appendix Table 4: Comparison of overall f statistics for different functional forms of Z (outcome variable= treatment visits)

Exponentiated coefficients; 95% confidence intervals in brackets * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001# indicates interaction

	Dental visits during past 12 months							
		ent visits	Check-up visits					
Variables		OR	OR					
	-	% CI]	[95% CI]					
	Unweighted	Weighted	Unweighted	Weighted				
RD estimate (co-efficient of T)	1.24^{***}	1.27***	1.39***	1.42***				
	[1.20,1.28]	[1.22,1.32]	[1.35,1.44]	[1.38,1.47]				
Age	0.83***	0.81^{***}	0.69^{***}	0.68^{***}				
	[0.80, 0.86]	[0.78,0.84]	[0.67,0.72]	[0.65,0.70]				
Eligibility (T) # Age	1.29***	1.31***	1.72^{***}	1.75***				
	[1.25,1.33]	[1.26,1.35]	[1.67,1.78]	[1.70,1.81]				
Age ² (2 nd order polynomials)	0.94^{***}	0.94^{***}	0.90^{***}	0.90^{***}				
	[0.94,0.95]	[0.93,0.95]	[0.89,0.91]	[0.89,0.90]				
Eligibility (T) # Age ²	1.08^{***}	1.10^{***}	1.11^{***}	1.11^{***}				
	[1.07,1.10]	[1.08,1.11]	[1.10,1.12]	[1.10,1.12]				
Sex								
Male	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference				
Female	1.16^{**}	1.23***	1.43***	1.53***				
	[1.05,1.28]	[1.10,1.37]	[1.29,1.58]	[1.31,1.78]				
Household income (yen/year)								
< 2 million	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference				
2 to 3 million	1.18	1.06	1.08	0.98				
	[0.98,1.43]	[0.89,1.26]	[0.90,1.28]	[0.84,1.15]				
3 to 4 million	1.22^{*}	1.15	1.12	1.06				
	[1.04,1.42]	[0.93,1.42]	[0.98,1.27]	[0.88,1.27]				
\geq 4 million	1.20	1.02	1.16^{*}	1.08				
	[0.92,1.56]	[0.86,1.20]	[1.04,1.31]	[0.97,1.20]				
Number of remaining teeth								
No teeth	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference				
1 to 9 teeth	3.53***	3.48^{***}	3.50***	3.07***				
	[2.69,4.64]	[2.71,4.46]	[2.59,4.74]	[2.32,4.04]				
10 to 19 teeth	5.18***	4.84^{***}	5.07***	4.45***				
	[4.21,6.36]	[4.01,5.83]	[3.57,7.22]	[3.12,6.35]				
More than 20 teeth	4.86^{***}	4.86^{***}	5.85***	5.44^{***}				
	[4.29,5.50]	[4.22,5.60]	[4.43,7.73]	[4.10,7.21]				
Ν	5895	5895	5895	5895				

Appendix Table 5. Results of complete case analysis (logistic regression models predicting dental visits during past 12 months): N= 5,895

OR: Odds Ratio; CI: Confidence interval

Standard errors are clustered around values of age-centered variable (cluster VCE)

* p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001

indicates interaction