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Appendix Table 1. Comparing characteristics of missing informations related to outcome variables

| Characteristics | Treatment visits during past 12 months |  |  |  |  |  | Check-up visits during past 12 months |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non missing$(\mathrm{n}=7,374)$ |  | Missing$(\mathrm{n}=286)$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ (\mathrm{N}=7,660) \end{gathered}$ |  | Non missing$(\mathrm{n}=7,235)$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Missing } \\ (\mathrm{n}=425) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ (\mathrm{N}=7,660) \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | n | Col\% | n | Col\% | N | Col\% | n | Col\% | n | Col\% | N | Col\% |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 3,495 | 47.4\% | 112 | 39.2\% | 3,607 | 47.1\% | 3,443 | 47.6\% | 164 | 38.6\% | 3,607 | 47.1\% |
| Female | 3,879 | 52.6\% | 174 | 60.8\% | 4,053 | 52.9\% | 3,792 | 52.4\% | 261 | 61.4\% | 4,053 | 52.9\% |
| Household Income (million yen/ yr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <2 | 2,852 | 38.7\% | 100 | 35.0\% | 2,952 | 38.5\% | 2,800 | 38.7\% | 152 | 35.8\% | 2,952 | 38.5\% |
| $>=2$ to <3 | 1,520 | 20.6\% | 44 | 15.4\% | 1,564 | 20.4\% | 1,508 | 20.8\% | 56 | 13.2\% | 1,564 | 20.4\% |
| $>=3$ to <4 | 1,038 | 14.1\% | 22 | 7.7\% | 1,060 | 13.8\% | 1,028 | 14.2\% | 32 | 7.5\% | 1,060 | 13.8\% |
| $>=4$ | 701 | 9.5\% | 27 | 9.4\% | 728 | 9.5\% | 693 | 9.6\% | 35 | 8.2\% | 728 | 9.5\% |
| Missing | 1,263 | 17.1\% | 93 | 32.5\% | 1,356 | 17.7\% | 1,206 | 16.7\% | 150 | 35.3\% | 1,356 | 17.7\% |
| Number of remaining teeth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No teeth | 338 | 4.6\% | 26 | 9.1\% | 364 | 4.8\% | 332 | 4.6\% | 32 | 7.5\% | 364 | 4.8\% |
| 1 to 9 | 938 | 12.7\% | 43 | 15.0\% | 981 | 12.8\% | 909 | 12.6\% | 72 | 16.9\% | 981 | 12.8\% |
| 10 to 19 | 1,592 | 21.6\% | 47 | 16.4\% | 1,639 | 21.4\% | 1,544 | 21.3\% | 95 | 22.4\% | 1,639 | 21.4\% |
| $>=20$ | 4,386 | 59.5\% | 157 | 54.9\% | 4,543 | 59.3\% | 4,334 | 59.9\% | 209 | 49.2\% | 4,543 | 59.3\% |
| Missing | 120 | 1.6\% | 13 | 4.5\% | 133 | 1.7\% | 116 | 1.6\% | 17 | 4.0\% | 133 | 1.7\% |

Appendix Table 2. Comparing imputed covariates before and after imputation

|  | Before Imputation |  | After Imputation |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Variable | n | $\mathrm{Col} \%$ | n | $\mathrm{Col} \%$ |
| Household Income | 2,776 | $46.4 \%$ | 3,353 | $46.8 \%$ |
| $<2$ | 1,496 | $25.0 \%$ | 1,784 | $24.9 \%$ |
| $>=2$ to $<3$ | 1,020 | $17.1 \%$ | 1,214 | $17.0 \%$ |
| $>=3$ to $<4$ | 687 | $11.5 \%$ | 810 | $11.3 \%$ |
| $>=4$ | 5,979 |  | 7,161 |  |
| $\quad$ Total |  |  |  |  |
| Number of remaining teeth |  | $4.7 \%$ | 334 | $4.7 \%$ |
| No teeth | 329 | $12.8 \%$ | 918 | $12.8 \%$ |
| 1 to 9 | 903 | $21.8 \%$ | 1,562 | $21.8 \%$ |
| 10 to 19 | 1,536 | $60.7 \%$ | 4,347 | $60.7 \%$ |
| $>=20$ | 4,278 |  | 7,161 |  |
| Total | 7,046 |  |  |  |

Appendix Table 3: Comparison of overall f statistics for different functional forms of Z (outcome variable= check-up visits)

| Model type | Linear |  | Linear interaction |  | Quadratic |  | Quadratic interaction |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unweighted | Weighted | Unweighted | Weighted | Unweighted | Weighted | Unweighted | Weighted |
| T | $\begin{gathered} 0.94 \\ {[0.73,1.21]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.95 \\ {[0.77,1.16]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.96 \\ {[0.80,1.16]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.16^{* * *} \\ {[1.15,1.18]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.23^{*} \\ {[1.02,1.47]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.21^{* * *} \\ {[1.19,1.23]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.49^{* * *} \\ & {[1.44,1.54]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.52^{* * *} \\ & {[1.47,1.56]} \end{aligned}$ |
| Z | $\begin{gathered} 1.11^{* *} \\ {[1.04,1.17]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.11^{* *} \\ {[1.03,1.18]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.07 \\ {[1.00,1.15]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.93^{* * *} \\ {[0.93,0.94]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.78^{*} \\ {[0.64,0.96]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.88^{* * *} \\ {[0.86,0.89]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.64^{* * *} \\ & {[0.62,0.66]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.63^{* * *} \\ & {[0.61,0.65]} \end{aligned}$ |
| T\#Z |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 1.07^{*} \\ {[1.00,1.15]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.23^{* * *} \\ {[1.21,1.26]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.73^{* * *} \\ {[1.27,2.34]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.37^{* * *} \\ {[1.33,1.41]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.92^{* * *} \\ & {[1.85,1.99]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.95^{* * *} \\ & {[1.88,2.01]} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathrm{Z}^{2}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.93^{* *} \\ {[0.88,0.97]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.98^{* * *} \\ {[0.97,0.98]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.88^{* * *} \\ & {[0.87,0.89]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.88^{* * *} \\ & {[0.87,0.89]} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathrm{T} \# \mathrm{Z}^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.09^{* * *} \\ & {[1.08,1.11]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.10^{* * *} \\ & {[1.09,1.11]} \end{aligned}$ |
| F_mi value | 105.81 | 214.82 | 397.73 | 1285.88 | 7454.75 | 4795.42 | 4670.16 | 5928.08 |
| $N$ | 7,161 | 7,161 | 7,161 | 7,161 | 7,161 | 7,161 | 7,161 | 7,161 |

Exponentiated coefficients; $95 \%$ confidence intervals in brackets
${ }^{*} p<0.05,{ }^{* *} p<0.01,{ }^{* * *} p<0.001$
\# indicates interaction

Appendix Table 4: Comparison of overall f statistics for different functional forms of Z (outcome variable= treatment visits)

| Model type | Linear |  | Linear interaction |  | Quadratic |  | Quadratic interaction |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unweighted | Weighted | Unweighted | Weighted | Unweighted | Weighted | Unweighted | Weighted |
| T | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.99 | 1.14*** | 1.17* | 1.15*** | 1.36*** | $1.38{ }^{* * *}$ |
|  | [0.79,1.19] | [0.83,1.13] | [0.87,1.13] | [1.13,1.16] | [1.00,1.38] | [1.13,1.17] | [1.33,1.40] | [1.34,1.42] |
| Z | 1.09** | 1.09 ** | 1.05 | $0.95{ }^{* * *}$ | 0.85 | 0.94*** | $0.72 * *$ | 0.71*** |
|  | [1.03,1.14] | [1.03,1.15] | [1.00, 1.11] | [0.94,0.96] | [0.71,1.01] | [0.92,0.96] | [0.70,0.75] | [0.69,0.74] |
| T\#Z |  |  | 1.07* | 1.19*** | $1.49{ }^{* *}$ | $1.21{ }^{* * *}$ | $1.61{ }^{* * *}$ | $1.62^{* * *}$ |
|  |  |  | [1.02,1.13] | [1.17,1.20] | [1.14,1.95] | [1.17,1.25] | [1.55,1.67] | [1.57,1.67] |
| $\mathrm{Z}^{2}$ |  |  |  |  | 0.95* | 1.00 | 0.91 *** | 0.91*** |
|  |  |  |  |  | [0.91,0.99] | [0.99,1.00] | [0.91,0.92] | [0.90,0.92] |
| T\#Z ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $1.08{ }^{* * *}$ | $1.08{ }^{* * *}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | [1.07,1.09] | [1.07,1.09] |
| F_mi value | 181.55 | 372.80 | 843.35 | 2054.15 | 2489.94 | 3251.61 | 3349.30 | 3955.23 |
| $N$ | 7,161 | 7,161 | 7,161 | 7,161 | 7,161 | 7,161 | 7,161 | 7,161 |

[^0]Appendix Table 5. Results of complete case analysis (logistic regression models predicting dental visits during past 12 months): $N=5,895$

| Variables | Dental visits during past 12 months |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Treatment visits <br> OR <br> [95\% CI] |  | Check-up visits OR [95\% CI] |  |
|  | Unweighted | Weighted | Unweighted | Weighted |
| RD estimate (co-efficient of T) | $\begin{aligned} & 1.24^{* * *} \\ & {[1.20,1.28]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.27^{* * *} \\ & {[1.22,1.32]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.39^{* * *} \\ & {[1.35,1.44]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.42^{* * *} \\ & {[1.38,1.47]} \end{aligned}$ |
| Age | $\begin{aligned} & 0.83^{* * *} \\ & {[0.80,0.86]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.81^{* * *} \\ & {[0.78,0.84]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.69^{* * *} \\ & {[0.67,0.72]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.68^{* * *} \\ & {[0.65,0.70]} \end{aligned}$ |
| Eligibility (T) \# Age | $\begin{aligned} & 1.29^{* * *} \\ & {[1.25,1.33]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.31^{* * *} \\ & {[1.26,1.35]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.72^{* * *} \\ & {[1.67,1.78]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.75^{* * *} \\ & {[1.70,1.81]} \end{aligned}$ |
| Age ${ }^{2}$ (2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ order polynomials) | $\begin{aligned} & 0.94^{* * *} \\ & {[0.94,0.95]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.94^{* * *} \\ & {[0.93,0.95]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.90^{* * *} \\ & {[0.89,0.91]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.90^{* * *} \\ & {[0.89,0.90]} \end{aligned}$ |
| Eligibility (T) \# Age ${ }^{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.08^{* * *} \\ & {[1.07,1.10]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.10^{* * *} \\ & {[1.08,1.11]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.11^{* * *} \\ & {[1.10,1.12]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.11^{* * *} \\ & {[1.10,1.12]} \end{aligned}$ |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |
| Male <br> Female | Reference $1.16^{* *}$ $[1.05,1.28]$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Reference } \\ & 1.23^{* * *} \\ & {[1.10,1.37]} \end{aligned}$ | Reference $1.43^{* * *}$ <br> [1.29,1.58] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Reference } \\ & 1.53^{* * *} \\ & {[1.31,1.78]} \end{aligned}$ |
| Household income ( yen/year) |  |  |  |  |
| $<2$ million <br> 2 to 3 million | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Reference } \\ & 1.18 \\ & {[0.98,1.43]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Reference } \\ & 1.06 \\ & {[0.89,1.26]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Reference } \\ & 1.08 \\ & {[0.90,1.28]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Reference } \\ & 0.98 \\ & {[0.84,1.15]} \end{aligned}$ |
| 3 to 4 million | $\begin{aligned} & 1.22^{*} \\ & {[1.04,1.42]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.15 \\ & {[0.93,1.42]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.12 \\ & {[0.98,1.27]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.06 \\ & {[0.88,1.27]} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\geq 4$ million | $\begin{aligned} & 1.20 \\ & {[0.92,1.56]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.02 \\ & {[0.86,1.20]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.16^{*} \\ & {[1.04,1.31]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.08 \\ & {[0.97,1.20]} \end{aligned}$ |
| Number of remaining teeth |  |  |  |  |
| No teeth 1 to 9 teeth | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Reference } \\ & 3.53^{* * *} \\ & {[2.69,4.64]} \end{aligned}$ | Reference $3.48^{* * *}$ <br> [2.71,4.46] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Reference } \\ & 3.50^{* * *} \\ & {[2.59,4.74]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Reference } \\ & 3.07^{* * *} \\ & {[2.32,4.04]} \end{aligned}$ |
| 10 to 19 teeth | $\begin{aligned} & 5.18^{* * *} \\ & {[4.21,6.36]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.84^{* * *} \\ & {[4.01,5.83]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.07^{* * *} \\ & {[3.57,7.22]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.45^{* * *} \\ & {[3.12,6.35]} \end{aligned}$ |
| More than 20 teeth | $\begin{aligned} & 4.86^{* * *} \\ & {[4.29,5.50]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.86^{* * *} \\ & {[4.22,5.60]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.85^{* * *} \\ & {[4.43,7.73]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.44^{* * *} \\ & {[4.10,7.21]} \end{aligned}$ |
| N | 5895 | 5895 | 5895 | 5895 |

OR: Odds Ratio; CI: Confidence interval
Standard errors are clustered around values of age-centered variable (cluster VCE )
${ }^{*} p<0.05,{ }^{* *} p<0.01,{ }^{* * *} p<0.001$
\# indicates interaction


[^0]:    Exponentiated coefficients; $95 \%$ confidence intervals in brackets
    ${ }^{*} p<0.05,{ }^{* *} p<0.01,{ }^{* * * *} p<0.001$
    \# indicates interaction

