Lateralized CBF analyses

The 10 ROIs (left and right hippocampus, IPL, ITG, mOFC, and rMFG) were entered into a MANCOVA simultaneously that adjusted for age, sex, APOE ɛ4 status, pulse pressure, FDG PET, and precentral gyrus CBF. Univariate tests are shown in Supplemental Table 1 and least significant difference pairwise are shown in Supplemental Figure 1.

Supplemental Table 1. Lateralized ROI univariate tests

ROI	F	р	η_p^2
Left Hippocampus	3.93	.022	.049
Right Hippocampus	3.40	.036	.042
Left IPL	2.25	.109	.029
Right IPL	2.54	.082	.032
Left ITG	4.64	.011	.057
Right ITG	5.31	.006	.065
Left mOFC	2.06	.131	.026
Right mOFC	1.24	.292	.016
Left rMFG	1.11	.333	.014
Right rMFG	0.32	.727	.004

IPL=inferior parietal lobe, ITG=inferior temporal gyrus, mOFC=medial orbitofrontal cortex, rMFG=rostral middle frontal gyrus.



Supplemental Figure 1. CBF by cognitive group across lateralized a priori regions of interest

t<.1, *p<.05, **p<.01. Model predicted CBF was adjusted for age, sex, APOE ε4 status, pulse pressure, FDG PET, and precentral gyrus CBF. CBF=Cerebral Blood Flow; CU=Cognitively unimpaired; Obj-SCD=Objectively-defined subtle cognitive decline; MCI=Mild cognitive impairment.

Exploratory analyses.

Pairwise comparisons of regional CBF in p-tau/A β positive participants by group are shown in Supplemental Figure 2. There were no significant pairwise differences in regional CBF by group in participants who were p-tau/A β negative.



Supplemental Figure 2. Pairwise comparisons of regional CBF in p-tau/Aβ positive participants by group.

t<.1, *p<.05, **p<.01. Model predicted CBF was adjusted for age, sex, APOE ε4 status, pulse pressure, FDG PET, and precentral gyrus CBF. CBF=Cerebral Blood Flow; CU=Cognitively unimpaired; Obj-SCD=Objectively-defined subtle cognitive decline; MCI=Mild cognitive impairment.