1

2 1. Data

3

4

I. Data										
Table S1. Dataset description										
Data name	Year	Data format	Coordinate system	Data source						
China provincial boundary	2017	Polygon shp	GCS_WGS_1984	Socioeconomic Data and						
				Applications Center						
				(SEDAC)						
Nanjing boundary	2017	Polygon shp	GCS_WGS_1984	NSTI-GEODATA.CN						
Nanjing DEM	2015	Geotiff	GCS_WGS_1984	United State Geological						
				Survey Website (USGS)						
Nanjing 1:250,000	2015	Point shp	GCS_WGS_1984	NSTI-GEODATA.CN						
inhabitants										
Distribution of employment	2015	Point shp	GCS_WGS_1984	National Bureau of						
population in Nanjing				Statistics of China (NBS)						
Historical data of land use	2000,	Raster	Krasovsky_1940_Al	NSTI-GEODATA.CN						
classification in Nanjing	2005,		bers							
	2010,									
	2015									
Nanjing road network	2017	Polyline shp	WGS 1984 UTM	Openstreetmap (OSM)						

Supplementary Material

5 6 Restricted construction areas

Note: All the input data are transformed to WGS_1984_UTM_Zone_50N for modelling process.

Polygon shp

2019

Table S2. Development indicator and goal transformation for Nanjing's Master Plan for 2035

Zone_50N

Mercator

WGS_1984_World_

NSTI-GEODATA.CN

Category	egory Indicator		Goal transformation	
Construction land	Total size of urban land	≤2150	Determine the minimum value	
development	construction (km ²)		of land conversion probability	
	Urban development	≤1998	Determine the maximum	
	boundary area (km ²)		amount of urban growth land	
Ecological land	Protected area of permanent	≥1960	Determine the basic farmland	
development	farmland (km ²)		location and area	
	Forest coverage (%)	≥31.5	Determine the minimum	
			forest cover area	
	Water coverage (%)	≥11.4	Determine the minimum	
			water cover area	
	Basic ecological protection	≥1403	Determine the minimum	
	area (km ²)		ecological protection area	
Shared land	Per capita land for urban	≥134	Determine the minimum	
development	and rural construction (m^2)		urban land area demand per	
-			capita under each scenario	

Note: Indicators and goal data were obtained from Master Plan of Nanjing 2035.

8 9

⁶ 7

10 2. LEAM model description

The Land Use Evolution and Impact Assessment Model (LEAM) is a robust tool for urban spatial 11 simulation. LEAM is generally a network analysis-based dynamic spatial model that uses cellular 12 automata (CA) as a core. Similar to the operational theory of CA, LEAM consists of a simulation 13 environment in a grid space where the cell properties would be transformed according to defined 14 transformation rules and vicinities. A set of initial drivers and projections will be imported as 15 referenced factors for the land use change simulation before the establishment of cell transformation 16 rules. The drivers cover physical geographic (water, soil, slope and other landforms) and 17 18 socio-economic (residence, employment, road network, administrative boundaries and other planning 19 areas) aspects. The urban land use change drivers indicate the complex interaction between the urban 20 system and the surrounding environment. All factors combine and interact in a variety of ways in the 21 model to assign probabilities of potential land use changes to each 30 m * 30 m cell in the studied grid 22 space. The distribution of this urban built-up land change probability values is the result of the general 23 superposition of local and global effects. The distribution includes the causal change mechanisms, 24 such as the accessibility of cells to city attractors, the constraints of the ecological environment, the state of the regional economy, the social-economic impact and the stochastic disturbance. Typically, 25 26 the final change possibility of each land use cell from urban unbuilt area to built-up area is defined as: 27

29

$$P_{i,t} = \alpha(A_{i,t} + \mathcal{N}(\theta_{i,t-1}))\mathcal{F}(R_{i,t}), \qquad (1)$$

30 where $P_{i,t}$ is the land use change probability for land use cell *i* at time step *t*, α is a stochastic 31 disturbance parameter that makes the generated patterns to be closer to reality, $F(R_{i,t})$ is the function of 32 multiple growth restrictions and planning zoning effects on land use types for land use cell *i* at time step *t* 33 and $A_{i,t}$ is the accessibility value for land use cell *i* at time step *t* and is defined as:

- 34
- 35

 $A_{i,t} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i,j} w_{i,j}}{n},$ (2)

36

39

where $a_{i,j}$ is the attraction power of land use cell *i* to urban attractor cell *j*, whereas $w_{i,j}$ is the corresponding weight and *n* is the total number of the attractors.

40 This study aims to quantify how urban built-up areas are shaped by location-choice factors including 41 population and employment centres, highway and major streets, forest and water resources and to compare 42 the agglomeration and dispersion of developed lands in different urban areas. The location-choice factors 43 are defined as attractors in this study under the assumption that they determine the surrounding development in a gravity-type function in which the attraction power decays with increased distances. This 44 gravity function can be determined through the shortest distance algorithm and data value from various 45 46 sources. This study uses Pan et al.'s (2018) parallel Stochastic Greedy Algorithm (SGA) to find the shortest distance and the inverse distance model to determine the attraction value for population, employment and 47 transportation attractors. In this inverse model, for each attractor j, its attraction to land use cell i is noted 48 49 as $a_{i,i}$ and is calculated as:

50

51
$$a_{i,j} = \sum_{k \in S_j} \frac{p_k}{d_{ij}},$$
 (3)

52

where S_k is the set of attractors of type *j*. p_k is the attraction value of the k_{th} attractor in S_k , d_{ij} is the distance between the k_{th} attractor in S_k and land use cell *i* calculated by SGA.

- 55 56
- 57 The attractor types are described below:
- 58 > Population attraction (S_1) . p_k is the total population at place k according to the 2010 Chinese Census. 59 A large a_{ij} indicate additional population or a close distance to the population centre.
- 60 \succ Employment attraction (S₂). p_k is the total employment at place k according to the Hoover data 61 directory. A large a_{ij} indicate additional employment or a close distance to the establishment.
- 62 \succ Transportation attraction (S₃). p_k is the posted speed at major road k. A large a_{ij} indicate a high 63 level of road classification or a close distance to major road.
- In addition, $N(\theta_{i,t-1})$ in formula (1) is the function convert the nearest neighbouring effects to a probability value, and is defined as:
- 67

64

68
$$N(\theta_{i,t-1}) = \frac{\sum_{1}^{k} (N_{pr,t-1} + \sigma_i)}{k},$$
 (4)

69

where $N_{pr,t-1}$ is the development possibility for neighbourhoods cells in time step t - 1, whereas σ_i is a spread coefficient over the total surrounding cells ($k \le 8$).

72 **3.** Scenario-based spatial dynamic modelling result



Probability Map for New Urban Built-up Land in Nanjing

Figure S1. Land use change probability map for Nanjing 2035, example of the comprehensive and coordinated development mode.

Land Use Change Forecast for Nanjing 2035





Figure S2. Land use changes forecast for Nanjing 2035 under four scenarios. (a) Natural growth mode. (b)
Rapid economic growth mode. (c) Ecological and sustainable development mode. (d) Comprehensive and
coordinated development mode.

	Scenario	EP-BL	UD-BL	Total changed	Total urban
		(km ²)	(km ²)	area (km²)	built-up area
					(km ²)
a	Natural growth	72.36	517.52	589.88	2,236.66
b	Rapid economic growth	55.75	441.64	497.39	2,144.17
c	Ecological and sustainable development	0	309.68	309.68	1,956.46
d	Comprehensive and coordinated	0	368.67	368.67	2,015.45

Table S3. Results of changed area and direction of urban land use for the four scenarios

Note: EP-BL and UD-BL refer to the changed land area from ecological preservation area to urban built-up 82

land and from undeveloped land to urban built-up land, respectively. 83