Appendix A.

Logistic regression models for 32-point Phonics Screening Check threshold values when the WARL was administered at three timepoints.

				95% CI for Odds Ratio			
Measure	$\mathbb{R}^2$	Variable	β (SE)	Lower	Odds	Upper	Wald
					Ratio		
WARL T1	**.379	WARL	-0.09	0.89	0.92	0.95	**23.80
			(0.02)				
		Constant	2.19	-	8.92	-	**12.70
			(0.61)				
WARL T3	**.429	WARL	-0.08	0.89	0.92	0.95	**27.70
			(0.02)				
		Constant	2.98	-	19.68	-	**17.06
			(0.72)				
WARL T4	**.399	WARL	-0.08	0.90	0.93	0.90	**27.44
			(0.01)				
		Constant	3.63	-	37.77	-	**17.55
			(0.87)				

*Note.* Model  $R^2$  = Nagelkerke statistic. WARL = Wheldall Assessment of Reading Lists. \* = p < .01; \*\* = p < .001.

Appendix B.

Logistic regression models for 32-point Phonics Screening Check threshold values when the WARN was administered at three timepoints.

				95% CI for Odds Ratio			
Measure	$\mathbb{R}^2$	Variable	β (SE)	Lower	Odds	Upper	Wald
					Ratio		
WARN T1	**.463	WARN	-0.34	0.62	0.71	0.81	**24.62
			(0.07)				
		Constant	3.49	-	32.83	-	**17.44
			(0.84)				
WARN T3	**.519	WARN	-0.30	0.66	0.74	0.83	**28.64
			(0.06)				
		Constant	3.85	-	46.78	-	**20.86
			(0.84)				
WARN T4	**.491	WARN	-0.24	0.72	0.79	0.85	**31.94
			(0.04)				
		Constant	4.06	-	58.16	-	**21.73
	2		(0.87)				

*Note.* Model R<sup>2</sup> = Nagelkerke statistic. WARN = Wheldall Assessment of Reading

Nonwords. \* = p < .01; \*\* = p < .001.

Appendix C.

 $Results\ from\ receiver\ operating\ characteristic\ (ROC)\ analyses\ with\ 32-point\ Phonics$ 

Screening Check threshold.

Measure	AUC	Specificity	Score
WARL T1	.831	61%	27.0
WARL T3	.852	65%	39.5
WARL T4	.842	64%	53.2
WARN T1	.863	55%	10.1
WARN T3	.888	73%	13.5
WARN T4	.872	64%	18.0

*Note.* AUC = Area under the curve; WARL = Wheldall Assessment of Reading Lists; WARN

<sup>=</sup> Wheldall Assessment of Reading Nonwords.