Table S1.

Regressions Predicting Coping Strategies from Attention to the Victim

	Coping response														
	Adult assistance			Friend assistance			Retaliation			Behavioral avoid.			Internalizing coping		
Predictor	B	SE	β	B	SE	β	B	SE	β	B	SE	β	B	SE	β
Total attention to actors	.88	1.00	.17	1.89	.88	.34*	-.60	.79	-.17	.48	.74	.13	1.11	.85	.27
Attention problems	-.60	.24	-.32*	.02	.27	.01	-.07	.19	-.05	.10	.22	.08	-.26	.21	-.18
Gender	.42	.20	.24*	.60	.22	.33**	-.38	.19	-.33*	.25	.14	.20†	.49	.14	.37***
Peer victimization (PV)	.31	.21	.30	.20	.31	.19	-.05	.17	-.08	-.07	.19	-.10	.24	.19	.30
Attention to victim	-.30	.28	-.24	-.39	.33	-.30	-.39	.29	-.47	-.07	.37	-.08	-.42	.33	-.44
Attention to victim × PV	-.12	.40	-.09	.39	.55	.28	.70	.23	.81**	.12	.37	.13	.20	.25	.20
Attention to victim × gender	.37	.26	.24	.08	.32	.05	.49	.21	.48*	.08	.30	.07	.24	.29	.20
PV × gender	-.11	.22	-.08	-.41	.31	-.31	.08	.17	.09	-.06	.20	-.06	-.15	.20	-.16
Attention to victim × PV × gender	-.13	.42	-.09	-.61	.56	-.42	-.64	.23	-.68**	-.30	.38	-.30	-.20	.27	-.18

Note. PV = Peer victimization. Behavioral avoid. = behavioral avoidance. Each attentional bias was examined in separate models and all outcomes were examined simultaneously.

† $p < .10$. * $p < .05$. < .01. *** $p < .001$.

Table S2.

Regressions Predicting Friend Assistance

Predictor	Seeking Friend Assistance			
	b	*SE*	β	R^2
	Attention to the Bully			
Total attention to actors	.93	1.36	.17	.14
Attention problems	-.06	.30	-.03	
Gender	.55	.24	.30*	
Peer victimization	.13	.31	.12	
Attention to bully	-.05	.25	-.05	
Attention to bully × peer victimization	-.14	.23	-.14	
Attention to bully × gender	-.05	.18	-.04	
Peer victimization × gender	-.34	.31	-.26	
Attention to bully × peer victimization × gender	.09	.24	.08	
	Attention to the Reinforcer			
Total attention to actors	.58	.58	.11	.20
Attention problems	-.08	.25	-.04	
Gender	.56	.20	.31**	
Peer victimization	.15	.26	.14	
Attention to reinforcer	.06	.52	.02	
Attention to reinforcer × peer victimization	-.14	.65	-.04	
Attention to reinforcer × gender	.86	.65	.20	
Peer victimization × gender	-.43	.27	-.33	
Attention to reinforcer × peer victimization × gender	-.86	.73	-.23	
	Attention to the Defender			
Total attention to actors	.45	.63	.08	.19
Attention problems	-.08	.29	-.04	
Gender	.56	.23	-.31*	
Peer victimization	.19	.29	.18	
Attention to defender	-.01	.35	.00	
Attention to defender × peer victimization	.51	.60	.16	
Attention to defender × gender	.79	.50	.18	
Peer victimization × gender	-.41	.28	-.31	
Attention to defender × peer victimization × gender	-.97	.68	-.25	

* $p < .05$. ** $p < .01$. Each attentional bias was examined in separate models and all outcomes were examined simultaneously.

Table S3.
Regressions Predicting Behavioral Avoidance

Predictor	b	SE	β	R^2
	Behavioral Avoidance
	Attention to the Bully
Total attention to actors	.87	.80	.23	.09
Attention problems	.09	.20	.07	
Gender	.27	.16	.22†	
Peer victimization	-.11	.18	-.15	
Attention to bully	-.09	.16	-.13	
Attention to bully × peer victimization	-.11	.18	-.16	
Attention to bully × gender	-.04	.14	-.04	
Peer victimization × gender	-.04	.20	-.05	
Attention to bully × peer victimization × gender	.01	.19	.02	
	Attention to the Reinforcer
Total attention to actors	.38	.47	.10	.11
Attention problems	.06	.19	.04	
Gender	.25	.14	.20†	
Peer victimization	-.10	.17	-.13	
Attention to reinforcer	-.13	.29	-.07	
Attention to reinforcer × peer victimization	.56	.33	.25†	
Attention to reinforcer × gender	.36	.36	.12	
Peer victimization × gender	-.08	.18	-.09	
Attention to reinforcer × peer victimization × gender	-1.02	.40	-.39**	
	Attention to the Defender
Total attention to actors	.38	.43	.10	.12
Attention problems	.13	.21	.10	
Gender	.29	.15	.24*	
Peer victimization	.04	.17	-.06	
Attention to defender	.20	.32	.12	
Attention to defender × peer victimization	.90	.44	.42*	
Attention to defender × gender	-.27	.39	-.09	
Peer victimization × gender	-.13	.17	-.15	
Attention to defender × peer victimization × gender	-1.13	.49	-.43*	

† $p < .10$. * $p < .05$. ** $p < .01$. Each attentional bias was examined in separate models and all outcomes were examined simultaneously.

Figure S1. Plot of attention to victim × peer victimization × gender predicting retaliation