## **Supplemental Materials**

## Supplemental Table 1. V<sub>T</sub> data from baseline/ post-rotenone monkey and baseline human <sup>18</sup>F-BCPP-EF scans

	MONKE	∕ (n=4)	HUMAN (n=30)	
ROI	Baseline	Post-block	-	
Centrum semiovale	N/A	N/A	10.9 ± 1.9	
Cerebral white matter	13.4 ± 3.0	10.6 ± 2	15.5 ± 3.0	
Cerebellum	26.2 ± 3.0	$16.2 \pm 1.4$	28.1 ± 4.9	
Frontal cortex	26.0 ± 1.0	$17.0 \pm 0.9$	$23.6 \pm 4.2$	
Temporal cortex	26.7 ± 1.9	17.1 ± 0.9	$23.4 \pm 3.8$	
Occipital cortex	$34.4 \pm 4.8$	20.6 ± 2.7	$26.2 \pm 4.6$	
Striatum	30.6 ± 1.9	19.3 ± 3.3	27.4 ± 5.2	
Hippocampus	23.4 ± 1.0	15.0 ± 1.2	$20.4 \pm 3.5$	
Parietal cortex	28.5 ± 1.7	$17.4 \pm 0.8$	$24.4 \pm 4.7$	
Cingulate	27.7 ± 1.8	$18.3 \pm 0.09$	$25.4 \pm 4.5$	

Supplemental	Table 2.	Summary	of injection	parameters

Group		Injected Activity (MBq)	Injected Mass (µg)				
All Subjects (n = 30)		89 ± 4	0.07 ± 0.04				
Test	(n = 5)	92 ± 3	$0.08 \pm 0.03$				
Retest	( n = 5)	91± 3	$0.08 \pm 0.02$				
Data are mean + SD. Davs between scans: 25 + 4							

Supplemental Table 3. Test-retest demographic information, radioligand injection
parameters and plasma analysis results

	Demographics			Injection F	Parameters	Plasma Analysis		
Group	Subject	Age	Sex	Injected Activity (MBq)	Injected Mass (µg)	f <sub>P</sub>	Plasma clearance rate (L.h <sup>-1</sup> )	Ppf <sup>90min</sup>
	001	26	М	91	0.04	0.068	96.9	19%
	002	29	F	98	0.05	0.085	84.0	18%
Test	003	54	F	90	0.12	0.057	84.0	19%
	004	25	F	93	0.08	0.083	68.7	24%
	005	49	М	90	0.10	0.066	72.7	24%
	001	26	М	92	0.11	0.067	125.7	13%
Detect	002	29	F	95	0.08	0.071	90.7	18%
Retest	003	54	F	88	0.05	0.050	86.8	17%
	004	25	М	89	0.09	0.075	109.5	17%
	005	49	М	89	0.09	0.062	106.0	15%

ROI	V <sub>T</sub> (mL/cm <sup>3</sup> )		$V_{T}/f_{p}$ (mL/cm <sup>3</sup> )		DVR-1		SUVR-1	
ROI	Test	Retest	Test	Retest	Test	Retest	Test	Retest
CS	9.0 ± 1.4	10.3 ± 1.5	130 ± 31	160 ± 41	-	-	-	-
BS	13.7 ± 2.1	15.0 ± 2.8	197 ± 50	$235 \pm 70$	$0.51 \pm 0.06$	$0.45 \pm 0.11$	0.5 ± 0.1	$0.5 \pm 0.1$
SN	$16.6 \pm 2.5$	$18.2 \pm 3.6$	$240 \pm 57$	286 ± 86	$0.84 \pm 0.06$	$0.77 \pm 0.14$	0.8 ± 0.1	$0.8 \pm 0.2$
THA	18.7 ± 3.7	$20.3\pm4.2$	272 ± 83	318 ± 99	1.06 ± 0.24	$0.97 \pm 0.22$	1.1 ± 0.3	$1.0 \pm 0.3$
STR	$23.9 \pm 5.0$	25.8 ± 5.5	347 ± 107	405 ± 127	1.63 ± 0.32	1.50 ± 0.31	$1.6 \pm 0.4$	$1.4 \pm 0.4$
GP	$22.5 \pm 3.6$	$24.9 \pm 4.3$	328 ± 95	393 ± 127	1.50 ± 0.27	$1.42 \pm 0.24$	1.4 ± 0.3	1.3 ± 0.3
VSTR	25.8 ± 4.5	29.1 ± 5.6	373 ± 103	455 ± 135	1.84 ± 0.18	1.82 ± 0.31	1.8 ± 0.3	1.7 ± 0.3
CAU	18.5 ± 5.6	19.5 ± 6.1	269 ± 102	308 ± 119	1.01 ± 0.46	0.88 ± 0.41	$1.0 \pm 0.6$	0.8 ±0.5
PUT	26.7 ± 4.8	$29.0 \pm 5.3$	388 ± 113	455 ± 135	1.95 ± 0.32	1.82 ± 0.26	1.9 ± 0.4	1.8 ± 0.4
PC	22.2 ± 4.7	$24.7 \pm 4.9$	$320 \pm 90$	387 ± 112	1.43 ± 0.18	1.39 ± 0.19	1.5 ± 0.3	1.5 ± 0.3
AC	21.0 ± 4.2	$22.8 \pm 4.5$	$303 \pm 83$	357 ± 104	1.30 ± 0.13	1.21 ± 0.23	1.4 ± 0.2	1.3 ± 0.3
FTCX	19.5 ± 3.8	21.2 ± 3.9	282 ± 79	331 ± 94	1.15 ± 0.19	1.06 ± 0.20	1.2 ± 0.3	1.1 ± 0.3
INS	21.6 ± 3.6	$23.5 \pm 4.2$	313 ± 83	368 ± 104	1.39 ± 0.12	1.29 ± 0.20	1.4 ± 0.2	1.3 ± 0.2
HIP	17.4 ± 3.1	18.5 ± 3.3	252 ± 73	290 ± 84	$0.92 \pm 0.20$	$0.80 \pm 020$	$0.9 \pm 0.3$	0.8 ± 0.2
AMY	18.6 ± 3.3	19.9 ± 3.3	268 ± 72	311 ± 82	1.05 ± 0.11	0.94 ± 0.17	1.0 ± 0.2	$0.9 \pm 0.2$
TL	19.8 ± 2.9	$21.6 \pm 3.6$	286 ± 71	337 ± 91	$1.19 \pm 0.09$	$1.10 \pm 0.16$	1.2 ± 0.2	1.1 ± 0.2
PL	20.0 ± 4.1	22.0 ± 4.2	290 ± 87	345 ± 103	1.20 ± 0.24	1.14 ± 0.16	1.3 ± 0.3	1.2 ± 0.2
CER	22.3 ± 3.2	24.2 ± 4.5	323 ± 84	380 ± 112	1.47 ± 0.17	1.35 ± 0.17	1.5 ± 0.2	1.4 ± 0.2

Supplemental Table 4. Outcome parameters derived from <sup>18</sup>F-BCPP-EF test and retest scans

CS: centrum semiovale, BS: brainstem, SN: substantia nigra, THA: thalamus, STR: Striatum, GP: globus pallidus, VSTR: ventral striatum, CAU: caudate, PUT: putamen, PC: posterior cingulate cortex, AC: anterior cingulate cortex, FTCX: frontal cortex, INS: insular cortex, HIP: hippocampus, AMY; amygdala, TL: temporal lobe, PL: parietal lobe, CER: cerebellum. Kinetic outcome measures derived using MA1. Data are mean± SD. N=5

		•	<sup>18</sup> F-BC	PP-EF out	come mea	asures			
ROI	$V_{T}$ (mL/cm <sup>3</sup> ) $V_{T}/f_{p}$ (m			′f <sub>p</sub> (mL/cm	mL/cm <sup>3</sup> ) DVR-1				
	TRV (%)	aTRV (%)	ICC	TRV (%)	aTRV (%)	ICC	TRV (%)	aTRV (%)	ICC
CS	11 ± 15	17 ± 5	0.43	20 ± 21	25	0.51	-	-	-
BS	9 ± 13	13 ± 7	0.62	17 ± 16	21	0.62	-9 ± 27	16	0.17
SN	11 ± 15	15 ± 8	0.56	19 ± 18	24	0.55	-2 ± 21	14	0.10
THA	9 ± 11	13 ± 4	0.75	17 ± 17	22	0.71	-6 ± 8	8	0.92
STR	9 ± 13	14 ± 5	0.75	17 ± 18	22	0.70	-5 ± 8	7	0.92
GP	10 ± 13	14 ± 6	0.59	18 ± 18	22	0.65	-2 ± 13	10	0.79
VSTR	12 ± 16	17 ± 8	0.47	21 ± 19	26	0.54	2 ± 8	7	0.84
CAU	6 ± 13	12 ± 6	0.90	14 ± 18	20	0.83	-12 ± 8	12	0.96
PUT	9 ± 13	14 ± 5	0.66	17 ± 18	23	0.65	-3 ± 10	8	0.81
PC	12 ± 15	17 ± 6	0.64	20 ± 20	25	0.56	0.3 ± 4	3	0.97
AC	9 ± 13	13 ± 7	0.70	17 ± 18	21	0.62	-5 ± 11	9	0.81
FTCX	9 ± 14	14 ± 7	0.67	17 ± 20	22	0.61	-6 ± 8	8	0.89
INS	8 ± 11	12 ± 6	0.71	16 ± 15	20	0.66	-6 ± 12	10	0.62
HIP	8 ± 9	10 ± 5	0.79	16 ± 14	19	0.73	-9 ± 17	15	0.72
AMY	7 ± 11	10 ± 6	0.73	15 ± 15	19	0.68	-11 ± 16	14	0.59
TL	9 ± 11	12 ± 6	0.65	17 ± 15	20	0.63	-6 ± 15	11	0.38
PL	10 ± 15	16 ± 5	0.65	18 ± 21	25	0.62	-2 ± 6	4	0.92
CER	8 ± 11	12 ± 6	0.70	16 ± 15	20	0.68	-6 ± 14	10	0.34
mean	9	14	0.65	17	22	0.64	-5	10	0.69
SD	2	2	0.10	2	2	0.08	4	4	0.28

Supplemental Table 5. Test-retest reproducibility of 2TC derived

SD220.10220.08440.28TRV: Test-retest variability, aTRV: absolute test-retest variability, ICC: intra-class correlation. Data are<br/>mean± SD.CS: centrum semiovale, BS: brainstem, SN: substantia nigra, THA: thalamus, STR: Striatum,<br/>GP: globus pallidus, VSTR: ventral striatum, CAU: caudate, PUT: putamen, PC: posterior cingulate<br/>cortex, AC: anterior cingulate cortex, FTCX: frontal cortex, INS: insular cortex, HIP: hippocampus, AMY;<br/>amydala, TL: temporal lobe, PL: parietal lobe, CER: cerebellum. N = 5

Supplem	ental Table 6. 1	Fest-retest rep	roducibil	ity of <sup>18</sup> F-	BCPP-EF D	VR and SUVR
		DVR			SU	VR
ROI	TRV (%)	aTRV (%)	ICC	TRV (%)	aTRV (%)	ICC
CS	-	-	-	-	-	-
BS	$-4 \pm 7$	6	0.31	$-4 \pm 4$	4	0.83
SN	$-4\pm8$	7	0.15	$-3\pm4$	4	0.86
THA	$-5\pm5$	5	0.84	$-4\pm3$	4	0.94
STR	-5 ±5	5	0.87	$-6\pm3$	6	0.91
GP	$-3\pm 6$	5	0.83	$-5\pm4$	5	0.89
VSTR	-1 ± 6	5	0.81	$-4 \pm 4$	5	0.89
CAU	$-7\pm4$	7	0.94	$-7\pm5$	7	0.94
PUT	$-5\pm6$	6	0.75	$-6\pm3$	6	0.89
PC	-1 ± 1	2	0.97	-1 ± 2	2	0.98
AC	$-4\pm5$	5	0.78	$-4\pm2$	4	0.94
FTCX	$-4 \pm 3$	5	0.86	-4 ± 1	4	0.94
INS	$-5\pm7$	6	0.51	$-5\pm3$	5	0.86
HIP	$-7\pm8$	9	0.63	$-5\pm4$	5	0.89
AMY	$-6\pm 6$	6	0.57	$-5\pm4$	5	0.79
TL	$-5\pm8$	7	0.16	$-4 \pm 4$	4	0.81
PL	$-3\pm4$	3	0.88	$-2\pm4$	4	0.94
CER	$-5\pm8$	7	0.31	$-5\pm4$	5	0.82
Mean	-4	6	0.66	-4	5	0.89
SD	2	2	0.27	1	1	0.05

TRV: Test-retest variability, aTRV: absolute test-retest variability, ICC: intra-class correlation. Data are mean± SD.CS: centrum semiovale, BS: brainstem, SN: substantia nigra, THA: thalamus, STR: Striatum, GP: globus pallidus, VSTR: ventral striatum, CAU: caudate, PUT: putamen, PC: posterior cingulate cortex, AC: anterior cingulate cortex, FTCX: frontal cortex, INS: insular cortex, HIP: hippocampus, AMY; amygdala, TL: temporal lobe, PL: parietal lobe, CER: cerebellum. N=5.

Supplemental Table 7. Test-retest reproducibility of $^{18}$ F-BCPP-EF K <sub>1</sub>								
ROI	Test	Retest	TRV (%)	aTRV (%)	ICC			
CS	$0.16\pm0.02$	$0.17\pm0.03$	$8\pm14$	13	0.53			
BS	$\textbf{0.29} \pm \textbf{0.02}$	$0.29\ \pm 0.05$	$1\pm16$	12	0.50			
SN	$0.32\pm0.02$	$0.34\pm0.06$	$6\pm 20$	17	-0.03			
THA	$0.39\pm0.05$	$0.40\pm0.07$	$0\pm16$	13	0.61			
GP	$0.36\pm0.04$	$\textbf{0.36} \pm \textbf{0.08}$	-1 ± 17	11	0.78			
VSTR	$0.42\pm0.06$	$0.41\pm0.10$	$-5\pm15$	12	0.75			
CAU	$0.30\pm0.09$	$\textbf{0.29} \pm \textbf{0.09}$	-3 ± 15	12	0.89			
PUT	$\textbf{0.46} \pm \textbf{0.08}$	$\textbf{0.45} \pm \textbf{0.11}$	$-4 \pm 15$	12	0.83			
PC	$0.50\pm0.08$	$\textbf{0.49} \pm \textbf{0.10}$	$-2\pm17$	14	0.67			
AC	$0.45\pm0.08$	$\textbf{0.43} \pm \textbf{0.10}$	-5 ± 15	12	0.79			
FTCX	$0.41\pm0.07$	$0.41\pm0.10$	$-2\pm16$	12	0.78			
INS	$0.45\pm0.07$	$0.45\pm0.10$	$-2\pm14$	11	0.80			
HIP	0.34 ±0.05	$\textbf{0.36} \pm \textbf{0.07}$	$2\pm15$	13	0.73			
AMY	$0.33\pm0.05$	$0.34\pm0.07$	$3\pm15$	13	0.70			
TL	$\textbf{0.38} \pm \textbf{0.04}$	$\textbf{0.38} \pm \textbf{0.08}$	$0\pm15$	10	0.68			
PL	$0.40\pm0.06$	$0.41\pm0.10$	$1\pm15$	11	0.78			
CER	$0.44\pm0.05$	$0.44\pm0.08$	-1 ± 10	10	0.70			
Mean	0.38	0.38	-0.1	12.3	0.68			
SD	0.08	0.08	3.6	1.7	0.21			

TRV: Test-retest variability, aTRV: absolute test-retest variability, ICC: intra-class correlation. Data are mean± SD.CS: centrum semiovale, BS: brainstem, SN: substantia nigra, THA: thalamus, STR: Striatum, GP: globus pallidus, VSTR: ventral striatum, CAU: caudate, PUT: putamen, PC: posterior cingulate cortex, AC: anterior cingulate cortex, FTCX: frontal cortex, INS: insular cortex, HIP: hippocampus, AMY; amygdala, TL: temporal lobe, PL: parietal lobe, CER: cerebellum. N=5.

## Application of Simultaneous Estimation (SIME) Method

In our implementation of SIME, we evaluated 100 possible  $V_{ND}$  values (0 to 10, step size = 0.01). We fitted 18 regions simultaneously with a constrained two tissue compartment model where  $V_{ND}$  (K<sub>1</sub>/k<sub>2</sub>) was constrained to be equal to the  $V_{ND}$  being evaluated. For each  $V_{ND}$  value, the residual sum of squares (RSS) from the constrained 2TC fit was calculated. The  $V_{ND}$  value which produced the minimal RSS was chosen as the optimal  $V_{ND}$  value for that subject. The process was repeated for all 30 18F-BCPP-EF scans to derive a mean, a standard deviation and a range of  $V_{ND}$  estimates.

## **Supplemental Figures**



Supplemental Fig 1. Individual model fits to (A) parent fraction, (B) plasma/blood and (C) input function data



**Supplemental Fig 2.** Bland-Altman plots for (A)  $V_T$ , (B)  $V_T/f_p$  (C) DVR-1, and (D) SUVR-1 derived under test and retest conditions. Values are colour coded by region of interest. Solid line indicates mean and dotted lines indicate  $\pm 1.9$  SD. All regions and subjects are included in the plots (18 ROIs, 5 subjects).



**Supplemental Fig 3.** Correlation between (A) test and retest derived regional SUVR (N=5) and (B) test and retest derived regional DVR (N=5)



**Supplemental Fig 4.** Correlation between (A) relative difference in test and retest derived K<sub>1</sub> ( $\Delta$ K<sub>1</sub> and relative difference in test and retest derived DVR ( $\Delta$ DVR) and (B) and relative difference in test and retest derived SUVR ( $\Delta$ SUVR) (N=5)



Supplemental Fig 5. Correlation between (A) K1 and DVR and (B) K1 and SUVR (N=30)