Appendix 1

Table 3: Details of work steam 1

	Activity	Work stream 1 objectives	Data collection method	
30-45	30-45 minute	Feasibility	Researcher observations of visit process recorded in handwritten note form	
	world ote visit	Feasibility	Start and finish time of visit recoded by researcher	
simu	ılations	Concurrent acceptability	Concurrent participant feedback collected using researcher handwritten notes	
	50 minute Retrospective discussion acceptability		Researcher facilitated participant discussion exploring retrospective acceptability of the remote visit including what features of the system could be improved recorded in written note form by the researcher.	
	inute stionnaire	Retrospective acceptability and feasibility	Anonymous participant questionnaire containing: Open ended questions aiming to capture the participant's qualitative assessment of the system retrospective acceptability. Likent scale usability questions derived from System Usability Score (SUS) (Brooke, 1996) which explored the participants' assessment of the system's feasibility, usability, learnability, and user experience (Maturudar et al., 2017b).	

Table 4: Details of work stream 2

5	Activity	Work Data collection method	
		objectives	
User consultations	On line a nonymous staff	Prospective acceptability	All Occupational Therapy staff (n=87) within the participating NHS Trust sent an online survey via Survey Monkey (SurveyMonkey.com, 2019) containing participant
	survey		information sheet and technology description 26 responses from 10 clinical areas
			 10 questions requiring likert scale (Likert, 1932), open text or multiple choice answers collecting data on staff roles, clinical area, frequency of home visit completion and subjective opinion of the technology utility, benefits and drawbaci
	Staff presentation and discussion	Prospective acceptability	30 minute project presentation and discussion session to a clinical forum of self- selecting. Occupational Therapy staff from the participating NHS Trust (n= 30) facilitate
sercon	uiscusson		by the Clinical academic Occupational Therapist collecting feedback via anonymous hand written notes. Discussion focused on five specific questions regarding the benefit and drawbacks of the technology
š	PPI presentation and discussion	Prospective acceptability	30 minute project presentation and discussion to self selecting members of the participating NHS Trust's Therapeutics and Palliative Care Patient and Public
			Engagement Panel (n=3) undertaken by the clinical Occupational Therapist who collected feedback via anonymous hand written notes Discussion focused on five specific questions regarding the benefits and drawbacks of
1	1	1	the technology

Table 5: Details of work stream 3

Comment [JR1]: Table has been amended

Comment [JR2]: Delete this table

Comment [JR3]: Use this table instead

€	Activity	Work stream 3 objectives	Data collection method
	Self-reported narrative case study collection		Collection of five self-reported narrative case studies from three clinica Occupational Therapy services within the participating NHS Trust.

Table 6: Details of work stream 4

T	Activity	Work stream 4 objectives	Data collection method
	Exploration of	Exploration of prospective	Three key NHS Occupational Therapy services within the
			participating NHS asked to self-report information regarding
	visit resource utilisation		the duration, frequency, destination and staffing levels for a
		utilisation benefits	traditional access visits completed over the previous year