Table S1. Fit indices for the measurement invariance tests for effortful control, fearfulness and frustration in the n=209 sample

		Fit Indices						
		χ^2	df	Free parameters	RMSEA (95% CI)	CFI	TLI	WRMR
Effo	ortful control							
i	Configural invariance	101.710	45	99	.082 (.061104)	.982	.972	0.865
ii	Metric invariance	120.478	53	91	.083 (.063103)	.979	.971	1.034
iii	Strong invariance	231.123	<i>79</i>	65	.102 (.087117)	.952	.957	1.595
Fear								
i	Configural invariance	9.448	8	50	.031 (.000095)	.998	.995	0.417
ii	Metric invariance#	42.800	12	46	.118 (.081157)	.959	.932	1.033
iii	Strong invariance	303.685	25	33	.245 (.221270)	.633	.707	2.981
Frustration								
i	Configural invariance	11.250	8	52	.047 (.000105)	.997	.993	0.408
ii	Metric invariance	19.712	12	48	.059 (.000104)	.994	.990	0.641
iii	Strong invariance	77.057	26	34	.103 (.077130)	.959	.968	1.390

i. Configural invariance: Base model with factor loadings, item thresholds and residual variances all freely estimated and time (1 = Age 11, 2 = Age 19) as grouping variable.

Note. Rows in *italic* indicate worsened model fit based on chi-square difference testing (DIFFtest; p < .001) and thus rejection of measurement invariance.

Item residuals were allowed to correlate.

ii. Metric invariance: factor loadings are constrained to be equal over time, item thresholds and residual variances freely estimated

iii. Strong invariance: factor loadings and item thresholds are constrained to be equal over time, residual variances are freely estimated

Table S2. Adjusted R² results from the step 1 analyses including disorder load as a (a) linear), (b) quadratic, and (c) logarithmic polynomial to test linearity of the association between disorder load and Age19 personality (n=1089)

	Linear disorder load Adjusted R ²	Quadratic disorder load Adjusted R ²	Logarithmic disorder load Adjusted R ²
EC	.24	.24	.24
FE	.15	.14	.15
FR	.19	.19	.19

EC = effortful control FE = fear, FR = frustration. R^2 did not differ between models including linear disorder load versus quadratic and logarithmic disorder load as predictors, suggesting that the associations between disorder load and Age19 personality is likely linear.