

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4,762 ^a	1	,029		
Continuity Correction ^b	3,586	1	,058		
Likelihood Ratio	4,575	1	,032		
Fisher's Exact Test				,042	,031
Linear-by-Linear Association	4,734	1	,030		
N of Valid Cases	169				

Coppi 2009

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	44,950 ^a	1	,000		
Continuity Correction ^b	39,640	1	,000		
Likelihood Ratio	26,719	1	,000		
Fisher's Exact Test				,000	,000
Linear-by-Linear Association	44,771	1	,000		
N of Valid Cases	251				

Cho 2010

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3,893 ^a	1	,048		
Continuity Correction ^b	2,670	1	,102		
Likelihood Ratio	3,368	1	,066		
Fisher's Exact Test				,083	,058
Linear-by-Linear Association	3,861	1	,049		
N of Valid Cases	121				

Catanescu 2016

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7,702 ^a	1	,006		
Continuity Correction ^b	6,573	1	,010		
Likelihood Ratio	7,110	1	,008		
Fisher's Exact Test				,010	,006
Linear-by-Linear Association	7,658	1	,006		
N of Valid Cases	178				

Rajendran 2016

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	37,857 ^a	1	,000		
Continuity Correction ^b	36,170	1	,000		
Likelihood Ratio	32,293	1	,000		
Fisher's Exact Test				,000	,000
Linear-by-Linear Association	37,804	1	,000		
N of Valid Cases	719				

Total

Supplementary Table: 2x2 Contingency tables indicating the association between type of rupture (Post-EVAR vs De Novo) and type of treatment (Endovascular vs Open), for each study and for the total number of patients.