SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Enantioselective Synthesis of α-Amino Esters through Petasis Borono-Mannich Multicomponent Reaction of Potassium Trifluroborate Salts

Mengnan Tong, ^a Xiang Bai, ^a Xin Meng, ^a Jianfe Wang, ^a Tao Wang, ^b Xingyi Zhu ^{a,*} and Bin Mao ^{b,*}

^a Key Laboratory of Pharmaceutical Engineering of Ministry of Education, College of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Zhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou 310014, P.R. China.

^b Collaborative Innovation Center of Yangtze River Delta Region Green Pharmaceuticals, College of Pharmaceutical Science, Zhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou 310014, P. R. China

Table of Contents

1.	General Considerations			S 2
2.	Complete Data for Reaction Optimization			S3
3. 5	Synthesis of the BINOL Catalyst	4.	Synthesis of	S4
Potassium Heteroaryl Trifluoroborate Salts				20 20
5.	Asymmetric Petasis Borono-Mannich reaction			S23
6.	NMR Spectra for Characterization			~

1. General Considerations

Reactions & Reagents

All commercial reagents and solvents were used as received without further purification. The reaction does not require anhydrous without anaerobic and is carried out using standard Schlenk techniques. Analytical grade reagents such as toluene (PhCH₃), trifluorotoluene (PhCF₃), tetrahydrofuran (THF), dichloromethane (CH₂Cl₂), methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE). Reaction materials various types of amines and aldehydes, such as *p*-methoxyaniline, *m*-methoxyaniline, dibenzylamine, 2-bromo-4-methoxyaniline, and ethyl glyoxylate, benzaldehyde, furfural were obtained from Energy Chemical (https://www.energy-chemical.com) and J&K Chemical (http://www.jkchemical.com) and used without further purification.

■ Chromatography

Analytical thin layer chromatography (TLC) was carried out on silica-coated aluminium plates (silicagel 60 F254 Huang Hai) and visualized under UV light (254 nm)

Analytical Instrumentation

Melting points were determined using a Büchi B-540 capillary melting point apparatus. NMR data including ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR and ¹⁹F NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker 400 MHz or 600 MHz. All of the ¹³C NMR spectra were broad band proton-decoupled. ¹H NMR Chemical shifts were reported in ppm relative to residual signals of the solvents (CDCl₃: 7.26 ppm; (CD₃)₂SO: 2.50 ppm). ¹³C NMR chemical shifts were reported in ppm relative to the solvent (CDCl₃:77.16 ppm; (CD₃)₂SO: 39.52 ppm). Hexafluorobenzene (δ = -164.9 ppm) was employed as an external standard in 19F NMR spectra. Coupling constants J are given in Hertz (Hz). Multiplicities are reported as follows: s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, p = pentet, m = multiplet or as a combination of them. High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were recorded on an Agilent 6210 TOF LC/MS using ESI as ion source. Optical rotations were determined using an AUTOPOL V automatic polarimeter. Enantioselectivities were determined by HPLC analysis using Agilent 1100 HPLC equipped with Daicel Chiralpak IA, IB, IC, IF, IG and AS-H column.

2. Complete Data for Reaction Optimization



F_CF₃

 CF_3

Entry	1b:2 (equiv) Additive	1b:3a (equiv)	Temp. (°C)	yield (%) ^[b]	ee (%) ^[c]
1	1:1.2	4 Å MS (65 mg), LiBr (3.0 equiv)	1:2	35	51	64
2	1:1.2	4 Å MS (250 mg), LiBr (3.0 equiv)	1:2	35	47	45
3	1:1.2	4 Å MS (125 mg), LiBr (3.0 equiv)	1:2.5	35	44	62
4	1:1.2	4 Å MS (125 mg), LiBr (3.0 equiv)	1:3	35	61	61
5	1:1.2	4 Å MS (125 mg), LiBr (3.0 equiv)	1:4	35	51	65
6	1:1.5	4 Å MS (125 mg), LiBr (3.0 equiv)	1:2	35	50	65
7	1:2	4 Å MS (125 mg), LiBr 3.0 equiv)	1:2	35	52	66
8	1:1.2	4 Å MS (125 mg), LiBr (2.0 equiv)	1:2	35	44	67
9	1:1.2	4 Å MS (125 mg), LiBr (4.0 equiv)	1:2	35	53	67
10	1:1.2	4 Å MS (125 mg), LiBr (3.0 equiv)	1:2	50	47	54
11	1:1.2	4 Å MS (125 mg), LiBr (3.0 equiv)	1:2	70	55	52
12	1:1.2	4 Å MS (125 mg), LiBr (3.0 equiv)	1:2	35	37	46
13	1:1.2	4 Å MS (125 mg), LiBr (3.0 equiv)	1:2	35	48	36
14	1:1.2	4 Å MS (125 mg), LiBr (3.0 equiv), TFA (1.0 equiv	v) 1:2	35	49	12
15	1:1.2	4 Å MS (125 mg), LiBr (3.0 equiv), CSA (1.0 equi	v) 1:2	35	33	31
16	1:1.2	4 Å MS (125 mg), LiBr (3.0 equiv), TsOH (1.0 equi	iv) 1:2	35	41	40

^[a] General reaction conditions: **1b** (0.1 mmol), 35 °C, 4 Å MS (125 mg).

^[b] Determined by integration of ¹H NMR signals relative to triphenylmethane as an internal standard.

^[c] Ratio determined by HPLC with chiral Daicel Chiralpak IG column.

3. Synthesis of the BINOL Catalyst



To a flame-dried flask equipped with a magnetic stirbar was added 2,2'-bis(methoxymethoxy)-1,1'-binaphthalene¹ (1.1058 g, 2.96 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and THF (24 mL). The reaction mixture was then cooled down to 0 °C followed by the addition of 2.5 M n-BuLi (3.6 mL, 8.88 mmol, 3.0 equiv) and allowed to stir at the same temperature in 2 hours. The reaction temperature was futher decreased to -78 °C and perfluorotoluene (2.9 mL, 20.72 mmol, 7.0 equiv) was added dropwise via syringe. The reaction mixture was then warmed up to room temperature and stirred at this temperature for 12 h. After completion, the reaction was quenched with saturated aq. NH₄Cl (5 mL), extracted with Et₂O (3 \times 10 mL), and wash with brine (10 mL). After the removal of solvents via rotary evaporation, the reaction mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using 2-5% Ethyl Acetate in *n*-Hexane as eluent. The product was obtained as white solid in 87% yield. The product (2.0605 g, 2.6 mmol) was dissolved in1,4dioxane (1.0 mL, 0.04 M). To this solution was added saturated HCl (24.0 equiv) at 70 °C for 12 h. The resulting solution was diluted with water (5 mL) and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 10 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with water (10 mL) and saturated aq. NaHCO₃ (10 mL) and dried over Na₂SO₄. After evaporation of the solvents, the residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (*n*-Hexane/Et₂O = 100/1) to afford L4 in 90% yield. The spectra data is in agreement with literature reported value².

4. Synthesis of Heterocyclic Potassium Trifluoroboric

¹ (a) M. Moliterno, R. Cari, A. Puglisi and M. Bella, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 6525. (b) J.-Z. Wang, J. Zhou, C. Xu, H. Sun, L. Kürti and Q.-L. Xu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 5202. (c) S. Narute, R. F. Parnes, T. Dean and P. Doron, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 16553.

² J.-L. Shih, T. S. Nguyen and J. A. May, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2015, **54**, 9931.

4.1 General Procedure A for the Synthesis of Potassium Trifluoroborates

Het Bpin or
$$Het B(OH)_2$$
 $Het BF_3K$
MeOH, Water

To a solution of the Boric acid or pinacolborane (3.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in methanol (10 mL) and water (10 mL) was added potassium hydrogen fluoride (9.0 mmol, 3.0 equiv). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 h. Then it was concentrated in vacuum and the resulting solid was extracted with acetone (3×5 mL). The combined acetone extracts were filtered and concentrated in vacuum. The residue was dispersed with Et₂O (10 mL) and the resulting suspension was filtered to afford the potassium trifluoroborates as white solids.



The title compound $3a^3$, $3b^3$, $3c^3$, $3d^3$, $3e^3$, $3h^4$, $3i^5$ were prepared according to those reported in the literature. 3c were purchased from Alfa Aesa.

4.2 General Procedure B for the Synthesis of 3f



NaOH (3.4 g, 84 mmol) were combined in 1,2-Dichloroethane (25 mL) and stirred at 0 °C for completely dissolved. Pyrrole (2 mL, 15.4 mmol) is added dropwise. Subsequently, benzenesulfonyl chloride (4 mL, 33 mmol) was added dropwise. The reaction was stirred at 0 °C for 12 h. The reaction was quenched with water (10 mL). The aqueous layer was separated and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 15 mL). The combined organic phases were dried over Na_2SO_4 ,

³ J.-L. Shih, T. S. Nguyen and J. A. May, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 9931.

⁴ P. Kassis, V. Bénéteau, J.-Y. Mérour and S. Routier, Synthesis, 2009, 2009, 2447.

⁵ Y. Zhang and M. G. Banwell, J. Org. Chem., 2017, 82, 9328.

filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (petroleum ether/ EtOAc = 50/1) to give the product as white solid in 60% yield.

The 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine (3 mL, 16.5 mmol) were combined in THF (20 mL). The reaction was cooled to -78 °C and *n*-BuLi (2.5 M in *n*-Hexane, 8.4 mL, 21 mmol) was added dropwise over 20 min. The reaction was stirred at -78 °C for 1 h. The product obtained in the fiest step (3 g, 15 mmol) in THF (5 mL) was added dropwise over 10 min. Then (MeO)₃B (5 mL, 45 mmol) is added dropwise. The reaction was stirred at -78 °C for 3 h, then The temperature slowly rises to room temperature and to react overnight. The reaction was quenched with HCl (0.5 M in water, 20mL) and r. otary evaporation to remove THF. The product was separated and extracted with Et₂O (3 × 15 mL). The combined organic phases were dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, concentrated *in vacuo* and used without purification for the next stage⁶.

Following the procedure reported, a magnetically stirred mixture of the product obtained in the second step (3.7 g, 15 mmol) in methanol (30 mL) was treated dropwise with a solution of KHF₂ (5.3 g, 67.5 mmol) in water (20 mL) at 0 °C, and the ensuing white suspension was stirred at room temperature for 12 h then concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue thus obtained was re-dissolved in 50% methanol/water (50 mL), and all the volatile materials were again removed under reduced pressure. The resulting solid was extracted with acetone (3 x 10 mL). The combined acetone extracts were filtered and concentrated in vacuum. The residue was dispersed with Et_2O (10 mL) and the resulting suspension was filtered to afford the **3h** as white solid in 65% yield within 3 steps.

⁶ M. David, W. Stuart, Haynes and G. L. Challis, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, **137**, 7889.

4.3 General Procedure C for the Synthesis of 3j



Indole (3 g, 15.4 mmol) and KOH (3 g, 46.2 mmol) were combined in DMF (30 mL) and stirred at 0 °C for 1 h. The reaction was cooled to 0 °C and I₂ (4 g, 15.6 mmol) in DMF (15 mL) was added dropwise over 10 min. The reaction was stirred at 0 °C for 12 h. The reaction was diluted with 5% aq. Na₂S₂O₃ (10 mL) and CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL). The aqueous layer was separated and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 10 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with 5% Na₂S₂O₃ aqueous solution (100 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, concentrated *in vacuo* and used without purification for the next stage.

The crude product was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (30 mL). Et₃N (6.4 mL, 46.2 mmol) and DMAP (188 mg, 1.54 mmol) and Boc₂O (3.9 mL, 17 mmol) were added in one portion and the reaction was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. The reaction mixture was diluted with 5% Na₂S₂O₃ aqueous solution (50 mL) and CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 10 mL). The combined organic phases were dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated under vacuum. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (petroleum ether/ EtOAc = 20/1) to give the indole as white solid in 70% yield.

Under argon atomsphere, *tert*-butyl 3-iodo-1H-indole-1-carboxylate (5.7 g, 13.6 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous THF (30 mL) and cooled to -78 °C. *n*-BuLi (2.5 M in *n*-Hexane, 6.0 mL, 14.9 mmol) was added dropwise over 10 min. The reaction was stirred at -78 °C for 1 h, then isopropoxypinacol boronate (3.1 mL, 14.9 mmol) was added dropwise over 15 min. The reaction mixture was kept at -78 °C for an additional hour, then the cooling bath was removed and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min. The reaction was diluted with saturated aq. KH₂PO₄ (10 mL) and extracted with Et₂O (3 × 20 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with water (20 mL) and brine (20 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄ and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was used without purification for the next stage.

Following the procedure reported, a magnetically stirred mixture of 3-Bpin-N-Boc-indole (6 g, 14.2 mmol) in 70% methanol/THF (40 mL) was treated dropwise with a solution of KHF₂ (6.6 g, 85 mmol) in water (20 mL) at 0 °C, and the ensuing white suspension was stirred at room temperature for 12 h then concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue thus obtained was re-dissolved in 50% methanol/water (50 mL), and all the volatile materials were again removed under reduced pressure. The resulting solid was extracted with acetone (3 x 10 mL). The combined acetone extracts were filtered and concentrated in vacuum. The residue was dispersed with Et_2O (10 mL) and the resulting suspension was filtered to afford the **3j** as white solid in 21% yield within 4 steps.

1-(phenylsulfonyl)-2-(trifluoro-l4-boranyl)-1H-pyrrole, potassium salt (3f)

N BF₃K SO₂Ph

The title compound was prepared according to the General Procedure **B** as white solid in 65% yield within 3 steps.

mp: 202.1-203.2 °C

¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ 7.89 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.60 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.52 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.18 (s, 1H), 6.10 (s, 2H).
¹³C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ 140.9, 133.5, 129.3, 127.4, 123.1, 118.7, 112.3 ppm.
¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, DMSO): δ -162.63.

HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z* calcd. for C₁₀H₈BF₃NO₂S [M-K]⁻: 274.0326; found 274.0323.

Tert-butyl 5-bromo-3-(trifluoro-λ⁴-boranyl)-1*H*-indole-1-carboxylate, potassium salt (3j)



The title compound was prepared according to the General Procedure **C** as white solid in 21% yield within 4 steps.

mp: 167.5-169.8 °C

¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, DMSO) δ 7.90 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.74 (s, 1H), 7.30 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.21 (s, 1H), 1.61 (s, 9H).

¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, DMSO) δ 149.3, 137.6, 134.5, 127.6, 127.6, 125.1, 124.9, 115.8, 114.1, 82.8, 27.8 ppm.

¹⁹**F NMR** (376 MHz, DMSO) δ -137.65.

HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd. for C₁₅H₁₂N₃O₃ [M-K]⁻: 362.0175; found 362.0189.

$\begin{array}{c} L4 (20 \text{ mol}\%) \\ 4 \text{ A MS (125 mg)} \\ 1 \text{ Bt} G_2 C \text{ H}^+ \text{ R}^3 \cdot \text{BF}_3 \text{K} \\ 1 \text{ 2 } 3 \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{} \begin{array}{c} 1 \text{ LiBr } (3.0 \text{ equiv}) \\ 1 \text{ PhCF}_3 (0.05 \text{ M}), 35 \text{ °C} \\ 1 \text{ C} \text{ C} \text{ C}^+ \text{ R}^3 \end{array}$

5. Asymmetric Petasis borono-Mannich reaction

To a 25 mL vial equipped with a stir bar was added 4 Å powdered molecular sieves (125 mg). The amine **1** (0.1 mmol, 1.0 equiv), ethyl glyoxylate **2a** (0.12 mmol, 1.2 equiv), **L4** (0.02 mmol, 0.2 equiv), potassium heteroaryl trifluoroborate salt **3** (0.2 mmol, 2.0 equiv), LiBr (0.3 mmol, 3.0 equiv) were then added. Ordinary PhCF₃ (2 mL, 0.05 M) was added. The reaction was heated to 35 °C and monitored by TLC analysis. After the reaction is complete, the solution was filtered through a short celite pad. The combined organic layer was concentrated under reduced pressure and purified via flash column chromatography on silica gel to afford the corresponding products **4**.

ethyl 2-((4-methoxyphenyl)amino)-2-(thiophen-2-yl)acetate (4a)



The product was purified via flash column chromatography (*n*-Hexane/EtOAc = 30:1) to afford the yellow oil in 50% yield at 0.1 mmol scale.

¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.22 (dd, *J* = 5.1, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.14 – 7.10 (m, 1H), 6.96 (dd, *J* = 5.1, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 6.74 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 6.61 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 5.26 (s, 1H), 4.33 – 4.14 (m, 2H), 3.70 (s, 3H), 1.25 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H).

¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 171.2, 153.0, 141.7, 140.1, 127.1, 125.6, 125.5, 115.3, 114.9, 62.1, 58.0, 55.7, 14.1 ppm.

HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd. for C₁₅H₁₈NO₃S [M+H]⁺: 292.1102; found 292.1104. $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -5.0 (c \ 1.0, CHCl_3)$ **Enantiomeric excess** was determined by chiral HPLC analysis using a Daicel Chiralpak IG column, ee = 70% (*n*-Hexane/ethanol = 70/30, flow rate 1 mL/min, $\lambda = 210$ nm, T = 20 °C, t_r (major) = 14.212 min, t_r (minor) = 16.317 min).



ethyl 2-(5-bromothiophen-2-yl)-2-((4-methoxyphenyl)amino)acetate (4b)



The product was purified via flash column chromatography (*n*-Hexane/EtOAc = 30:1) to afford the yellow oil in 54% yield at 0.1 mmol scale.

¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 6.95 – 6.86 (m, 2H), 6.76 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 6.61 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 5.14 (s, 1H), 4.31 – 4.17 (m, 2H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 1.28 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H).

¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 170.5, 153.3, 143.6, 139.7, 130.1, 125.9, 115.4, 115.0, 112.3, 62.5, 58.3, 55.8, 14.2 ppm.

HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z* calcd. for C₁₅H₁₇BrNO₃S [M+H]⁺: 370.0107; found 370.0091.

 $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -1.4 \ (c \ 1.0, \ CHCl_3)$

Enantiomeric excess was determined by chiral HPLC analysis using a Daicel Chiralpak IG column, ee = 8% (*n*-Hexane/ethanol = 80/20, flow rate 0.8 mL/min, $\lambda = 254$ nm, T = 20 °C, t_r (major) = 21.206 min, t_r (minor) = 23.235 min).



ethyl 2-(furan-2-yl)-2-((4-methoxyphenyl)amino)acetate (4c)



The product was purified via flash column chromatography (n-Hexane/EtOAc = 30:1) to afford the yellow oil in 69% yield at 0.1 mmol scale.

¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.39 (dd, *J* = 1.8, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 6.83 – 6.70 (m, 2H), 6.69 – 6.59 (m, 2H), 6.40 – 6.26 (m, 2H), 5.13 (s, 1H), 4.29 – 4.13 (m, 2H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 1.24 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 170.3, 153.1, 150.5, 142.8, 140.0, 115.5, 114.9, 110.7, 108.2, 62.1, 56.4, 55.8, 14.2 ppm.

HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z* calcd. for C₁₅H₁₇NNaO₄ [M+Na]⁺: 298.1050; found 298.1060.

 $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -37.6 \ (c \ 1.0, \ CHCl_3)$

Enantiomeric excess was determined by chiral HPLC analysis using a Daicel Chiralpak IF column, ee = 52% (*n*-Hexane/ethanol = 95/5, flow rate 0.7 mL/min, $\lambda = 210$ nm, T = 20 °C, t_r (major) = 25.185 min, t_r (minor) = 23.940 min).



Sigmal 1: DAD1 C, Sig=210,8 Ref=360,100					Sign	Signal 1: DAD1 C, Sig=210,8 Ref=360,100							
Peak #	RetTime [min]	Туре	Width ∫min]	Àrea [mAU*s]	Height [mAU]	Area %	Peak #	RetTime 「min]	Type	Width [min]	Area [mAU*s]	Height [mAU]	Area %
1 2	23.908 25.131	BV VB	0.4299 0.4947	1.42669e4 1.42911e4	510.73370 444.96167	49.9575 50.0425	1 2	23.940 25.185	BV VB	0.4519 0.4951	826.51495 2734.28027	27.40252 85.50409	23.2115 76.7885
Tota	ls :			2.85580e4	955.69537		Tota	ls :			3560.79523	112.90661	

ethyl 2-((4-methoxyphenyl)amino)-2-(5-methylfuran-2-yl)acetate (4d)



The product was purified via flash column chromatography (*n*-Hexane/EtOAc = 30:1) to afford the yellow oil in 52% yield at 0.1 mmol scale.

¹**H** NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 6.82 – 6.69 (m, 2H), 6.68 – 6.59 (m, 2H), 6.22 (d, *J* = 3.1 Hz, 1H), 5.91 (m, 1H), 5.06 (s, 1H), 4.34 – 4.06 (m, 2H), 3.72 (s, 3H), 2.27 (s, 3H), 1.24 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H).

¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 170.6, 153.0, 152.6, 148.4, 140.2, 115.5, 114.9, 109.0, 106.6, 61.9, 56.5, 55.7, 14.2, 13.7 ppm.

HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z* calcd. for C₁₆H₂₀NO₄ [M+H]⁺: 290.1387; found 290.1385.

 $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -1.8 (c \ 1.0, \text{CHCl}_3)$

Enantiomeric excess was determined by chiral HPLC analysis using a Daicel Chiralpak IG column, ee = 3% (*n*-Hexane/ethanol = 80/20, flow rate 0.8 mL/min, $\lambda = 210$ nm, T = 20 °C, t_r (major) = 18.173 min, t_r (minor) = 19.782 min).



tert-butyl-2-(2-ethoxy-1-((4-methoxyphenyl)amino)-2-oxoethyl)-1H-pyrrole-1carboxylate (4e)



The product was purified via flash column chromatography (*n*-Hexane/EtOAc = 30:1) to afford the yellow oil in 60% yield at 0.1 mmol scale.

¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.24 – 7.18 (m, 1H), 6.78 – 6.71 (m, 2H), 6.71 – 6.63 (m, 2H), 6.28 (dd, *J* = 3.5, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 6.09 (t, *J* = 3.4 Hz, 1H), 5.67 (s, 1H), 4.19 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.72 (s, 3H), 1.58 (s, 9H), 1.26 – 1.17 (m, 3H).

¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 171.4, 152.8, 149.4, 140.7, 131.1, 122.6, 115.7, 114.8, 114.2, 110.2, 84.3, 77.4, 61.7, 56.1, 55.7, 28.1, 14.3 ppm.

HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calcd. for C₂₀H₂₇N₂O₅ [M+H]⁺: 375.1914; found 375.1903.

 $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -11.6 \ (c \ 1.0, \ CHCl_3)$

Enantiomeric excess was determined by chiral HPLC analysis using a Daicel Chiralpak IF column, ee = 5% (*n*-Hexane/ethanol = 80/20, flow rate 0.8 mL/min, $\lambda = 210$ nm, T = 20 °C, t_r (major) = 8.238 min, t_r (minor) = 9.124 min).







The product was purified via flash column chromatography (*n*-Hexane/EtOAc = 20:1) to afford the yellow oil in 54% yield at 0.1 mmol scale.

¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.78 (dd, *J* = 8.6, 1.3 Hz, 2H), 7.56 – 7.50 (m, 1H), 7.40 – 7.34 (m, 3H), 6.78 – 6.72 (m, 2H), 6.55 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 6.29 – 6.24 (m, 2H), 5.71 (s, 1H), 4.14 (m, *J* = 7.1, 3.2 Hz, 2H), 3.75 (s, 3H), 1.19 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H).

¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 171.6, 153.2, 140.1, 139.3, 133.8, 131.2, 129.1, 127.4, 124.4, 115.7, 114.8, 114.6, 111.6, 61.8, 55.8, 54.4, 14.2 ppm.

HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z* calcd. for C₂₁H₂₂N₂NaO₅S [M+Na]⁺: 437.1142; found 437.1132.

 $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -2.2 \ (c \ 1.0, \ CHCl_3)$

Enantiomeric excess was determined by chiral HPLC analysis using a Daicel Chiralpak IF column, ee = 0% (*n*-Hexane/ethanol = 90/10, flow rate 1 mL/min, $\lambda = 254$ nm, T = 20 °C, t_r (major) = 16.789 min, t_r (minor) = 19.107 min).



ethyl 2-(benzo[b]thiophen-2-yl)-2-((4-methoxyphenyl)amino)acetate (4g)



The product was purified via flash column chromatography (n-Hexane/EtOAc = 30:1) to afford the yellow oil in 15% yield at 0.1 mmol scale.

¹**H** NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.84 – 7.66 (m, 1H), 7.39 (d, J = 0.9 Hz, 1H), 7.31 (m, 1H), 6.77 – 6.71 (m, 2H), 6.69 – 6.62 (m, 2H), 5.32 (d, J = 1.0 Hz, 2H), 4.50 – 4.01 (m, 3H), 3.71 (s, 3H), 1.28 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H).

¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 170.7, 153.1, 142.8, 139.8, 139.7, 124.5, 124.5, 123.7, 122.5, 122.5, 115.4, 115.0, 62.5, 58.6, 55.8, 14.2, 1.2 ppm.

HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calcd. for C₁₉H₂₀NO₃S [M+H]⁺: 342.1158; found 342.1154.

 $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -3.4 \ (c \ 1.0, \ CHCl_3)$

Enantiomeric excess was determined by chiral HPLC analysis using a Daicel Chiralpak IG column, ee = 71% (*n*-Hexane/ethanol = 80/20, flow rate 0.8 mL/min, $\lambda = 210$ nm, T = 20 °C, t_r (major) = 47.504 min, t_r (minor) = 51.387 min).



ethyl 2-((4-methoxyphenyl)amino)-2-(5-methylfuran-2-yl)acetate (4h)



The product was purified via flash column chromatography (*n*-Hexane/EtOAc = 30:1) to afford the yellow oil in 52% yield at 0.1 mmol scale.

¹**H** NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.50 (ddt, *J* = 17.3, 8.1, 0.8 Hz, 2H), 7.29 (dd, *J* = 7.2, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.21 (td, *J* = 7.5, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 6.78 – 6.73 (m, 3H), 6.68 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 5.25 (s, 1H), 4.38 – 4.15 (m, 2H), 3.72 (s, 3H), 1.25 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 169.8, 155.1, 153.3, 153.2, 139.5, 128.1, 124.6, 123.1, 121.3, 115.7, 114.9, 111.6, 105.3, 62.5, 56.9, 55.8, 29.8, 14.2 ppm.

HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z* calcd. for C₁₉H₁₉NNaO₄ [M+Na]⁺: 348.1206; found 348.1198.

 $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -15.6 \ (c \ 1.0, \text{CHCl}_3)$

Enantiomeric excess was determined by chiral HPLC analysis using a Daicel Chiralpak IG column, ee = 71% (*n*-Hexane/ethanol = 80/20, flow rate 0.8 mL/min, $\lambda = 210$ nm, T = 20 °C, t_r (major) = 31.296 min, t_r (minor) = 34.523 min).



tert-butyl 3-(2-ethoxy-1-((4-methoxyphenyl)amino)-2-oxoethyl)-1H-indole-1-carboxylate (4i)



The product was purified via flash column chromatography (*n*-Hexane/EtOAc = 20:1) to afford the yellow oil in 80% yield at 0.1 mmol scale.

¹**H** NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.15 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.77 (dd, *J* = 7.9, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.64 (s, 1H), 7.36 – 7.31 (m, 1H), 7.29 – 7.26 (m, 1H), 6.78 – 6.71 (m, 2H), 6.66 – 6.59 (m, 2H), 5.26 (s, 1H), 4.20 (ddq, *J* = 43.9, 10.7, 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.72 (s, 3H), 1.65 (s, 9H), 1.21 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H).

¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 172.1, 152.8, 140.6, 136.4, 132.9, 128.7, 128.1, 126.8, 125.5, 121.5, 115.2, 115.0, 114.5, 61.9, 60.0, 55.9, 55.6, 29.8, 14.3 ppm.

HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z* calcd. for C₂₄H₂₈N₂O₅ [M+H]⁺: 425.2071; found 425.2056.

 $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -17.2 \ (c \ 1.0, \text{CHCl}_3)$

Enantiomeric excess was determined by chiral HPLC analysis using a Daicel Chiralpak IB column, ee = 44% (*n*-Hexane/ethanol = 95/5, flow rate 1 mL/min, $\lambda = 210$ nm, T = 20 °C, t_r (major) = 9.787 min, t_r (minor) = 11.029 min).



tert-butyl-5-bromo-3-(2-ethoxy-1-((4-methoxyphenyl)amino)-2-oxoethyl)-1H-indole-1carboxylate (4j)



The product was purified via flash column chromatography (*n*-Hexane/EtOAc = 20:1) to afford the white solid in 55% yield at 0.1 mmol scale.

¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ 8.07 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.99 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.89 (s, 1H), 7.50 (dd, *J* = 8.9, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 6.72 (s, 3H), 6.06 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 5.54 – 5.46 (m, 1H), 4.20 – 4.02 (m, 2H), 3.34 (s, 3H), 1.63 (s, 9H), 1.12 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H).

¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ 172.1, 152.0, 149.1, 141.6, 134.1, 130.8, 127.7, 126.4, 123.4, 117.4, 117.1, 115.8, 114.9, 114.8, 85.1, 61.5, 55.7, 53.7, 28.1, 14.5 ppm.

HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calcd. for C₂₄H₂₈BrN₂O₅ [M+H]⁺: 503.1176; found 503.1155.

MP:102.5-104.6 °C

 $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -6.8 (c \ 1.0, \text{CHCl}_3)$

Enantiomeric excess was determined by chiral HPLC analysis using a Daicel Chiralpak IB column, ee = 38% (*n*-Hexane/ethanol = 95/5, flow rate 0.8 mL/min, $\lambda = 230$ nm, T = 20 °C, t_r (major) = 10.045 min, t_r (minor) = 11.153 min).





Bn_N^{Bn} EtO₂C * Ph

The product was purified via flash column chromatography (n-Hexane/EtOAc = 30:1) to afford the colorless oil in 65% yield at 0.1 mmol scale.

¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.46 – 7.39 (m, 4H), 7.42 – 7.34 (m, 2H), 7.31 (td, *J* = 7.4, 3.1 Hz, 6H), 7.23 (ddd, *J* = 7.4, 5.6, 1.4 Hz, 3H), 6.57 (dd, *J* = 16.1, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.37 (dd, *J* = 16.1, 7.0 Hz, 1H), 4.33 – 4.17 (m, 2H), 4.10 (dd, *J* = 7.1, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 3.90 – 3.69 (m, 4H), 1.33 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H).

¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 172.1, 139.7, 136.7, 134.3, 128.8, 128.7, 128.4, 128.0, 127.1, 126.7, 124.6, 64.0, 60.8, 54.8, 14.6 ppm.

HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z* calcd. for C₂₆H₂₈NO₂ [M+H]⁺: 386.2115; found 386.2129.

 $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -68.6 \ (c \ 1.0, \ CHCl_3)$

Enantiomeric excess was determined by chiral HPLC analysis using a Daicel Chiralpak IG column, ee = 82% (*n*-Hexane/ethanol = 70/30, flow rate 1 mL/min, $\lambda = 210$ nm, T = 20 °C, t_r (major) = 4.640 min, t_r (minor) = 5.640 min).



Signal 1: DAD1 C, Sig=210,8 Ref=360,100					Signal	Sigmal 1: DAD1 C, Sig=210,8 Ref=360,100						
Peak R	etTime Type	Width	Area	Height	Area	Peak Ru	etTime Ty	pe Wid	h An	rea	Height	Area
#	[min]	[min]	[mAU*s]	[mAU]	%	#	[min]	fmi:	11 [mA]	U*s1	[mAU]	%
1	4.803 MM	0.1571	1.28074e4	1359.03162	48.3449	1	4.640 VV	0.1	30 4993	.89014	639.90143	90.9349
2	5.894 MM	0.2484	1.36843e4	918.00415	51.6551	2	5.640 BV		19 497	.82816	35.43938	9.0651
Totals	:		2.64917e4	2277.03577		Totals	:		5491	.71829	675.34081	





The product was purified via flash column chromatography (*n*-Hexane/EtOAc = 30:1) to afford the yellow oil in 60% yield at 0.1 mmol scale.

¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 7.40 – 7.35 (m, 2H), 7.34 – 7.28 (m, 2H), 6.82 – 6.74 (m, 3H), 6.64 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 6.29 (dd, *J* = 15.9, 5.9 Hz, 1H), 4.65 (dd, *J* = 5.9, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 4.24 (p, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 1.29 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H).

¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 172.1, 152.8, 140.6, 136.4, 132.9, 128.7, 128.1, 126.8, 125.5, 115.2, 115.0, 61.9, 60.0, 55.9, 14.3 ppm.

HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calcd. for C₁₉H₂₂NO₃ [M+H]⁺: 312.1594; found 312.1604.

 $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -14.8 \ (c \ 1.0, \ CHCl_3)$

Enantiomeric excess was determined by chiral HPLC analysis using a Daicel Chiralpak IG column, ee = 80% (*n*-Hexane/ethanol = 70/30, flow rate 1 mL/min, $\lambda = 210$ nm, T = 20 °C, t_r (major) = 21.573 min, t_r (minor) = 31.569 min).



ethyl 2-((2-bromo-4-methoxyphenyl)amino)-2-(thiophen-2-yl)acetate (4m)



The product was purified via flash column chromatography (*n*-Hexane/EtOAc = 30:1) to afford the yellow oil in 82% yield at 0.1 mmol scale.

¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.24 (dd, J = 5.1, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.14 (dt, J = 3.5, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 7.07 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 6.97 (dd, J = 5.1, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 6.69 (dd, J = 8.9, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 6.49 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 5.28 (s, 1H), 4.33 – 4.14 (m, 2H), 3.69 (s, 3H), 1.26 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 170.5, 152.5, 141.1, 137.4, 127.2, 125.8, 125.7, 118.5, 114.4, 113.4, 110.9, 62.3, 57.7, 55.9, 14.1 ppm.

HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z* calcd. for C₁₅H₁₆BrNNaO₃S [M+Na]⁺: 391.9926; found 391.9910.

 $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -4.8 \ (c \ 1.0, \ CHCl_3)$

Enantiomeric excess was determined by chiral HPLC analysis using a Daicel Chiralpak IB column, ee = 69% (*n*-Hexane/ethanol = 95/5, flow rate 0.8 mL/min, $\lambda = 210$ nm, T = 20 °C, t_r (major) = 9.029 min, t_r (minor) = 7.730 min).



ethyl 2-((2-bromo-4-methoxyphenyl)amino)-2-(furan-2-yl)acetate (4n)



The product was purified via flash column chromatography (n-Hexane/EtOAc = 30:1) to afford the colorless oil in 82% yield at 0.1 mmol scale.

¹**H** NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.40 (dd, J = 1.8, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 7.07 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 6.73 (dd, J = 8.9, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 6.52 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 6.40 – 6.30 (m, 2H), 5.16 (s, 1H), 4.32 – 4.18 (m, 2H), 3.71 (s, 3H), 1.25 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H).

¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 169.7, 152.6, 150.0, 142.9, 137.4, 118.5, 114.4, 113.4, 111.0, 110.8, 108.3, 62.3, 56.1, 56.0, 14.2 ppm.

HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calcd. for C₁₅H₁₆BrNNaO₄ [M+Na]⁺: 376.0155; found 376.0147.

 $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -8.0 \ (c \ 1.0, \ CHCl_3)$

Enantiomeric excess was determined by chiral HPLC analysis using a Daicel Chiralpak AS-H column, ee = 17% (*n*-Hexane/ethanol = 90/10, flow rate 0.7 mL/min, $\lambda = 210$ nm, T = 20 °C, t_r (major) = 10.669 min, t_r (minor) = 9.456 min).



tert-butyl-2-(1-((2-bromo-4-methoxyphenyl)amino)-2-ethoxy-2-oxoethyl)-1H-pyrrole-1carboxylate (40)



The product was purified via flash column chromatography (*n*-Hexane/EtOAc = 30:1) to afford the yellow oil in 54% yield at 0.1 mmol scale.

¹**H** NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.21 (dd, J = 3.3, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.03 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 6.74 (dd, J = 8.9, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 6.64 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 6.28 (dd, J = 3.4, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 6.09 (t, J = 3.4 Hz, 1H), 5.71 (s, 1H), 4.21 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.70 (s, 3H), 1.59 (s, 9H), 1.23 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H).

¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 170.8, 152.3, 149.4, 138.1, 130.6, 122.7, 118.3, 117.6, 116.8, 115.2, 114.5, 114.4, 113.8, 110.9, 110.3, 84.5, 61.8, 56.0, 55.8, 28.1, 14.3 ppm.

HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd. for C₂₀H₂₅BrN₂O₅ [M+H]⁺: 453.1009; found 453.1020.

 $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -4.0 \ (c \ 1.0, \ CHCl_3)$

Enantiomeric excess was determined by chiral HPLC analysis using a Daicel Chiralpak IF column, ee = 7% (*n*-Hexane/ethanol = 95/5, flow rate 0.8 mL/min, $\lambda = 254$ nm, T = 20 °C, t_r (major) = 9.368 min, t_r (minor) = 10.075 min).



tert-butyl 3-(2-ethoxy-1-((3-methoxyphenyl)amino)-2-oxoethyl)-1H-indole-1-carboxylate

(4p)



The product was purified via flash column chromatography (*n*-Hexane/EtOAc = 30:1) to afford the yellow oil in 6% yield at 0.1 mmol scale.

¹**H** NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.15 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.76 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.34 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.31 – 7.23 (m, 3H), 7.06 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 6.29 (dd, J = 17.9, 8.2 Hz, 3H), 6.21 (s, 1H), 5.31 (s, 1H), 4.34 – 4.09 (m, 2H), 3.73 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 3H), 1.65 (s, 9H), 1.24 – 1.20 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 171.8, 160.8, 149.6, 147.8, 130.2, 128.6, 124.9, 124.5, 122.9, 119.9, 117.4, 115.5, 106.5, 103.8, 99.7, 84.2, 77.4, 62.1, 55.2, 54.1, 29.9, 28.3, 22.9, 14.2 ppm. HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z* calcd. for C₂₄H₂₈N₂O₅ [M+H]⁺: 425.2071; found 425.2051. [*a*]_{*p*²⁰} = -5.0 (*c* 1.0, CHCl₃)

Enantiomeric excess was determined by chiral HPLC analysis using a Daicel Chiralpak IG column, ee = 54% (*n*-Hexane/ethanol = 70/30, flow rate 1 mL/min, $\lambda = 280$ nm, T = 20 °C, t_r (major) = 8.595 min, t_r (minor) = 9.883 min).



Signal I: DADI E,	Signal 1: DAD1 A, Sig=280,4 Ref=360,100									
Peak RetTime Type # [min]	Width Area [min] [mAU*s	Height	Area %	Peak Re #	etTime ' [min]	Type	Width [min]	Area [mAU*s]	Height [mAU]	Area %
1 8.467 BB 2 9.777 BB	0.2539 711.44 0.3356 718.15	336 43.24487 356 33.29865	49.7653 50.2347	1 2	8.595 J 9.883 3	 BB PB	0.2547	224.67871 67.85826	13.74438 3.22796	76.8035 23.1965
Totals :	1429.59	692 76.54351		Totals	:			292.53697	16.97235	

6. NMR Spectral for Characterization

































