## APPENDIX A: FACTOR ANALYES

**Table A1. Pattern Matrices for Factor Analyses with Promax Rotation** 

Study 1 – Southern Agency	Fac	tor
Item	1	2
Citizen Animus		
People often disrespect and insult the police.	.731	
People are normally polite when dealing with the police.*	.495	
People treat police officers with dignity.*	.564	
People treat the police worse than they treat other government employees.	.666	
People treat police officers unfairly.	.762	
People normally listen to the police before jumping to conclusions in incidents.*	.457	
People will ignore or walk away from the police when officers try to explain a situation.	.560	
Audience Legitimacy		
Most civilians feel an obligation to obey police officers.		.852
Most civilians believe they should do what the police say, even if they disagree.		.849
Most civilians feel [this agency] can be trusted to make decisions that are right for the people in their neighborhood.		.727
Eigenvalue	3.721	1.197

Study 2 – National Sample Factor

Item	1	2
Citizen Animus		
People often disrespect and insult the police.	.667	
People are normally polite when dealing with the police.*	.531	
People treat police officers with dignity.*	.580	
People treat the police worse than they treat other government employees.	.657	
People treat police officers unfairly.	.750	
People normally listen to the police before jumping to conclusions in incidents.*	.493	
People will ignore or walk away from the police when officers try to explain a situation.	.568	
Audience Legitimacy [Most residents believe the police]		
Are corrupt.*		.453
Use rules and procedures that are fair to everyone.		.503
Clearly explain the reasons for their actions.		.630
Treat people with respect.		.770
Are biased against them.*		.567
Do a good job tackling crime in the community.		.573
Represent their values.		.720
Eigenvalue	4.068	1.365

<sup>\*</sup> Item reverse coded. Only factor loadings ≥ .30 are displayed.

## APPENDIX B: CORRELATION MATRICES

Table B1. Correlation Matrix for Study 1 Variables

Variable	Y	$X_1$	$X_2$	$X_3$	$X_4$	$X_5$	$X_6$	$X_7$	$X_8$	$X_9$
Y Audience legitimacy	1.00									
X <sub>1</sub> Recently Disrespected	33*	1.00								
X <sub>2</sub> Citizen Animus	42*	.46*	1.00							
X <sub>3</sub> Perceived Crime Trend	15*	.07	.20*	1.00						
X <sub>4</sub> Male	01	.13*	01	02	1.00					
X <sub>5</sub> White	.03	.00	.00	03	01	1.00				
X <sub>6</sub> Four-year Degree	.01	.02	03	05	16*	01	1.00			
X <sub>7</sub> Years of Experience	.19*	46*	15*	.05	.01	.12*	18*	1.00		
X <sub>8</sub> Front-line Supervisor	.11*	24*	10*	.10*	01	.14*	04	.37*	1.00	
X <sub>9</sub> Upper Management	.16*	34*	15*	08	03	.05*	.05	.37*	28*	1.00

*NOTE:* Matrix constructed using listwise deleted data (N = 425). Entries are Pearson's correlation coefficients.

<sup>\*</sup> *p* < .05

**Table B2. Correlation Matrix for Study 2 Variables** 

Vari	able	Y	$X_1$	$X_2$	$X_3$	$X_4$	$X_5$	$X_6$	$X_7$	$X_8$	X9	$X_{10}$	$X_{11}$	$X_{12}$	$X_{13}$	$X_{14}$	X <sub>15</sub>	$X_{16}$	$X_{17}$	$X_{18}$	$X_{19}$
Y	Audience Legitimacy	1.00																			
$X_1$	Citizen Animus	45*	1.00																		
$X_2$	Hostile Local Media	25*	.38*	1.00																	
$X_3$	Hostile National Media	03	.17*	.25*	1.00																
$X_4$	Violent Crime Rate <sup>a</sup>	17*	03	.00	.01	1.00															
$X_5$	% Black <sup>a</sup>	03	.04	.10	.04	.26*	1.00														
$X_6$	% Hispanic <sup>a</sup>	05	12	.10	.10	.26*	.20*	1.00													
<b>X</b> 7	Change %Black a	.09	.00	.04	.04	01	.37*	02	1.00												
$X_8$	Change %Hispanic <sup>a</sup>	04	15	.00	.05	.15*	.19*	.58*	.01	1.00											
X9	Chief	.21*	06	17*	16*	10	24*	10	05	09	1.00										
$X_{10}$	Years of Experience	.20*	24*	03	.01	.11	.02	.07	.18*	.10	.28*	1.00									
$X_{11}$	Master's Degree	.06	08	.13	05	.04	.14*	.20*	.00	.02	.10*	.26*	1.00								
$X_{12}$	Large Agency	06*	03	.07*	02	.17*	.22*	.18*	03	.12*	26*	01	.12*	1.00							
$X_{13}$	White Male	02	04	10	.06	05	06	12	02	03	.09	.19*	.08	08*	1.00						
X14	Northeast	13	.13	.02	04	07	14*	29*	07	11	09	.09	.01	09*	.16*	1.00					
$X_{15}$	Midwest	.04	02	.02	11	03	08	13	.13	02	.10	.03	01	05*	.06	42*	1.00				
$X_{16}$	West	.03	15*	04	.05	.04	25*	.40*	10	.02	.07	.02	.03	.06	16	29*	32*	1.00			
$X_{17}$	Population size <sup>a</sup>	09	21*	.07	03	.33*	.37*	.40*	.04	.33*	23*	.26*	.31*	.55*	01	09	01	.13*	1.00		
$X_{18}$	Unemployment Rate a	15	.03	03	16*	.40*	.28*	.28*	.03	.10	10	02	.08	.07*	09	06	11	.12*	.16	1.00	
X19	% Trump Voters	04	.17*	13*	.03	09	18*	25*	14*	13	.22*	14	25*	17*	.09	04	.00	26*	36*	04	1.00

NOTE: Matrix constructed using listwise deleted data (N = 541). Entries are Pearson's correlation coefficients (weighted to account for sampling design using "corr\_svy" command in Stata 15).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Natural log transformation.

## APPENDIX C: SUPPLEMENTAL ANALYSES

Table C1. Study 1: Comparison of Observed Results to Simulated Results wherein Nonrespondents Were Assumed to Have Differed Substantially from Respondents on Perceived Audience Legitimacy

			Simulated Data								
	Observed	l Data <sup>a</sup>	Negative Bias	Threshold <sup>b</sup>	Positive Bias Threshold <sup>c</sup>						
Variable	b	SE	b	SE	b	SE					
Recently Disrespected	053	(.032)	017	(.024)	048*	(.024)					
Citizen Animus	394***	(.057)	264***	(.044)	261***	(.044)					
Perceived Crime Trend	096*	(.042)	069*	(.033)	047	(.033)					
Male	.075	(.123)	.057	(.098)	.057	(.095)					
White	.017	(.075)	.016	(.061)	.016	(.060)					
Four-year Degree	.028	(.067)	.021	(.059)	.020	(.058)					
Experience	.005	(.005)	.004	(.004)	.004	(.005)					
Police Officer (Reference)			_		_						
Front-line Supervisor	.114	(.082)	.096	(.074)	.093	(.073)					
Upper Management	.177	(.121)	.160	(.113)	.156	(.109)					
Intercept	5.133***	(.281)	3.757***	(.217)	5.255	(.205)					
N	546	5	1,75	2	1,752						
F-test	12.9	)5***	7.40*	**	6.77***						

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Multiple-imputation estimates (m=25) using OLS regression are displayed. Entries are unstandardized regression coefficients (b) and robust standard errors (SE).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Mean coefficients and standard errors across 1,000 Monte Carlo simulations where we assumed nonrespondents would have scored 1-2 SDs below the respondent group mean on our dependent variable. *Recently disrespected* was statistically significant 0 times, *citizen animus* 1,000 times, and *perceived crime trend* 712 times.

<sup>c</sup> Mean coefficients and standard errors across 1,000 Monte Carlo simulations where we assumed nonrespondents would have scored 1-2 SDs above the respondent group mean on our dependent variable. *Recently disrespected* was statistically significant 538 times, *citizen animus* 1,000 times, and *perceived crime trend* 21 times.

\**p* < .05; \*\**p* < .01; \*\*\**p* < .001

Table C2. Study 2: Comparison of Observed Results to Simulated Results wherein Nonrespondents Were Assumed to Have Differed Substantially from Respondents on Perceived Audience Legitimacy

				Simula	ited Data			
Variable	Observed	l Data <sup>a</sup>	Negative Bias	Threshold b	Positive Bias Threshold °			
	b	SE	b	SE	b	SE		
Citizen Animus	275***	(.057)	182***	(.031)	177***	(.030)		
Hostile Local Media	098*	(.049)	083**	(.026)	077***	(.023)		
Hostile National Media	.063	(.037)	.037	(.024)	.032	(.024)		
Violent Crime Rated	058*	(.025)	044*	(.018)	040*	(.018)		
%Black <sup>d</sup>	028	(.027)	024	(.020)	018	(.019)		
%Hispanic <sup>d</sup>	.024	(.037)	.018	(.023)	.015	(.024)		
Change %Black <sup>d</sup>	.125	(.106)	.036	(.069)	.051	(.066)		
Change %Hispanic <sup>d</sup>	107	(.093)	048	(.062)	059	(.069)		
Chief	.185*	(.089)	.065	(.042)	.072	(.043)		
Years of Experience	.003	(.003)	.002	(.002)	.001	(.002)		
Master's Degree	.063	(.050)	.017	(.034)	.034	(.032)		
Large Agency	.095	(.066)	.204***	(.035)	092**	(.037)		
White Male	072	(.062)	025	(.043)	016	(.041)		
South (Reference)	_		_		_			
Northeast	148	(.093)	069	(.051)	094	(.053)		
Midwest	072	(.077)	035	(.045)	060	(.044)		
West	153	(.093)	032	(.061)	061	(.059)		
Population Size <sup>d</sup>	026	(.027)	068***	(.017)	.042**	(.016)		
Unemployment Rated	074	(.062)	070	(.043)	050	(.044)		
%Trump Voters	002	(.002)	.000	(.001)	001	(.001)		
Intercept	5.279***	(.497)	5.056***	(.326)	4.796***	(.323)		
N	66.	5	2,49	96	2,496			
F-test	5.4	8***	6.76*	***	4.32***			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Multiple-imputation estimates (m=25) using OLS regression are displayed. Entries are unstandardized regression coefficients (b) and robust standard errors (SE).

b Mean coefficients and standard errors across 1,000 Monte Carlo simulations where we assumed nonrespondents would have scored 1-2 SDs below the respondent group mean on our dependent variable. *Citizen animus* was statistically significant 1,000 times, *local media* 1,000 times, *national media* 12 times, and *violent crime rate* 995 times. *%Black, %Hispanic, Change %Black, and Change %Hispanic* were not statistically significant in any of the simulated models.

<sup>c</sup> Mean coefficients and standard errors across 1,000 Monte Carlo simulations where we assumed nonrespondents would have scored 1-2 SDs above the respondent group mean on our dependent variable. *Citizen animus* was statistically significant 1,000 times, *local media* 1,000 times, *national* media 1 time, and *violent crime rate* 914 times. *%Black*, *%Hispanic, Change %Black, and Change %Hispanic* were not statistically significant in any of the simulated models.

<sup>d</sup> Natural log transformation.

\**p* < .05; \*\**p* < .01; \*\*\**p* < .001

Table C3. Study 2: Regression Models Predicting Procedural Justice, Distributive Justice, Lawfulness, and Effectiveness (N = 665)

	Procedural	Justice	Distributive	e Justice	Lawful	ness	Effectiveness <sup>b</sup>		
Variable	b	SE	b	SE	b	SE	b	SE	
Citizen Animus	268***	.065	341***	.071	270***	.073	924*	.387	
Hostile Local Media	091	.057	127*	.058	097	.059	329	.317	
Hostile National Media	.025	.047	.162**	.049	.039	.054	189	.222	
Violent Crime Rate <sup>a</sup>	055	.038	035	.037	082*	.037	254	.169	
%Black <sup>a</sup>	020	.034	004	.035	076	.040	395*	.191	
%Hispanic <sup>a</sup>	.055	.045	.049	.057	003	.041	123	.230	
Change %Black a	.192	.123	.063	.135	.101	.125	.488	.582	
Change %Hispanic a	220*	.100	084	.142	122	.123	012	.623	
Chief	.202	.107	.176	.111	.172	.132	.581	.623	
Years of Experience	.000	.004	.006	.004	.005	.005	.014	.022	
Master's Degree	.009	.061	.097	.062	.094	.070	022	.318	
Large Agency	.193*	.081	.049	.096	.075	.087	.230	.424	
White Male	.036	.093	089	.085	140	.087	-1.027**	.343	
South (Reference)	_		_		_		_		
Northeast	155	.115	132	.125	204	.123	-1.256*	.508	
Midwest	034	.089	073	.109	154	.107	976*	.470	
West	164	.104	060	.116	276	.145	-1.304*	.588	
Population size <sup>a</sup>	071*	.030	037	.038	.004	.035	086	.195	
Unemployment Rate a	019	.076	156	.087	007	.084	170	.348	
%Trump Voters	001	.002	002	.003	004	.003	011	.012	
Intercept	5.541***	.606	5.050***	.641	5.651***	.666	_		
7-test	4.15*	**	7.48***		4.09*	**	2.98***		
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	.214	1	.260	5	.233	3	_		

*NOTES*: Multiple-imputation estimates (m=25) using OLS regression are displayed unless otherwise noted. Entries are unstandardized regression coefficients (b) and robust standard errors (SE).

<sup>a</sup> Natural log transformation; <sup>b</sup> Ordered logistic regression; \*p < .05; \*\*p < .01; \*\*\*p < .001