**Appendix C**Top five evaluation criteria and complaint factors by reviewers' income status and station neighborhood type

Low-income reviewers		Middle-income reviewers		High-income reviewers		
Low-income area stations						
Evaluation criteria	Complaints	Evaluation criteria	Complaints	Evaluation criteria	Complaints	
Surrounding neighborhoods	Crime-related safety	Crime-related safety	Crime-related safety	Crime-related safety	Crime-related safety	
Cleanliness	Cleanliness	Surrounding neighborhoods	Social environment	Surrounding neighborhoods	Social environment	
Crime-related safety	Crowding	Cleanliness	Surrounding neighborhoods	Cleanliness	Cleanliness	
Location	Surrounding neighborhoods	Social environment	Cleanliness	Social environment	Surrounding neighborhoods	
Aesthetic appeal	Social environment	Aesthetic appeal	Presence of security guards	Location	Integration with other public transit system	
Non-low-inco	me area stations					
Evaluation criteria	Complaints	Evaluation criteria	Complaints	Evaluation criteria	Complaints	
Surrounding neighborhoods	Social environment	Parking	Crime-related safety	Location	Parking	
Cleanliness	Crime-related safety	Cleanliness	Parking	Parking	Social environment	
Parking	Parking	Crime-related safety	Cleanliness	Surrounding neighborhoods	Crime-related safety	
Location	Surrounding neighborhoods	Location	Social environment	Cleanliness	Cleanliness	
Crime-related safety	Cleanliness	Surrounding neighborhoods	Crowding	Social environment	Surrounding neighborhoods	

## Appendix D

Top five evaluation criteria and complaint factors by reviewers' race/ethnicity and station neighborhood type

White		Asian		Hispanic/Black		
Low-income area stations						
Evaluation criteria	Complaints	Evaluation criteria	Complaints	Evaluation criteria	Complaints	
Cleanliness	Crime-related safety	Crime-related safety	Crime-related safety	Crime-related safety	Crime-related safety	
Crime-related safety	Social environment	Surrounding neighborhoods	Social environment	Surrounding neighborhoods	Social environment	
Social environment	Cleanliness	Cleanliness	Cleanliness	Cleanliness	Cleanliness	
Location	Ease of moving around station	Aesthetic appeal	Facilities	Social environment	Surrounding neighborhoods	
Surrounding neighborhoods	Surrounding neighborhoods	Social environment	Parking	Location	Presence of security guards	
Non-low-inco	me area statio	ns				
Evaluation criteria	Complaints	Evaluation criteria	Complaints	Evaluation Complain		
Location	Parking	Parking	Parking	Location	Crime-related safety	
Parking	Integration with other public transit systems	Location	Crime-related safety	Cleanliness	Social environment	
Cleanliness	Social environment	Surrounding neighborhoods	Aesthetic appeal	Parking Parking		
Surrounding neighborhoods	Surrounding neighborhoods	Cleanliness	General access	Crime-related safety Cleanliness		
Crime-related safety	Crime-related safety	Crime-related safety	Social environment	Surrounding neighborhoods	Surrounding neighborhoods	

Appendix E

Top five evaluation criteria and complaint factors by reviewers' gender and station neighborhood type

1	Male	Female			
Low-income area stations					
<b>Evaluation criteria</b>	Complaints	Evaluation criteria	Complaints		
Surrounding neighborhoods	Crime-related safety	Crime-related safety	Crime-related safety		
Crime-related safety	Cleanliness	Social environment	Social environment		
Cleanliness	Surrounding neighborhoods	Cleanliness	Cleanliness		
Location	Social environment	Surrounding neighborhoods	Surrounding neighborhoods		
Social environment	Location	Location	Integration with other public transit system		
Non-low-income area	stations				
<b>Evaluation criteria</b>	Complaints	Evaluation criteria	Complaints		
Parking	Parking	Cleanliness	Crime-related safety		
Location	Crime-related safety	Parking	Social environment		
Cleanliness	Social environment	Location	Parking		
Surrounding neighborhoods	Cleanliness	Surrounding neighborhoods	Cleanliness		
Crime-related safety	Noise	Crime-related safety	Surrounding neighborhoods		

Appendix F
Station audit instrument and average ratings for each attribute by neighborhood type

Factors		80		Average rating	
		Description	Response categories	Low- income <sup>1</sup>	Non- low- income <sup>2</sup>
	Noise level	This station was at the time of my observation.	(1) very noisy (2) noisy (3) neither noisy or quiet (4) quiet (5) very quiet	2.70	3.56
	Source of noise	What was the source of noise?	Narratives	N/A	N/A
Comfort	Crowdedness	This station was at the time of my observation.	(1) very crowded (2) crowded (3) neither crowded nor uncongested (4) uncongested (5) empty	4.00	3.50
	Number of passengers	There were passengers at the time of my observation.	(1) 0 (2) 1-5 (3) 6-10 (4) 11- 20 (5) > 20	3.50	4.00
	Number of benches	This station has bench(es) at the station platform.	(1) 0 (2) 1-2 (3) 3-5 (4) 6-10 (5) > 10	3.50	3.50
Facilities	Number of available seats	There were seats available in the benches.	(1) no (2) few (3) some (4) many	3.50	3.33
	Shelters (Rain)	Passengers can avoid rain at the station platform.	(1) no (2) yes	1.00	1.00
	Shelters (wind)	Passengers can avoid wind at the station platform.	(1) no (2) yes	1.30	1.44
Cleanliness /Aesthetic appeal	Cleanliness	This station (including platforms, entrance, and stair) was	(1) very dirty (2) dirty (3) neither dirty nor clean (4) clean (5) very clean	2.90	3.61
	Amount of trash	There was trash in this station (including platforms, entrance, and stairs).	(1) much: trashes was very noticeable (2) some: trash was noticeable (3) little: trash was present (4) no: there was no trash at all	2.90	3.11
	Smell	This station (including platforms, entrance, and stair) was	(1) very smelly (2) smelly (3) neither smelly nor fragrant (4) fragrant	2.20	2.72
		What was the source of that smell?	Narratives	N/A	N/A
	Artwork/decorations	This station has art piece or decorations (e.g., sculptures).	(1) no (2) few (3) some (4) many	2.20	2.50
	Views	This station has view or scenery.	(1) bad (2) neither bad nor great (3) great	1.70	2.00
	Light	This station is	(I) dark (2) neither well-lit nor dark (3) well-lit	2.70	2.94
Security & social environment	Police/security guards	There were police or security guards at this station (including platforms, parking lot, and entrance).	(1) no (2) 1 (3) 2-3 (4) 4-6 (5) > 6 (or police station at a rail station)	1.90	2.22
	Homeless people	There were homeless people at this station (including platforms, parking lot, and entrance).	(1) > 6 (2) 4-6 (3) 2-3 (4) 1 (5) no	3.60	4.56
	Shady people	There wereshady people at this station (including platforms, parking lot, and entrance).	(1) > 6 (2) 4-6 (3) 2-3 (4) 1 (5) no	3.20	4.17

## **Appendix F** continued

Factors		Description	Response categories	Low- income <sup>1</sup>	Non- low- income <sup>2</sup>
Security	Overall safety	Overall, I felt at this station.	(1) very unsafe (2) unsafe (3) neither unsafe nor safe (4) safe (5) very safe	2.40	4.06
& social	Safety reasons	Why did you feel safe/unsafe?	Narratives	N/A	N/A
environment	Overall user characteristics	Describe the sociodemographic characteristics of the riders	Narratives	N/A	N/A
Parking	Parking lot	The station has a parking lot.	(1) no (2) yes	1.40	1.67
	Availability of parking space	There were parking spaces in the parking structure.	(1) no (no parking lot) (2) no (parking lot is full) (3) few (4) some (5) ample	2.30	2.78
_	Entry/Exit (inside the station)	It is to find an entry/exit inside the station.	(1) difficult (2) neither difficult nor easy (3) easy	3.00	3.00
Access	Access (from the street)	It is to access and find out the station from the street.	(1) difficult (2) neither difficult nor easy (3) easy	2.70	2.50
	Ease of moving in/around station	It is to move around the station (e.g., way-finding)	(1) difficult (2) neither difficult nor easy (3) easy	2.80	3.00
Location	Location	This station has a location.	(1) very poor (2) poor (3) neither poor nor great (4) great (5) very great	2.90	3.39
	Reasons	Why do you think this station has a great or poor location?	Narratives	N/A	N/A
	Surrounding neighborhood	There are to eat/drink or things to do right near the station.	(1) nothing (2) few things (3) some things (4) many things	2.70	2.94
Transit networks	Train frequency	Train came at the time of my observation.	(1) very infrequently (> 15 minutes) (2) infrequently (10–15 minutes) (3) neither frequently nor frequently (5–10 minutes) (4) frequently (3–5 minutes) (5) very frequently (≤ 3 minutes)	2.20	3.00
	Distance to the closest bus stop	The closest bus stop is by walking.	(1) very far (> 15 minutes) (2) far (10–15 minutes) (3) neither far nor close (5–10 minutes) (4) close (3–5 minutes) (5) very close (≤ 3 minutes)	4.80	4.89
	Number of bus lines	There are bus line(s) connected to this stations.	(1) < 2 (2) 2-4 (3) 5-9 (4) > 9	1.90	2.78
Overall rating	Overall ratings	If you were to rate this station overall, how many stars would you give?	(1) 1 star (2) 2 stars (3) 3 stars (4) 4 stars (5) 5 stars	2.70	3.50

Notes: Mariachi Plaza (gold), San Pedro (blue), Slauson (blue), Vermont/Athens (green), Westlake/MacArthur Park (red/purple) Harbor Freeway (green), Wilshire/Western (purple), Hollywood/Western (red), Universal City (red), Florence (blue), Pico (blue), Del Mar (gold), Lincoln Heights/Cypress Park (gold), Indiana (gold)