## **OPEN PRACTICES DISCLOSURE**

## PLEASE COMPLETE AND RETURN TO EDITORIALOFFICE@PSYCHOLOGICALSCIENCE.ORG

Clinical Psychological Science MS #: CPX- 18-0248.R Corresponding author: Payton Jones
Articles accepted to <i>Clinical Psychological Science</i> after July 1, 2016, are eligible to earn badges that recognize open scientific practices: publicly available data, material, or preregistered research plans. Please read more about the badges on our <u>Open Practice Badges page</u> , and you can also find information in the Open Science Framework <u>wiki</u> and <u>FAQ</u> .
☐ Please check this box if you are not interested in participating.
If you choose to participate, this form will be posted with your article as supplemental online material.
To apply for one or more badges acknowledging open practices, please check the appropriate box(es) below and provide the information requested in the relevant sections. You will not qualify for a badge for a given item unless you can provide a URL, doi, or other permanent path for accessing the specified information in a public, open-access repository. Qualifying public, open-access repositories are committed to preserving data, materials, and/or registered analysis plans and keeping them publicly accessible via the web into perpetuity. Files must be registered with a time stamp and must not be able to be changed at a later time. Examples of qualifying repositories include the Open Science Framework (OSF) and the various Dataverse networks. Hundreds of other qualifying data/materials repositories are listed at <a href="http://re3data.org/">http://re3data.org/</a> and <a href="http://databib.org/">http://databib.org/</a> . Preregistration of an analysis plan must take place via a publicly accessible registry system (e.g., OSF, ClinicalTrials.gov) or other trial registries in the <a href="https://www.who.newson.gov/">WHO Registry Network</a> , institutional registration systems). Personal websites and most departmental websites do not qualify as repositories.
Authors who wish to publicly post third-party material in their data, materials, or preregistration plan must have the proper authority or permission agreement in order to do so.
There are circumstances in which it is not possible or advisable to share any or all data, materials, or a research plan publicly. For example, there are cases in which sharing participants' data could violate confidentiality. If you would like your article to include an explanation of such circumstances and/or provide links to any data or materials you have made available—even if not under conditions eligible to earn a badge—you may write an alternative note that will be published in the Open Practices note in the article. Please check this box if you would like your article to include an alternative note and provide the text of the note below:
☐ Alternative Note:
Application for Open Data Badge  1. Provide the URL, doi, or other permanent path for accessing the data in a public, open-access repository:  osf.io/dhu7y
Confirm that there is sufficient information for an independent researcher to reproduce <b>all of the reported results</b> , including codebook if relevant.

		X	Confirm that you have registered the uploaded files so that they are <b>time sta</b>	mp	ed and cannot be changed.	
X	Application for Open Materials Badge					
	1.	Pro	vide the URL, doi, or other <b>permanent path</b> for accessing the materials in a <b>pu</b> osf.io/dhu7y	blic	c, open-access repository:	
		X	Confirm that there is sufficient information for an independent researcher to <b>methodology</b> .	rep	roduce <b>all of the reported</b>	
		X	Confirm that you have registered the uploaded files so that they are <b>time sta</b>	mp	ed and cannot be changed.	
	A	Application for Preregistered Badge				
	1.	Pro	vide the URL, doi, or other <b>permanent path</b> to the <b>public</b> registration in a <b>pub</b>	lic,	open-access repository.*	
	2.	Was	the analysis plan registered prior to examination of the data or observing the	out	comes? If no, explain.**	
	3.	Wei	re there additional registrations for the study other than the one reported? If yo	es, p	provide links and explain.*	
	4.	Wei	e there any changes to the preregistered analysis plan for the primary confirm	ato	ry analysis? If yes, explain.**	
	5.	Are	all of the analyses described in the registered plan reported in the article? If no	, ex	plain.*	
		**If post	badge will be awarded if (1) is not provided, <b>or</b> if (3) is answered "yes" without wered "no" without strong justification. the answer to (2) is "no," the notation DE (Data Exist) will be added to the badge dates realization of the outcomes but predates analysis. If the answer to (4) is niges, the notation TC (Transparent Changes) will be added to the badge, indicated but the preregistered analyses and rationale for the change are provided.	ge, i "ye:	ndicating that registration s" with strong justification for	
rep	rodi	uced	elow, authors affirm that the above information is accurate and complete, that or otherwise made available only with the permission of the original author or do not contain information that would allow individuals to be identified withou	cop	yright holder, and that publicly	
N	ame	. P	ayton Jones Dat	e:	03/18/2019	