## **Supplementary File 4: Table of Treatment and Outcomes**

	Intervention	Length of treatment	Treatment Fidelity/ manualised?	Home practice	Main Mental Health Outcomes	Main Physical Health Outcomes
Bussone et al. (1988)	1.Biofeedback and progressive muscle relaxation involving:  - Progressive muscle relaxation training - EMG Biofeedback: baseline, auditory feedback and self-control (feedback signal turned off, while subject was instructed to continue attempting to relax).  2. Relaxation placebo	7 hours	Manualised: Yes Treatment Fidelity independently rated: NR Supervision: NR	No home practice	Significantly greater reductions in the biofeedback group compared to the control group from pre-treatment to follow-up (post treatment, 3,6, and 12 month) in  • trait anxiety**  No significant difference between groups on state anxiety	Significantly greater reductions in the biofeedback group compared to the control group from baseline to follow-up (post treatment, 3,6, and 12 month) in  • pain total index • EMG ratings (reduction of muscle tension).
Chalder, Deary, Husain & Walwyn, 2010	1.Family focussed CBT: - activity pacing - sleep routine	13 hours	Manualised: Yes Fidelity independently rated: No	Families given treatment manual and homework	Significantly greater reductions in the FFCBT group compared to the	There were no significant differences between groups on post-

*2012 Follow up study included	- cognitive restructuring - encouraging family members to communicate about the illness - relapse prevention. 2.Psycho-ed: dyadic teaching about CFS - pre-disposing and maintaining factors symptom management)		Treatment Fidelity monitored through supervision: Yes		control group from pre-treatment to 24 month follow-up on	treatment, 6, 12 or 24 month follow-up school attendance or fatigue scores.
Crawley et al. (2017)	<ul> <li>1. Lightening Process (LP) plus Specialist Medical Care The Lightning Process consisted of <ul> <li>Small group sessions</li> <li>Psychoeducation about stress response, mind- body interaction and unhelpful thought processes.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	12 hours	Manualised: Yes Treatment Fidelity independently monitored: NR Treatment Fidelity monitored through supervision: NR	Yes	Significantly greater reductions in LP+SMC group compared with control group (SMC alone) from pre-treatment to 6 and 12 month follow-up in  • anxiety scores on SCAS • anxiety scores on HADS	Significantly greater improvements in LP+SMC group compared with control group (SMC alone) from pretreatment to 6 and 12 month follow-up in  • physical function • fatigue score on CFS

	<ul> <li>Group         discussion about         the use of         language.</li> <li>Goals         identification</li> <li>Cognitive         strategies</li> <li>The SMC was:         <ul> <li>conducted</li> <li>various</li> <li>healthcare</li> <li>professionals</li> <li>including</li> <li>doctors,</li> <li>physiotherapists</li> <li>and</li> <li>occupational</li> <li>therapists.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Participants         were seen by         the different         health care         providers based         on individual         goals.</li> <li>Specialist Medical         <ul> <li>Care (SMC) alone</li> </ul> </li> </ul>			Significantly greater reductions in LP+SMC group compared with control group (SMC alone) from pre-treatment to 12 month follow-up, but not at 6 month follow-up, in  • depression scores on HADS	Significantly greater increase in LP+SMC group compared with control group (SMC alone) from pretreatment to 12 month follow-up, but not at 6 month follow-up, in  • school attendance
Griffiths et al. (1996)	<ol> <li>Clinic, group based</li> <li>Home, individual</li> </ol>	12 hours	Manualised: Yes Treatment Fidelity	Between groups differences were not reported.	There was a significantly greater reduction in

Protocol for both	independently		• headache
groups:	rated: NR	Statistically	scores for
• Education	Supervision: NR	significant within	groups 1 and
• progressive		groups improvements	2 compared
relaxation and		from baseline to post-	with group 3
breathing		treatment for	from pre- to
exercises		<ul> <li>coping skills</li> </ul>	post-
Cognitive skills		for the home and	treatment.
I (monitoring		clinic treatment	
positive and		groups but not the	There were no
negative self-		control group	significant
statements about			differences between
headaches)		Statistically	groups 1 and 2 on
Cognitive skills		significant within	headache index
II (generating		groups improvements	scores.
positive self-		from baseline to post-	
statements to		treatment for	Non-significant
replace negative		<ul><li>anxiety</li></ul>	within groups
self-statements		for the clinic group,	reduction for groups
about exercises		but not the home or	1 and 2 but not the
headaches)		the control groups	control group from
Mental imagery			baseline to post-
• relapse		Non-significant	treatment in
management		reduction in	• medication
		depression scores for	usage
		the clinic group, but	D - 4
		not the home or the	Between groups
		control groups	differences were not
		Dayahalagiaal	reported at follow-
		Psychological massures were not	up (9weeks).
		measures were not	

					collected at follow-up (9weeks).	
Hickman et al. 2015	1.COPE-HEP:  • Thinking, feeling, behaving headache triggers • Self-esteem • Stress and coping • Problem solving  Headache education • Headache triggers Headache management	3 hours	Manualised:Yes Treatment Fidelity independently rated: No Treatment Fidelity monitored through supervision: Yes		There were significant improvements in both groups from pre- to post- intervention in  • anxiety scores****  • depression scores  • coping beliefs  Perceived stress scores were not significantly reduced in either group.  No between groups differences were observed.	There was a significant reduction in headache disability for both groups***  No group differences were observed.
Kashikar- Zuck et al. (2005)	CBT (CST)  • muscle relaxation techniques • activity pacing • cognitive techniques (negative thoughts/ mood difficulties) • problem-solving • sleep hygiene	6 hours	Manualised:Yes Treatment Fidelity independently monitored: No Treatment Fidelity monitored through supervision: Yes	Home practice was assigned for each of these techniques at the end of each training session.	Significant within subjects improvement in both groups from pre-to post-treatment for  • depressive symptoms  There were no significant differences between groups.	Significantly greater reduction in the CST compared with the SM group from pre- to post-treatment in  • pain levels  Significant within groups differences from pre-to post-intervention in

	Parent training in behavioural management techniques (changing response to illness)  2. SM Self-monitoring headaches with diary				Non-significant greater reduction in the SM to CST group compared to the CST to SM group in	<ul> <li>pain-coping efficacy</li> <li>No significant change in</li> <li>tender point or</li> <li>pressure-pain threshold.</li> </ul>
						Non-significant greater reduction in the SM to CST group compared to the CST to SM group in  • overall functional disability from pre-treatment to follow-up (after cross-over)
Kashikar- Zuck, Ting, Arnold, Bean, Powers, Graham, et al. (2012)	muscle     relaxation     techniques     activity pacing     cognitive     techniques     (negative)	7 hours 30 minutes	Manualised:Yes Treatment Fidelity independently monitored: Yes Treatment Fidelity monitored	H/w for both groups on content of previous session	Significantly greater reduction in the CBT group compared with the FME group from pre- to post-treatment in  • depression scores.	Significantly greater reduction in the CBT group compared with the FME group from pre- to post-treatment in  • Functional disability

	thoughts/ mood difficulties)  • problem-solving  • sleep hygiene  • Parent training in behavioural management techniques (changing response to illness)  2. FM Education  • Information about medication  Discussion of lifestyle issues (diet, sleep, exercise)		through supervision : NR		Treatment gains, were maintained at follow-up, however the between groups difference did not reach significance at 6 month follow-up.	Treatment gains were maintained at 6 month follow-up.  Significant within groups reduction from baseline to follow-up in  • pain severity  No significant between group differences.
Law et al. (2015)	1. Internet WEB-MAP programme plus Specialist Headache Treatment (SHT). For the Internet WEB-MAP programme, adolescents completed online modules on  • pain education • goal setting • relaxation training • distraction strategies	9 hours per family (4 hours for adolescents, 4 hours for parents, 1 hour online coach time)	Manualised: Yes Treatment Fidelity independently monitored: NR Treatment Fidelity monitored through supervision: Yes	Yes	No significant between group differences from pre to post-treatment or at 3 month follow-up for	No significant between group differences from pre to post-treatment or at 3 month follow- up on  • headache frequency • headache intensity • activity limitation

	 		T = 1
• cognitive		<ul> <li>depression</li> </ul>	Significant within
strategies			groups
<ul><li>sleep and</li></ul>			improvement from
lifestyle			pre- to post-
• relapse			treatment for both
prevention			groups in
Adolescents also had			<ul> <li>headache</li> </ul>
limited time with an			frequency
online coach.			<ul> <li>headache</li> </ul>
Parents completed			intensity
modules			
<ul> <li>pain education</li> </ul>			
goal setting			
operant training			
communication			
strategies			
• modelling			
• cognitive			
strategies			
• sleep and			
lifestyle			
• relapse			
prevention			
For the Specialist			
Headache Treatment,			
participants received			
one or more of the			
following interventions			
as recommended by			
their providers at the			
headache clinic:			

medication     management     psychological     therapy (face-to     face cognitive     behavioural     therapy for pain     management     and/or     biofeedback)     physical therapy     2. Specialist Headache     Treatment alone  Levy et al     (2010)  Levy et al     (2010)  I.SLCBT:     relaxation     training     modification of     family response     to illness and     wellness     behaviours     cognitive     restructuring     2.Education (ES):     education about     GI system     anatomy and     function     nutrition     guidelines     additional food-related     information.	4 hours	Manualised:Yes Treatment Fidelity independently monitored: Yes Treatment Fidelity monitored through supervision: NR		Significantly greater improvement in the SLCBT group, compared with the ES group in  • parent report depression scores • child report catastrophising (anxiety measure)  Treatment gains were maintained at follow-up, however the between groups difference was not significant at 3 and 6 month follow-up as	Significantly greater reduction in the SLCBT group, compared with the ES group in  • parent report pain from pre-treatment to follow-up (time points: post-treatment, 3 and 6 months) Significantly greater improvement in the SLCBT group, compared with the ES group in parent report
---	---------	---	--	--	---

McGrath et	1.Self-Administered	8 hours	Manualised: Yes	Yes for both	the ES group had also improved.  Significantly greater improvement in the SLCBT group, compared with the ES group in parent report  Parental solicitousness (protectiveness in relation to pain)  Perceived pain threat  Problem focussed coping  Emotion focussed coping  And child report  Pain coping skills from pre-treatment to follow-up (time points: post-treatment, 3 and 6 months)  No between group	parent and child report symptom severity     parent report child functional disability from pre-treatment to post-treatment, but not for follow-ups, where the ES group had also improved.  Significantly greater
al. (1992)	(SA) at home 2.Clinic based (CB):	o nours	Treatment Fidelity	groups (not ctrl)	differences were observed.	reduction for the SA group compared with the CB and

	Protocol for both groups:  Relaxation exercises Cognitive restructuring Distraction and coping strategies Problem-solving  3.Control: Provided with list of common triggers for headache, asked to avoid Taught a brainstorming technique for stressful		independently rated: NR Treatment Fidelity monitored through supervision: NR		A significant reduction in  • depression scores from pre- to post-treatment for all 3 groups.  *Treatment gains were maintained for the clinic and self-administer groups at 3 and 12 month follow-up.	control groups from pre- to post- treatment in
Palermo et al. (2009)	situations  1.Online Family SLCBT: Children:  • psychoeducation • cognitive restructuring • relaxation techniques Parents: • psychoeducation	9 hours	Manualised: Yes Treatment Fidelity independently rated: NA (online modules)	Yes (assignments between logins)	No significant within group or between group differences on pre- to post-treatment	Significantly greater reduction in the SLCBT group compared with the control group in  • activity limitations • pain intensity *Within groups treatment gains in

	<ul> <li>operant skills training (response to illness)</li> <li>communication</li> <li>modelling</li> </ul> 2.Wait list control group				• depressive symptoms at 3 month follow-up (control group not followed up).	these domains were maintained for the SLCBT group at follow-up (control group not followed up).
Palermo et al. (2016)	1. Internet delivered CBT (WEB-MAP)  Pain education  training in behavioural and cognitive coping skills  activity scheduling  education about pain behaviours  parental operant and communication strategies  Limited access to an online coach  Internet delivered Education  Pain psycho- education	9 hours per family (4 hours - adolescent, 4 hours - parent and 1 hour - coach time)	Manualised: Yes Treatment Fidelity independently monitored: NR Treatment Fidelity monitored through supervision: Yes	Yes	Significantly greater reductions in Internet CBT group compared with control group from pre- to post-treatment, but not at 6 month follow up in  Depression subscale (BAPQ) Pain-related Anxiety subscale (BAPQ) No significant between group differences on General anxiety subscale (BAPQ)	Significantly greater improvements in Internet CBT group compared with control group from pre-treatment to 6 follow-up in  • Activity limitations  No significant between group differences from pre-treatment to 6 month follow-up on  • pain intensity

	Diaries and assessments					
Scharff et al. (2002)	1.Hand-warming group:      Thermal biofeedback training     Progressive muscle relaxation     Imagery     Vasodilation     Breathing techniques     Stress management techniques 2.Hand-cooling group:     Thermal biofeedback training     Imagery (cold places)     Peripheral vasoconstriction     Therapist support  3. Wait list control group	4 hours (hand-warming and hand-cooling groups)	Manualised: Yes Treatment Fidelity independently rated: No Treatment Fidelity monitored through supervision: NR	Yes	No significant between or within groups differences reported at any time point on  • Depression scores • Anxiety scores	Significantly greater reduction in the hand-warming group than the hand-cooling and waitlist control groups from pre- to post-treatment in  • Headache index  No between group differences at 3,6 or 12 month follow-up
Schurman et al (2010)	1.BART:	8 hours and 20 minutes	Manualised: Yes Treatment Fidelity	Homework, focused	Significantly greater reduction in	Significantly greater reduction in the BART+SMC group

	<ul> <li>standard medical treatment plus</li> <li>relaxation methods such as abdominal breathing progressive muscle relaxation imagery and autogenic handwarming</li> <li>integration and maintenance of these skills.</li> <li>Participants were provided with a practice CD and a temperature trainer for use at home</li> <li>2.SMT: Standard medical treatment alone</li> </ul>		independently rated: NR Treatment Fidelity monitored through supervision: NR	on mastery of relaxation skills	parent report depressive scores for the SMC group than the BART+SMC group from pre-treatment to 6 month follow-up.  No significant reduction in in either group for anxiety scores	compared with the SMC group from pre-treatment to 6 month follow in  • highest level of pain intensity pain duration up
Trautmann &	medical treatment alone 1.Internet based CBT:	Unknown (6	Manualised: Yes	Yes	No significant	No significant
Kroner-	• education on	modules)	Treatment	I es	between group	between group
Herwig	headaches	1112 44145)	Fidelity		differences in	differences in
(2010)	• stress		independently		• pain	<ul> <li>headache</li> </ul>
	management				catastrophizing	frequency

			4. 1. NIA (1'		(	1 1 1
	<ul> <li>progressive</li> </ul>		rated: NA (online		(measure of	• headache
	relaxation		modules)		anxiety)	intensity
	techniques					<ul> <li>headache</li> </ul>
	<ul><li>cognitive</li></ul>				Significant reduction	duration
	restructuring				in all three groups	
	<ul> <li>self-confidence</li> </ul>				from pre-treatment to	Significant
	strategies				follow-up (time-points	reduction in all
	<ul> <li>problem-solving</li> </ul>				at post-treatment and	three groups from
	2.Applied Relaxation				6 months) in	pre-treatment to
	(AR):				• pain	follow-up (time-
	<ul><li>progressive</li></ul>				catastrophizing	points at post-
	relaxation					treatment and 6
	• cue-controlled				No significant within	months) in
	relaxation				or between group	<ul> <li>headache</li> </ul>
	differential				differences in	frequency
	relaxation				<ul> <li>depression</li> </ul>	headache duration
	3.Education (control):				<ul> <li>emotional</li> </ul>	
	headache education				adjustment	
	ileadactic education				<ul> <li>behavioural</li> </ul>	
					adjustment	
					relationship factors	
van der	1.CBT:	4 hours 30	Manualised: No	Not reported	Significantly greater	No significant
Veek, Derkx,	Tailored to child's	minutes	Tailored to child	rvot reported	reduction in the CBT	between group
Benninga,	needs	minutes	needs, including		group compared with	differences on
Boer, de	• relaxation		the therapists		the IMC group from	• Pain
Haan (2013)	exercises		choice of 1		pre- to post-	intensity
			standard and 3		intervention and at 6	•
	• cognitive re-		optional		month follow-up in	<ul><li>pain duration</li></ul>
	structuring		modules.		• depression	
	• graded exposure		Treatment		scores	• functional
	• behaviour		Fidelity			disability
	therapy directed		1 Identy		<ul> <li>anxiety scores.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Somatisation</li> </ul>
	at parent					

	(response to		independently		These differences did	Significant within
	illness		rated: NA			_
					not reach significance	groups reduction
	behaviours)		Treatment		at 12 month follow-up	from pre- to post-
	2.Intensive Medical		Fidelity		as the IMC group	treatment for both
	Treatment (IMT)		monitored		scores had improved.	groups in
	<ul> <li>prescription of</li> </ul>		through			• Pain
	medication		supervision : Yes			intensity
	<ul> <li>education about</li> </ul>					• pain
	complaints					duration
	advice about					• functional
	continuing					disability
	school and daily					
	activities					Somatisation
	dietary advice					Somatisation
	dictary advice					These treatment
						gains were
						maintained at 6 and
						12 month follow-
						up.
Warner et	1.CBT (Treatment of	14 hours and	Manualised: Yes	NR	Significantly greater	Significantly greater
al., (2011)	Anxiety and Physical	15 minutes	Treatment	INIX	reductions in TAPS	reductions in TAPS
un, (2011)	1	13 minutes				
	Symptoms; TAPS)		Fidelity		group compared with	compared with
	• relaxation		independently		control group from	waitlist control
	<ul><li>cognitive</li></ul>		rated: NR		pre- to post-treatment	group from pre- to
	restructuring		Treatment		in	post-treatment in
	<ul><li>exposure</li></ul>		Fidelity		<ul> <li>those meeting</li> </ul>	<ul><li>parent pain</li></ul>
	exercises to		monitored		criteria for	rating
	target fears		through		Anxiety	<ul> <li>child pain</li> </ul>
	related to		supervision: NR		Disorders	rating
	physical pain				<ul><li>clinical</li></ul>	
	and anxiety				severity rating	Significantly greater
	,				of anxiety	increases in TAPS

	inducing situations  change parental responses to illness (decrease avoidance related to pain and reinforce active coping)  2.Wait list control group				*Treatment gains were maintained at 3 month follow-up	compared with control group from pre- to post- treatment in         • independent
Wicksell et al. (2009)	1.ACT/CBT Child:	13 hours	Manualised: Yes Treatment Fidelity independently monitored: Yes Treatment Fidelity monitored through supervision: Yes	Yes	Significantly greater changes were seen in the ACT group as compared to the MDT group from pre- to post-treatment in  • Kinesiophobia (pain related anxiety)  • pain impairment beliefs This difference reached significance only for pain impairment beliefs when 3.5 and 6.5 month follow-up assessments were	Significantly greater changes were seen in the ACT group as compared to the MDT group from pre- to post-intervention in  • health-related quality of life  • pain interference  • pain intensity  • pain-related discomfort  These differences reached significance only for

	• operant		included in the	Pain intensity and
	mechanisms		analysis.	Pain-related
	(adapting			discomfort when
	responses to		A non-significant	3.5 and 6.5 month
	illness)		greater reduction in	follow-up
	<ul> <li>shift perspective</li> </ul>		the ACT group	assessments were
	from symptom		compared to the MDT	included in the
1	alleviation to		group in	analysis.
	valued life		<ul> <li>in depression</li> </ul>	
			scores	
	2.Multidisciplinary		from pre-treatment to	
	treatment and		6.5 month follow-up	
	amitriptyline (MDT)			
	• The MDT was			
	performed by a			
	psychiatrist, a			
	child			
	psychologist, a			
	physiotherapist			
	and a pain			
	physician			
	Participants were seen			
	by the different health			
	care providers based on			
i	individual needs.			

NR=Not Reported
\*referring to pre-post treatment gains as follow-up comparison not carried out since control group had been given treatment at this stage
\*\* Findings due to baseline differences and were not clinically significant.
\*\*\*significant at the .10 level

<sup>\*\*\*\*</sup> Effects disappeared when analysis controlled for baseline levels