## Supplementary Material

Table S. 1 - Ankle joint range of motion, peak net moment, and angular velocities over stance. Instantaneous net ankle joint power = (moment*angular velocity) was integrated to obtain ankle positive/negative work reported in the main manuscript. PRE=pre-training, POST=post-training, FU1=1-month follow up, FU5=5-month


| Visit | Run Speed ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ) | Ankle Range of Motion (Deg) |  | Ankle Moment ( $\mathrm{Nm} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) |  | Max Ankle Angular Velocity (Deg/sec) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Plantarflexion | Dorsiflexion |  |
|  |  | L | R |  |  | L | R | L | R | L | R |
| PRE | 2.0-2.2 | 10(1) | 41(3) | 1.8(0.5) | 1.7(0.6) | 105(23) | 301(55) | 124(6) | 182(8) |
| POST | 1.9-2.2 | 11(1) | 34(3) | 2.8(0.4) | 2.2(0.4) | 139(21) | 323(50) | 137(24) | 172(29) |
| FU1 | 2.0-2.2 | 10(1) | 38(5) | 2.7(0.4) | 2.3(0.3) | 113(10) | 374(32) | 177(24) | 209(23) |
| FU5 | 2.0-2.1 | 15(1) | 34(5) | 3.3(0.3) | 2.5(0.1) | 183(15) | 344(12) | 194(4) | 172(4) |
| AB | 2.5 | 33(4) |  | 2.2(0.3) |  | 392(41) |  | 252(53) |  |

Table S. 2 - Representative data illustrating the effect of varied strike index on ankle and foot work. Trial 1 and Trial 2 during PRE had $33 \%$ and $7 \%$ strike indices respectively, while Trial 3 during POST had a $40 \%$ strike index - firmly in the midfoot classification. Substantial variation exists between Trial 1 and Trial 2 in the work ratios for the ankle and foot when calculated separately, while a ratio of the summed ankle+foot work ( $W R_{\text {AF }}$ ) is relatively similar. Trial 3 at POST had even greater $W R_{A F}$, as the ankle contributed more work to the sum relative to the distal foot. This demonstrates that while rearfoot striking can yield relatively similar net $W^{\text {AF }}$ to midfoot striking, underlying ankle-foot work constituents can differ. Regardless, in a relative comparison, a midfoot strike was more effective as it yielded the greatest $W_{\text {AF }}$ magnitude in addition to low inter-cycle variability (Trial 3). This is likely due to the distal foot + PD-AFO footplate complex being less mechanically efficient than the ankle + PD-AFO strut complex, in terms of storing and returning elastic energy.

|  | PRE Trial 1 (3 minutes) <br> SI = 33\% (rear-midfoot) |  | PRE Trial 2 (7 minutes) <br> SI $=7 \%$ (heel) |  |  | POST Trial 3 (7 minutes) <br> SI = 40\% (midfoot) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ankle | Foot | $\mathbf{A + F}$ <br> Sum | Ankle | Foot | A+F <br> Sum | Ankle | Foot | A+F <br> Sum |
| +work (J/kg) | 0.28 | 0.01 | $\mathbf{0 . 2 9}$ | 0.10 | 0.11 | $\mathbf{0 . 2 1}$ | 0.33 | 0.01 | $\mathbf{0 . 3 4}$ |
| -work (J/kg) | -0.19 | -0.25 | $\mathbf{- 0 . 4 4}$ | -0.23 | -0.16 | $\mathbf{- 0 . 3 9}$ | -0.25 | -0.17 | $\mathbf{- 0 . 4 2}$ |
| work ratio (\%) | $147 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $\mathbf{6 6 \%}$ | $43 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $\mathbf{5 4 \%}$ | $132 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $\mathbf{8 1 \%}$ |

