Appendix 3. List of studies excluded during the full-text screening process of the current review and reasons for exclusion.

Nr. of studies	Reason of exclusion	References
25	No measurement instrument with clinimetric outcome measures (following diagnostic accuracy or the ICF model)	1–25
9	Not within the domain of a physical therapist	26–34
6	Not the original research paper	35–40
5	Duplicate	41–45
2	No full-text available	46,47
2	Not focused on headache evaluation	48,49
2	Wrong patient population	50,51
1	Wrong language	52

References

1. Steiner TJ, Buse DC, Al Jumah M, et al. The headache under-response to treatment (HURT) questionnaire, an outcome measure to guide follow-up in primary care: development, psychometric evaluation and assessment of utility. *J Headache Pain*. 2018;19(1):15. doi:10.1186/s10194-018-0842-6.
2. Allen RA, Weinmann RL. The mcGill-Melzack Pain Questionnaire in the diagnosis of headache. *Headache*. 1982;22(1):20-29. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17152741. Accessed October 25, 2018.
3. Dowson AJ. Assessing the impact of migraine. *Curr Med Res Opin*. 2001;17(4):298-309. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11922404. Accessed October 25, 2018.
4. Gandek B, Alacoque J, Uzun V, Andrew-Hobbs M, Davis K. Translating the Short-Form Headache Impact Test (HIT-6) in 27 countries: methodological and conceptual issues. *Qual Life Res*. 2003;12(8):975-979. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14651416. Accessed October 25, 2018.
5. Ghandehari K, Ashrafzadeh F, Mood ZI, Ebrahimzadeh S, Arabikhan K. Development and validation of the Asian Migraine Criteria (AMC). *J Clin Neurosci*. 2012;19(2):224-228. doi:10.1016/j.jocn.2011.05.019.
6. Ghorbani A, Chitsaz A. Comparison of validity and reliability of the Migraine disability assessment (MIDAS) versus headache impact test (HIT) in an Iranian population. *Iran J Neurol*. 2011;10(3-4):39-42. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24250844. Accessed October 25, 2018.
7. Jhingran P, Davis SM, LaVange LM, Miller DW, Helms RW. MSQ: Migraine-Specific Quality-of-Life Questionnaire. Further investigation of the factor structure. *Pharmacoeconomics*. 1998;13(6):707-717. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10179706. Accessed October 25, 2018.
8. Lipton RB, Bigal ME, Amatniek JC, Stewart WF. Tools for diagnosing migraine and measuring its severity. *Headache*. 2004;44(5):387-398. doi:10.1111/j.1526-4610.2004.04089.x.
9. Lipton RB, Stewart WF, Sawyer J, Edmeads JG. Clinical utility of an instrument assessing migraine disability: the Migraine Disability Assessment (MIDAS) questionnaire. *Headache*. 2001;41(9):854-861.

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11703471. Accessed October 25, 2018.
10. Magnusson JE, Riess CM, Becker WJ. Modification of the SF-36 for a headache population changes patient-reported health status. *Headache*. 2012;52(6):993-1004. doi:10.1111/j.1526-4610.2012.02156.x.
11. Mongini F, Deregibus A, Raviola F, Mongini T. Confirmation of the distinction between chronic migraine and chronic tension-type headache by the McGill Pain Questionnaire. *Headache*. 2003;43(8):867-877. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12940808. Accessed October 25, 2018.
12. Niere K, Jerak A. Measurement of headache frequency, intensity and duration: comparison of patient report by questionnaire and headache diary. *Physiother Res Int*. 2004;9(4):149-156. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15790252. Accessed October 25, 2018.
13. Appelbaum KA, Radnitz CL, Blanchard EB, Prins A. The Pain Behavior Questionnaire (PBQ): A Global Report of Pain Behavior inChronic Headache. *Headache J Head Face Pain*. 1988;28(1):53-58. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2524.1988.hed2801053.x.
14. Peng K-P, Wang S-J. Migraine diagnosis: screening items, instruments, and scales. *Acta Anaesthesiol Taiwan*. 2012;50(2):69-73. doi:10.1016/j.aat.2012.05.002.
15. Sauro KM, Rose MS, Becker WJ, et al. HIT-6 and MIDAS as measures of headache disability in a headache referral population. *Headache*. 2010;50(3):383-395. doi:10.1111/j.1526-4610.2009.01544.x.
16. Schrader H, Stovner LJ, Obelieniene D, et al. Examination of the diagnostic validity of “headache attributed to whiplash injury”: a controlled, prospective study. *Eur J Neurol*. 2006;13(11):1226-1232. doi:10.1111/j.1468-1331.2006.01481.x.
17. Schürks M, Buring JE, Kurth T. Agreement of self-reported migraine with ICHD-II criteria in the Women’s Health Study. *Cephalalgia*. 2009;29(10):1086-1090. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2982.2008.01835.x.
18. Steiner TJ, Gururaj G, Andrée C, et al. Diagnosis, prevalence estimation and burden measurement in population surveys of headache: presenting the HARDSHIP questionnaire. *J Headache Pain*. 2014;15(1):3. doi:10.1186/1129-2377-15-3.
19. Bech P, Kastrup M, Loldrup D. Use of headache rating scales: a multiaxial approach. *Cephalalgia*. 1986;6(2):69-80. doi:10.1046/j.1468-2982.1986.0602069.x.
20. Becker WJ. Assessing health-related quality of life in patients with migraine. *Can J Neurol Sci*. 2002;29 Suppl 2:S16-22. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12139081. Accessed October 25, 2018.
21. Biondi DM. Cervicogenic headache: a review of diagnostic and treatment strategies. *J Am Osteopath Assoc*. 2005;105(4 Suppl 2):16S-22S. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15928349. Accessed October 25, 2018.
22. Bjorner JB, Kosinski M, Ware JE. Using item response theory to calibrate the Headache Impact Test (HIT) to the metric of traditional headache scales. *Qual Life Res*. 2003;12(8):981-1002. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14651417. Accessed October 25, 2018.
23. Bjorner JB, Kosinski M, Ware JE. The feasibility of applying item response theory to measures of migraine impact: a re-analysis of three clinical studies. *Qual Life Res*. 2003;12(8):887-902. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14651410. Accessed October 25, 2018.
24. Bjorner JB, Kosinski M, Ware JE. Calibration of an item pool for assessing the burden of headaches: an application of item response theory to the headache impact test (HIT). *Qual Life Res*. 2003;12(8):913-933. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14651412. Accessed October 25, 2018.
25. Buse DC, Sollars CM, Steiner TJ, Jensen RH, Al Jumah MA, Lipton RB. Why HURT? A review of clinical instruments for headache management. *Curr Pain Headache Rep*. 2012;16(3):237-254. doi:10.1007/s11916-012-0263-1.
26. Österlund C, Berglund H, Åkerman M, et al. Diagnostic criteria for temporomandibular disorders: Diagnostic accuracy for general dentistry procedure without mandatory commands regarding myalgia, arthralgia and headache attributed to

temporomandibular disorder. *J Oral Rehabil*. 2018;45(7):497-503. doi:10.1111/joor.12643.
27. Abrignani G, Ferrante T, Castellini P, et al. Description and Validation of an Italian ICHD-II-Based Questionnaire for Use in Epidemiological Research. *Headache J Head Face Pain*. 2012;52(8):1262-1282. doi:10.1111/j.1526-4610.2011.02057.x.
28. Andrée C, Vaillant M, Barre J, et al. Development and validation of the EUROLIGHT questionnaire to evaluate the burden of primary headache disorders in Europe. *Cephalalgia*. 2010;30(9):1082-1100. doi:10.1177/0333102409354323.
29. Bruehl S, Lofland KR, Semenchuk EM, Rokicki LA, Penzien DB. Use of cluster analysis to validate IHS diagnostic criteria for migraine and tension-type headache. *Headache*. 1999;39(3):181-189. doi:10.1046/j.1526-4610.1999.3903181.x.
30. Gil-Gouveia R, Oliveira AG, Martins IP. A subjective cognitive impairment scale for migraine attacks. The MIG-SCOG: development and validation. *Cephalalgia*. 2011;31(9):984-991. doi:10.1177/0333102411408359.
31. Herekar AD, Herekar AA, Ahmad A, et al. The burden of headache disorders in Pakistan: methodology of a population-based nationwide study, and questionnaire validation. *J Headache Pain*. 2013;14(1):73. doi:10.1186/1129-2377-14-73.
32. Lipton RB, Kolodner K, Bigal ME, et al. Validity and reliability of the Migraine-Treatment Optimization Questionnaire. *Cephalalgia*. 2009;29(7):751-759. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2982.2008.01786.x.
33. Mannix LK, Solomon GD. Quality of life in migraine. *Clin Neurosci*. 1998;5(1):38-42. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9523057. Accessed October 25, 2018.
34. Rao GN, Kulkarni GB, Gururaj G, et al. The burden of headache disorders in India: methodology and questionnaire validation for a community-based survey in Karnataka State. *J Headache Pain*. 2012;13(7):543-550. doi:10.1007/s10194-012-0474-1.
35. Ebell MH. Diagnosis of migraine headache. *Am Fam Physician*. 2006;74(12):2087-2088. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17186716. Accessed October 25, 2018.
36. Howard PD, Behrns W, Martino M Di, DiMambro A, McIntyre K, Shurer C. Manual examination in the diagnosis of cervicogenic headache: a systematic literature review. *J Man Manip Ther*. 2015;23(4):210-218. doi:10.1179/2042618614Y.0000000097.
37. Lipton RB. How useful is the HIT-6 for measuring headache-related disability? *Nat Clin Pract Neurol*. 2006;2(2):70-71. doi:10.1038/ncpneuro0122.
38. Rubio-Ochoa J, Benítez-Martínez J, Lluch E, Santacruz-Zaragozá S, Gómez-Contreras P, Cook CE. Physical examination tests for screening and diagnosis of cervicogenic headache: A systematic review. *Man Ther*. 2016;21:35-40. doi:10.1016/j.math.2015.09.008.
39. Sheftell FD, Cady RK, Borchert LD, Spalding W, Hart CC. Optimizing the diagnosis and treatment of migraine. *J Am Acad Nurse Pract*. 2005;17(8):309-317. doi:10.111/j.1745-7599.2005.0051.x.
40. Von Korff M, Stewart WF, Lipton RB. Assessing headache severity. New directions. *Neurology*. 1994;44(6 Suppl 4):S40-6. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8008224. Accessed October 25, 2018.
41. El Hasnaoui A, Vray M, Richard A, Nachit-Ouinekh F, Boureau F, MIGSEV Group. Assessing the severity of migraine: development of the MIGSEV scale. *Headache*. 2003;43(6):628-635. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12786922. Accessed October 25, 2018.
42. Graef JE, Rief W, French DJ, Nilges P, Nestoriuc Y. German Language Adaptation of the Headache Management Self-Efficacy Scale (HMSE-G) and Development of a New Short Form (HMSE-G-SF). *Headache*. 2015;55(7):958-972. doi:10.1111/head.12564.
43. Patrick DL, Hurst BC, Hughes J. Further development and testing of the migraine-specific quality of life (MSQOL) measure. *Headache*. 40(7):550-560. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10940093. Accessed October 25, 2018.
44. Siva A, Zarifoglu M, Ertas M, et al. Validity of the ID-Migraine screener in the workplace. *Neurology*. 2008;70(16):1337-1345. doi:10.1212/01.wnl.0000309221.85545.0d.

45. Van Suijlekom HA, De Vet HC, Van Den Berg SG, Weber WE. Interobserver reliability in physical examination of the cervical spine in patients with headache. *Headache*. 40(7):581-586. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10940097. Accessed October 25, 2018.
46. Dahlöf CGH. Measuring disability and quality of life in migraine. *Drugs Today (Barc)*. 2003;39 Suppl D:17-23. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15071616. Accessed October 25, 2018.
47. Simple three-item screen offers efficient way to identify migraine in primary care. *Dis Manag Advis*. 2003;9(10):137-139, 129. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14679873. Accessed October 25, 2018.
48. Anciano D. The Pain Behaviour Checklist: factor analysis and validation. *Br J Clin Psychol*. 1986;25 (Pt 4):301-302. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3801733. Accessed October 25, 2018.
49. La Touche R, Pardo-Montero J, Gil-Martínez A, et al. Craniofacial pain and disability inventory (CF-PDI): development and psychometric validation of a new questionnaire. *Pain Physician*. 17(1):95-108. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24452650. Accessed October 25, 2018.
50. Celebisoy N, Karapolat H, Gokcay F, et al. Establishing a "Vestibular Migraine Diagnosis Questionnaire" and Testing Its Validity. *Neurologist*. 2016;21(4):51-54. doi:10.1097/NRL.0000000000000076.
51. Jakubowski M, Silberstein S, Ashkenazi A, Burstein R. Can allodynic migraine patients be identified interictally using a questionnaire? *Neurology*. 2005;65(9):1419-1422. doi:10.1212/01.wnl.0000183358.53939.38.
52. Benseñor IJ, Lotufo PA, Pereira AC, et al. [Validation of a questionnaire for the diagnosis of headache in an outpatient clinic at a university hospital]. *Arq Neuropsiquiatr*. 1997;55(3A):364-369. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9629350. Accessed October 25, 2018.