#### Appendix

#### Medline search strategy

- 1 Infant Formula/
- 2 Beverages/
- 3 Bottle Feeding/
- 4 exp Breast Feeding/
- 5 Milk, Human/
- 6 Cariogenic Agents/
- 7 Diet, Cariogenic/
- 8 exp Cariostatic Agents/
- 9 complementary food\*.mp.
- 10 Infant Food/
- 11 exp Feeding Behavior/
- 12 Fluoridation/
- 13 Milk/
- 14 follow on formula.mp.
- 15 follow-on formula.mp.
- 16 free sugar\*.mp.
- 17 Oral Health/
- 18 Health Education, Dental/
- 19 Oral hygiene/
- 20 Dietary Sucrose/
- 21 Toothbrushing/
- 22 1 or 2 or 3 or 4 or 5 or 6 or 7 or 8 or 9 or 10 or 11 or 12 or 13 or 14 or 15 or 16 or 17 or
- 18 or 19 or 20 or 21
- 23 exp Dental Caries/
- 24 carious dentine.mp.
- 25 carious lesion\*.mp.
- 26 carious lesion\*.mp.
- 27 cavit\*.mp.
- tooth decay.mp.
- 29 dental decay.mp.
- 30 deft.mp.
- 31 dft.mp.
- 32 dmf index/
- 33 exp dental materials/ or dental amalgam/
- 34 Dental Restoration, Permanent/
- 35 Tooth Demineralization/
- 36 Tooth Remineralization/
- 37 23 or 24 or 25 or 26 or 27 or 28 or 29 or 30 or 31 or 32 or 33 or 34 or 35 or 36
- 38 Infant/
- 39 Child, Preschool/
- 40 Infant, Newborn/
- 41 38 or 39 or 40
- 42 22 and 37 and 41
- 43 limit 42 to 'humans'

### Appendix Table 1. Excluded studies

Reason for exclusion				
Article not peer-reviewed				
	Aeck MA. 1995. The prevalence of nursing caries among head start early childhood education and assistance program for children by ethnicity, age, gender, and nursing practices. Gonzaga University.			
Full text i	not available			
1.	Bordoni N, Bellagamba H, Dono R, Marcantoni M, Sabelli C, Macchi R, Squassi A. 1985. Dental condition in a preventive program for school children. Acta odontologica latinoamericana: AOL. 2(2-3):91-96.			
2.	Government funding body Oral Health Program LBA, Metro South Health Service District. 2009. A controlled longitudinal study of caries prevention in children aged 2 to 4 years.			
3.	University Menzies School of Health Research. 2009. Improved dental health for remote aboriginal children: A cluster randomised trial.			
4.	University of Michigan, National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research (NIDCR). 2012. Predicting caries risk in underserved toddlers in primary healthcare settings. https://ClinicalTrials.gov/show/NCT01707797.			
Compara	tor did not meet the inclusion criteria			
1.	Birungi N, Fadnes LT, Okullo I, Kasangaki A, Nankabirwa V, Ndeezi G, Tumwine JK, Tylleskar T, Lie SA, Astrom AN. 2015. Effect of breastfeeding promotion on early childhood caries and breastfeeding duration among 5 year old children in eastern Uganda: A cluster randomized trial. PLoS ONE. 10(5):e0125352.			
2.	Dini EL, Holt RD, Bedi R. 1998. Comparison of two indices of caries patterns in 3-6 year old Brazilian children from areas with different fluoridation histories. Int Dent J. 48(4):378-385.			
3.	Forsman B, Ericsson Y. 1974. Breastfeeding, formula feeding and dental health in low-fluoride districts in Sweden. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 2(1):1-6.			
4.	Hallonsten AL, Wendt LK, Mejare I, Birkhed D, Hakansson C, Lindvall AM, Edwardsson S, Koch G. 1995. Dental caries and prolonged breast-feeding in 18-month-old Swedish children. Int J Paediatr Dent. 5(3):149-155.			
5.	Hong L, Levy SM, Warren J, Broffitt B. 2006. Dental caries and fluorosis in relation to water fluoride levels. Canadian Journal of Dental Hygiene. 40(3):140-140.			
6.	Marino RJ, Onetto JE. 1995. Caries experience in urban and rural Chilean 3-year- olds. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 23(1):60-61.			
7.	Scavuzzi AI, De Franca Caldas Junior A, Couto GB, De Vasconcelos MM, De Freitas Soares RP, Valenca PA. 2007. Longitudinal study of dental caries in Brazilian children aged from 12 to 30 months. Int J Paediatr Dent. 17(2):123-128.			
8.	Shizukuishi S, Tsunemitsu A, Sobue S, Nakagawa H, Morisaki I, Usui M, Ohmae H, Pal V. 1986. Epidemiologic survey on oral diseases in Fiji. Ii. Survey on dental caries, mottled teeth, missing teeth and frequency of daily toothbrushing. J Osaka Univ Dent Sch. 26:219-229.			
9.	Tiano AV, Moimaz SA, Saliba O, Saliba NA. 2009. Dental caries prevalence in children up to 36 months of age attending daycare centers in municipalities with different water fluoride content. Journal of Applied Oral Science. 17(1):39-44.			

- 10. Timmis JC. 1971. Caries experience of 5-year-old children living in fluoride and non-fluoride areas of Essex. Br Dent J. 130(7):278-283.
- 11. Tsubouchi J, Tsubouchi M, Maynard RJ, Domoto PK, Weinstein P. 1995. A study of dental caries and risk factors among native american infants. ASDC Journal of Dentistry for Children. 62(4):283-287.
- Wendt LK, Hallonsten AL, Koch G, Birkhed D. 1996. Analysis of caries-related factors in infants and toddlers living in Sweden. Acta Odontol Scand. 54(2):131-137.

#### Population did not meet the inclusion criteria

- 1. Alm A, Wendt LK, Koch G, Birkhed D, Nilsson M. 2012. Caries in adolescence influence from early childhood. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 40(2):125-133.
- Beal JF, James PM, Bradnock G, Anderson RJ. 1979. The relationship between dental cleanliness, dental caries incidence and gingival health. A longitudinal study. Br Dent J. 146(4):111-114.
- 3. Binder K. 1973. Comparison of the effects of fluoride drinking water on caries frequency and mottled enamel in three similar regions of Austria over a 10-year period. Caries Res. 7(2):179-183.
- 4. Burt BA, Keels MA, Heller KE. 2000. The effects of a break in water fluoridation on the development of dental caries and fluorosis. J Dent Res. 79(2):761-769.
- 5. Camrass R. 1974. An oral health survey of manono-tai, Western Samoa. The New Zealand Dental Journal. 70(320):126-137.
- Correa-Faria P, Paixao-Goncalves S, Paiva SM, Pordeus IA. 2016. Incidence of dental caries in primary dentition and risk factors: A longitudinal study. Pesqui Odontol Bras. 30(1):20.
- Craig EW, Suckling GW, Pearce EI. 1981. The effect of a preventive programme on dental plaque and caries in school children. The New Zealand Dental Journal. 77(349):89-93.
- Curnow MM, Pine CM, Burnside G, Nicholson JA, Chesters RK, Huntington E. 2002. A randomised controlled trial of the efficacy of supervised toothbrushing in high-caries-risk children. Caries Res. 36(4):294-300.
- Duany LF, Zinner DD, Jablon JM. 1972. Epidemiologic studies of caries-free and caries-active students. II. Diet, dental plaque, and oral hygiene. J Dent Res. 51(3):727-733.
- 10. Dunning JM, Hodge AT. 1971. Influence of cocoa and sugar in milk on dental caries incidence. J Dent Res. 50(4):854-859.
- Frencken JE, Truin GJ, Van't Hof MA, Konig KG, Kahabuka FK, Mulder J, Kalsbeek H. 1991. Fluoride in drinking water and caries progression in a Tanzanian child population. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 19(3):180-181.
- 12. Gillcrist JA, Brumley DE, Blackford JU. 2001. Community fluoridation status and caries experience in children. J Public Health Dent. 61(3):168-171.
- 13. Gopal S, Chandrappa V, Kadidal U, Rayala C, Vegesna M. 2016. Prevalence and predictors of early childhood caries in 3- to 6-year-old south Indian children--a cross-sectional descriptive study. Oral health prev. 14(3):267-273.
- 14. Graves RC, Disney JA, Beck JD, Abernathy JR, Stamm JW, Bohannan HM. 1992.

The university of North Carolina caries risk assessment study: Caries increments of misclassified children. Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology. 20(4):169-174.

- 15. Grow TE. 1979. Nutrition and oral health. J Fla Med Assoc. 66(4):408-413.
- 16. Guido JA, Martinez Mier EA, Soto A, Eggertsson H, Sanders BJ, Jones JE, Weddell JA, Villanueva Cruz I, Anton de la Concha JL. 2011. Caries prevalence and its association with brushing habits, water availability, and the intake of sugared beverages. Int J Paediatr Dent. 21(6):432-440.
- 17. Hallett KB, O'Rourke PK. 2002. Early childhood caries and infant feeding practice. Community Dent Health. 19(4):237-242.
- Harris R, Nicoll AD, Adair PM, Pine CM. 2004. Risk factors for dental caries in young children: A systematic review of the literature. Community Dent Health. 21(1 Suppl):71-85.
- 19. Hashim R, Williams S, Thomson WM. 2011. Severe early childhood caries and behavioural risk indicators among young children in Ajman, United Arab Emirates. Eur Arch Paediatr Dent. 12(4):205-210.
- 20. Heifetz SB, Driscoll WS, Horowitz HS, Kingman A. 1988. Prevalence of dental caries and dental fluorosis in areas with optimal and above-optimal water-fluoride concentrations: A 5-year follow-up survey. JADA (1939). 116(4):490-495.
- Heifetz SB, Horowitz HS, Brunelle JA. 1983. Effect of school water fluoridation on dental caries: Results in Seagrove, N C, after 12 years. Journal of the American Dental Association (1939). 106(3):334-337.
- 22. Heloe LA, Konig KG. 1978. Oral hygiene and educational programs for caries prevention. Caries Res. 12 Suppl 1:83-93.
- 23. Hill IN, Blayney JR, Wolf W. 1951. The Evanston dental caries study. Vii. The effect of artificially fluoridated water on dental caries experience of 12-, 13-, and 14-year-old school children. J Dent Res. 30(5):670-675.
- 24. Hollis MJ, Knowsley PC. 1970. Ten years of fluoridation in Lower Hutt. N Z Dent J. 66(305):235-238.
- 25. Hooley M, Skouteris H, Boganin C, Satur J, Kilpatrick N. 2012. Parental influence and the development of dental caries in children aged 0-6 years: A systematic review of the literature. J Dent. 40(11):873-885.
- 26. Iftikhar A, Zafar M, Kalar MU. 2012. The relationship between snacking habits and dental caries in school children. International Journal of Collaborative Research on Internal Medicine and Public Health. 4(12):1943-1951.
- Iheozor-Ejiofor Z, Worthington HV, Walsh T, O'Malley L, Clarkson JE, Macey R, Alam R, Tugwell P, Welch V, Glenny A-M. 2015. Water fluoridation for the prevention of dental caries. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. (6)http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/14651858.CD010856.pub2/abstract. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD010856.pub2.
- 28. Jackson RJ, Newman HN, Smart GJ, Stokes E, Hogan JI, Brown C, Seres J. 2005. The effects of a supervised toothbrushing programme on the caries increment of primary school children, initially aged 5-6 years. Caries Res. 39(2):108-115.
- 29. Jessri M, Rashidkhani B, Kimiagar SM. 2011. Oral health behaviors in relation to dental caries and gingivitis. Clinical Nutrition, Supplement. 6 (1):161-162.
- 30. Johnsen DC, Bhat M, Kim MT, Hagman FT, Allee LM, Creedon RL, Easley MW. 1986. Caries levels and patterns in head start children in fluoridated and non-

fluoridated, urban and non-urban sites in Ohio, USA. Community Dentistry & Oral Epidemiol. 14(4):206-210.

- 31. Kramer N, Kunzelmann KH, Hickel R. 1990. Middle course between group and individual preventive programs. [German]. Dtsch Zahnarztl Z. 45(11):706-709.
- 32. Lee JG, Messer LB. 2010. Intake of sweet drinks and sweet treats versus reported and observed caries experience. Eur Arch Paediatr Dent. 11(1):5-17.
- 33. Lin YC, Chen PH, Lin PL, Lee CH, Huang HL. 2013. Oral health disparities of children among Southeast Asian immigrant women in arranged transnational marriages in Taiwan. Am J Epidemiol. 177:S33.
- Lin YT, Tsai CL. 1999. Caries prevalence and bottle-feeding practices in 2-year-old children with cleft lip, cleft palate, or both in Taiwan. Cleft Palate Craniofac J. 36(6):522-526.
- 35. Marquette University. 2015. Dietary analysis for caries prevention in children using a computer software. https://ClinicalTrials.gov/show/NCT02375763.
- 36. Marshall TA, Eichenberger-Gilmore JM, Larson MA, Warren JJ, Levy SM. 2007. Comparison of the intakes of sugars by young children with and without dental caries experience. J Am Dent Assoc. 138(1):39-46.
- Marshall TA, Levy SM, Broffitt B, Warren JJ, Eichenberger-Gilmore JM, Burns TL, Stumbo PJ. 2003. Dental caries and beverage consumption in young children. Pediatrics. 112(3 Pt 1):e184-191.
- 38. Maslak E, Afonina I, Kchmizova T, Litovkina L, Luneva N. 2004. The effect of a milk fluoridation project in Volgograd. Caries Res. 38(4):377.
- 39. Maupome G, Clark DC, Levy SM, Berkowitz J. 2001. Patterns of dental caries following the cessation of water fluoridation. Community dentistry and oral epidemiology. 29(1):37-47.
- McDonagh MS, Kleijnen J, Whiting PF, Wilson PM, Sutton AJ, Chestnutt I, Cooper J, Misso K, Bradley M, Treasure E. 2000. Systematic review of water fluoridation. Br Med J. 321(7265):855-859.
- 41. McIntyre J, Wight C, Blinkhorn AS. 1985. A reassessment of lothian health board's dental health education programme for primary school children. Community Dent Health. 2(2):99-108.
- 42. McLaren L, Patterson S, Thawer S, Faris P, McNeil D, Potestio M, Shwart L. 2016. Measuring the short-term impact of fluoridation cessation on dental caries in grade 2 children using tooth surface indices. Community dentistry and oral epidemiology. 44(3):274-282.
- 43. Noah MO. 1984. Caries experience and oral cleanliness in the deciduous dentitions of Ibadan children from different social groups. J Int Assoc Dent Child. 15(1):43-49.
- 44. Palin-Palokas T. 1987. Relative importance of dental health habits and some other factors in association with the occurrence of caries in mentally retarded Finnish children. Proc Finn Dent Soc. Suomen Hammaslaakariseuran toimituksia. 83(5-6):241-248.
- Petersen PE, Kwan S, Ogawa H. 2015. Long-term evaluation of the clinical effectiveness of community milk fluoridation in Bulgaria. Community Dent Health. 32(4):199-203.
- 46. Petrescu CI, Croitor CA, Suciu OI, Olariu TO. 2010. Gender distribution affects eating behavior in patients with dental decay. Timisoara Medical Journal. 60(4):284-

288.

- 47. Pieper K, Dressler S, Heinzel-Gutenbrunner M, Neuhauser A, Krecker M, Wunderlich K, Jablonski-Momeni A. 2012. The influence of social status on preschool children's eating habits, caries experience and caries prevention behavior. Int J Public Health. 57(1):207-215.
- 48. Pilot T. 1988. Trends in oral health: A global perspective. N Z Dent J. 84(376):40-45.
- 49. Pine CM, Curnow MM, Burnside G, Nicholson JA, Roberts AJ. 2007. Caries prevalence four years after the end of a randomised controlled trial. Caries Res. 41(6):431-436.
- 50. Potgieter M, Morse EH, Relenbach FM, Dall R. 1956. The food habits and dental status of some Connecticut children. J Dent Res. 35(4):638-644.
- 51. Reinhardt CH, Lopker N, Noack MJ, Rosen E, Klein K. 2009. Peer teaching pilot programme for caries prevention in underprivileged and migrant populations. Int J Paediatr Dent. 19(5):354-359.
- 52. Rodrigues AP, Matias F, Ferreira MM. 2016. Tooth brushing at school and reduction on dental plaque: Evaluation of the effectiveness of an oral health project. Revista Portuguesa de Saude Publica. 34(3):244-249.
- 53. Sahgal J, Sood PB, Raju OS. 2002. A comparison of oral hygiene status and dental caries in children on long term liquid oral medications to those not administered with such medications. J Indian Soc Pedod Prev Dent. 20(4):144-151.
- 54. Selwitz RH, Nowjack-Raymer RE, Kingman A, Driscoll WS. 1995. Prevalence of dental caries and dental fluorosis in areas with optimal and above-optimal water fluoride concentrations: A 10-year follow-up survey. J Public Health Dent. 55(2):85-93.
- 55. Stephen KW, Boyle IT, Campbell D, McNee S, Boyle P. 1984. Five-year doubleblind fluoridated milk study in Scotland. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 12(4):223-229.
- 56. Warren JJ, Levy SM, Hand JS, Maurer WC, Beltran ED. 1996. Results of the 1994 Iowa oral health survey. Iowa Dent J. 82(1):55-61.
- 57. Whittle JG, Downer MC. 1979. Dental health and treatment needs of Birmingham and Salford school children. A comparison in a fluoridated and a non-fluoridated area. Br Dent J. 147(3):67-71.
- 58. Yen CE, Huang YC, Hu SW. 2010. Relationship between dietary intake and dental caries in preschool children. Int J Vitam Nutr Res. 80(3):205-215.
- 59. Zahlaka M, Mitri O, Munder H, Mann J, Kaldavi A, Galon H, Gedalia I. 1987. The effect of fluoridated milk on caries in Arab children. Results after 3 years. Clin Prev Dent. 9(4):23-25.

#### Study design did not meet inclusion criteria

- 1. Anonymous. 1994. Fluorides and oral health. Report of a WHO expert committee on oral health status and fluoride use. World Health Organ Tech Rep Ser. 846:1-37.
- Arora A, Foster JP, Gillies D, Moxey AJ, Moody G, Curtis B. 2013. Breastfeeding for oral health in preschool children. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. (3)http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/14651858.CD010416/abstract.

doi:10.1002/14651858.CD010416.

- 3. Arora A, Scott JA, Bhole S, Do L, Schwarz E, Blinkhorn AS. 2011. Early childhood feeding practices and dental caries in preschool children: A multi-centre birth cohort study. BMC Public Health. 11:28.
- 4. Arrow P, Raheb J, Miller M. 2013. Brief oral health promotion intervention among parents of young children to reduce early childhood dental decay. BMC Public Health. 13:245.
- 5. Bach K, Manton DJ. 2014. Early childhood caries: A New Zealand perspective. J Prim Health Care. 6(2):169-174.
- Batliner T, Fehringer KA, Tiwari T, Henderson WG, Wilson A, Brega AG, Albino J. 2014. Motivational interviewing with American Indian mothers to prevent early childhood caries: Study design and methodology of a randomized control trial. Trials. 15:125.
- 7. Bedi R, Blinkhorn A, Holloway P, Carnell H, Copestake P, Farmelo C, Harvey S, Larsen L. 2005. A futures study of dental decay in 5 and 15 year olds in England. Health Education Journal. 64(4):1-111.
- de Silva-Sanigorski AM, Calache H, Gussy M, Dashper S, Gibson J, Waters E. 2010. The vicgeneration study--a birth cohort to examine the environmental, behavioural and biological predictors of early childhood caries: Background, aims and methods. BMC Public Health. 10:97.
- 9. de Silva-Sanigorski AM, Waters E, Calache H, Smith M, Gold L, Gussy M, Scott A, Lacy K, Virgo-Milton M. 2011. Splash!: A prospective birth cohort study of the impact of environmental, social and family-level influences on child oral health and obesity related risk factors and outcomes. BMC Public Health. 11:505.
- Dunbar JB, Moller P, Wolff AE. 1968. A survey of dental caries in Iceland. Arch Oral Biol. 13(5):571-581.
- 11. Ericsson Y, Wei SH. 1979. Fluoride supply and effects in infants and young children. Pediatr Dent. 1(1):44-54.
- 12. Eronat N, Eden E. 1992. A comparative study of some influencing factors of rampant or nursing caries in preschool children. J Clin Pediatr Dent. 16(4):275-279.
- 13. Gao X, Lo EC, McGrath C, Ho SM. 2013. Innovative interventions to promote positive dental health behaviors and prevent dental caries in preschool children: Study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Trials. 14:118.
- Gao XL, Hsu CY, Loh T, Koh D, Hwamg HB, Xu Y. 2009. Dental caries prevalence and distribution among preschoolers in Singapore. Community Dent Health. 26(1):12-17.
- 15. Gray M, Morris AJ, Davies J. 2000. The oral health of south Asian five-year-old children in deprived areas of dudley compared with white children of equal deprivation and fluoridation status. Community Dent Health. 17(4):243-245.
- Gussy MG, Waters EG, Walsh O, Kilpatrick NM. 2006. Early childhood caries: Current evidence for aetiology and prevention. J Paediatr Child Health. 42(1-2):37-43.
- 17. Hackett AF, Rugg-Gunn AJ. 1982. Sweets, snacks, and dental caries: South African interracial patterns. Am J Clin Nutr. 35(6):1503-1505.
- 18. Han DH, Kim DH, Kim MJ, Kim JB, Jung-Choi K, Bae KH. 2014. Regular dental checkup and snack-soda drink consumption of preschool children are associated with

early childhood caries in Korean caregiver/preschool children dyads. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 42(1):70-78.

- 19. Hann HJ, Gray AS, Yeo DJ, Philion JJ. 1984. A dental health survey of British Columbia children. J Can Dent Assoc. 50(10):754-759.
- 20. Hardison JD, Cecil JC, White JA, Manz M, Mullins MR, Ferretti GA. 2003. The 2001 Kentucky childrens oral health survey: Findings for children ages 24 to 59 months and their caregivers. Pediatr Dent. 25(4):365-372.
- 21. Hargreaves JA, Thompson GW, Wagg BJ. 1983. Changes in caries prevalence of isle of lewis children between 1971 and 1981. Caries Res. 17(6):554-559.
- 22. Hargreaves JA, Titley KC. 1973. The dental health of Indian children in the Sioux lookout zone of northwestern ontario. Journal of the Canadian Dental Association. 39(10):709-714.
- 23. Hattab FN, Al-Omari MA, Angmar-Mansson B, Daoud N. 1999. The prevalence of nursing caries in one-to-four-year-old children in Jordan. J Dent Child. 66(1):53-58.
- 24. Ivancevic V, Tusek I, Tusek J, Knezevic M, Elheshk S, Lukovic I. 2015. Using association rule mining to identify risk factors for early childhood caries. Comput Methods Programs Biomed. 122(2):175-181.
- 25. Jessri M, Rashidkhani B, Kimiagar S, Mobley C. 2011a. Prevalence of dental caries and its association with cariogenic foods and beverages. Annals of Nutrition and Metabolism. 58:345.
- 26. Jessri M, Rashidkhani B, Kimiagar SM, Mobley C. 2011b. Prevalence of dental caries in relation to cariogenic food intakes. Clinical Nutrition, Supplement. 6 (1):162.
- Johnsen DC, Gerstenmaier JH, Schwartz E, Michal BC, Parrish S. 1984. Background comparisons of pre-3<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>-year-old children with nursing caries in four practice settings. Pediatr Dent. 6(1):50-54.
- 28. Johnston DW, Grainger RM, Ryan RK. 1986. The decline of dental caries in Ontario school children. J Can Dent Assoc. 52(5):411-417.
- 29. Jose B, King NM. 2003. Early childhood caries lesions in preschool children in Kerala, India. Pediatr Dent. 25(6):594-600.
- 30. Kallestal C, Norlund A, Soder B, Nordenram G, Dahlgren H, Petersson LG, Lagerlof F, Axelsson S, Lingstrom P, Mejare I et al. 2003. Economic evaluation of dental caries prevention: A systematic review. Acta Odontol Scand. 61(6):341-346.
- King NM, Wu, II, Tsai JS. 2003. Caries prevalence and distribution, and oral health habits of zero- to four-year-old children in Macau, China. J Dent Child (Chic). 70(3):243-249.
- 32. Knychalska-Karwan Z, Laskowska L, Pelcowa M, Szafraniec I, Wedler A. 1972. Juvenile caries activity and dietary regime. Caries Res. 6(1):70-71.
- 33. Lawrence HP, Binguis D, Douglas J, McKeown L, Switzer B, Figueiredo R, Reade M. 2009. Oral health inequalities between young aboriginal and non-aboriginal children living in Ontario, Canada. Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology. 37(6):495-508.
- 34. Leatherwood EC, Burnett GW, Chandravejjsmarn R, Sirikaya P. 1965. Dental caries and dental fluorosis in Thailand. Am J Public Health Nations Health. 55(11):1792-1799.
- 35. MacKeown JM, Cleaton-Jones PE, Edwards AW. 2000. Energy and macronutrient intake in relation to dental caries incidence in urban black South African preschool

children in 1991 and 1995: The birth-to-ten study. Public Health Nutr. 3(3):313-319.

- 36. Manji F, Fejerskov O. 1990. Dental caries in developing countries in relation to the appropriate use of fluoride. J Dent Res. 69(SPEC. ISS. FEB.):733-741.
- Marrs JA, Trumbley S, Malik G. 2011. Early childhood caries: Determining the risk factors and assessing the prevention strategies for nursing intervention. Pediatr Nurs. 37(1):9-15; quiz 16.
- 38. Marthaler TM. 1994. Fluoridation at community level. World Health. 47(1):7-9.
- 39. O'Keefe E. 2013. Early childhood caries. Evid Based Dent. 14(2):40-41.
- 40. Onetto JE. 2014. Early oral health promotion program for new mothers may have impact on child dental caries after 5 years. Journal of Evidence-Based Dental Practice. 14(4):188-189.
- Peltzer K, Mongkolchati A, Satchaiyan G, Rajchagool S, Pimpak T. 2014. Sociobehavioral factors associated with caries increment: A longitudinal study from 24 to 36 months old children in Thailand. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 11(10):10838-10850.
- 42. Phantumvanit P. 2014. How to use fluoride effectively for dental caries prevention? Indian J Dent Res. 25(1):1-2.
- 43. Phillips MG, Stubbs PE. 1987. Head start combats baby bottle tooth decay. Child Today. 15(5):25-28.
- 44. Richards D. 2016. Breastfeeding up to 12 months of age not associated with increased risk of caries. Evid Based Dent. 17(3):75-76.
- 45. Richardson BD, Cleaton-Jones PE. 1977. Nursing bottle caries. Pediatrics. 60(5):748-749.
- 46. Richardson BD, Cleaton-Jones PE. 1986. Sugar, snacks, fluoride and dental caries in rsa preschool children: An overview. J Dent Assoc S Afr. 41(9):611-613.
- 47. Richardson BD, Rantsho JM. 1976. Caries and dental hygiene. SAMJ. 50(40):1536.
- 48. Salford Royal NHS Foundation Trust (UK). 2013. Dental Recur Trial.
- 49. Scheer B. 1985. Caries in children--the dietary factor. Middle East Dent Oral Health. (3):20-22.
- Schiffner U. 1989. [Influence of caries preventive measures on prevalence and incidence of caries in Hamburg preschool children]. Dtsch Zahnarztl Z. 44(7):531-535.
- 51. Schneider HG, Hierse P, Hierse H, Deichsel E. 1989. The influence of parents on the oral health condition of children. [German]. Z Gesamte Hyg. 35(9):523-526.
- 52. Shannon IL. 1977. Sucrose-the tooth's mortal enemy; fluoride-the tooth's best friend. ASDC Journal of Dentistry for Children. 44(6):429-437.
- 53. Shearer TR, Howard HE, DeSart DJ. 1978. Breast-feeding and nursing caries. J Oreg Dent Assoc. 47(3):17.
- 54. Singh G, Singh T. 1985. Dental caries. Indian Pediatr. 22(11):849-852.
- 55. Tickle M. 2006. Improving the oral health of young children through an evidencebased approach. Community Dent Health. 23(1):2-4.
- 56. Tinanoff N. 2005. Association of diet with dental caries in preschool children. Dent Clin North Am. 49(4):725-737, v.
- 57. Uribe S. 2009. Early childhood caries risk factors. Evid Based Dent. 10(2):37-38.
- 58. Victora CG, Bahl R, Barros AJ, Franca GV, Horton S, Krasevec J, Murch S, Sankar MJ, Walker N, Rollins NC et al. 2016. Breastfeeding in the 21st century:

Epidemiology, mechanisms, and lifelong effect. Lancet. 387(10017):475-490.

- 59. Wainwright WW. 1987. The Borrow Dental Milk Foundation program to reduce dental caries in children. Odontostomatol Trop. 10(2):85-96.
- 60. Walker AR, Cleaton-Jones PE. 1977. Dental caries reduction from dietary changes. AJCN. 30(12):1938-1939.
- Wendt LK. 1995. On oral health in infants and toddlers. Swed Dent J Suppl. 106:1-62.
- 62. Wetzel WE. 1988. ["Nursing bottle syndrome" in young children. Dental findings, incidence and family environment]. Monatsschr Kinderheilkd. 136(10):673-679.
- 63. White V. 2008. Breastfeeding and the risk of early childhood caries. Evid. 9(3):86-88.
- 64. Wong D. 2000. Fluoride levels best in tap water, study finds. Dent Today. 19(8):30, 36.
- 65. Wyne AH. 1996. Early childhood caries. A review. Indian J Dent Res. 7(1):7-15.
- 66. Yadav RK, Das S, Kumar PR. 2001. Dental caries and dietary habits in school going children. Indian J Physiol Pharmacol. 45(2):258-260.
- 67. Yaghi MM. 2001. Soda pop and caries. JADA (1939). 132(5):578, 580.

#### Publication withdrawn

1. de Silva AM, Hegde S, Akudo Nwagbara B, Calache H, Gussy MG, Nasser M, Morrice HR, Riggs E, Leong PM, Meyenn LK et al. 2016. Community-based population-level interventions for promoting child oral health. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2016 (9) (no pagination)(CD009837).

#### No English language abstract

- Kalsbeek H. 1982. [The effect of dental health education projects on the prevention of dental caries. A summary observation of a study on the incidence of caries in preschool children, carried out between 1965-1980]. Ned Tijdschr Tandheelkd. 89(3):106-117.
- 2. Lazzati M, Nidoli G, Preda EG, Tommasin B. 1987. [Epidemiological study of dental caries in nursery schools in the city of Varese]. Mondo Odontostomatol. 29(2):13-17.
- Meskov M. 1968. Current results of water supply fluoridation applied in caries prevention and the possibility of its introduction in titov veles. [Serbian]. Stomatoloski vjesnik. Stomatological review. 2(1-6):169-172.
- 4. Ohhashi K. 1986. The quantification of nursery environment to caries prevalence in children. [Japanese]. The Japanese journal of pedodontics. 24(4):704-724.
- 5. Rokytova K, Hoskova M, Thorova J, Mrklas L. 1979. [Fluorine and dental caries]. Cesk Pediatr. 34(1):39-41.
- Sakakibara Y, Fukada H, Ochiai S, Samejima T. 1976. [Sugar-added drinks and their relationship to dental caries of deciduous teeth]. Nippon Shika Ishikai Zasshi. 28(11):1174-1184.
- 7. Saxer UP, Steiner M. 1983. [Early education and motivation for oral prophylaxis]. SSO Schweiz Monatsschr Zahnheilkd. 93(1):27-36.
- 8. Schmidt HF. 1968. [Current knowledge about the tropical application of a long-acting fluoride preparation for the prevention of dental caries]. Dtsch Zahnarztl Z.

23(2):148-151.

- 9. Shen YM. 1985. Analysis of the 18th year of the fluoridation of the water supply to prevent dental caries in fangcun in Guangzhou. [Chinese]. Chinese journal of stomatology. 20(6):337-340.
- 10. Shiokawa H. 1979. Our experience with mothers' classes for caries prevention-follow-up studies. [Japanese]. Dental outlook. 53(6):1022-1031.
- 11. Sigrist H. 1969. 3 years of caries prevention. [German]. Das Offentliche Gesundheitswesen. 31(7):373-378.
- Streliukhina TF, Belova TA, Beliaevskaia LA, Gromova EM. 1976. Effect of water fluoridation in Leningrad on dental caries susceptibility in childhood. [Russian]. Stomatologiia (Mosk). 55(4):66-69.
- Tonello G. 1962. [Dentition and dental caries in relation to the type of nursing. (clinico-statistical research on elementary school pupils of sacile)]. Friuli Med. 17:739-747.
- 14. Valente AP, Varveri RL, Polak N, Abeles G, Dono R, Kwiatkosvky I, Preliasco A. 1982. Epidemiology of caries in preschoolers, relation to preventive habits and demand for care. [Spanish]. Salud bucal / Confederacion Odontologica de la Republica Argentina. 9(55):29-42.
- 15. Viegas Y, Viegas AR. 1988. Prevalence of dental caries in Barretos, Brazil, after 16 years of water supply fluoridation. [Portuguese]. Rev Saude Publica. 22(1):25-35.
- Vines JJ, Clavero J. 1968. Relation between the occurrence of dental caries and the amount of fluorine in the water supply. [Spanish]. Rev Sanid Hig Publica (Madr). 42(7):401-431.
- Wakabayashi Y, Tsuchiya T, Korosu K. 1982. A trace-back survey of dental attitudes of caries-free children examined in a 3-year period. Questionnaires for the parents. [Japanese]. Aichi Gakuin Daigaku Shigakkai Shi. 20(1):29-39.
- 18. Wang BK. 1980. [A survey of drinking water floride content in tong county, Beijing (author's transl)]. Chung Hua Kou Chiang Ko Tsa Chih. 15(3):171-174.
- 19. Wang XS. 1984. Caries incidence among 3,000 primary school pupils in Li County, Aba District. [Chinese]. Chinese Journal of Stomatology. 19(1):56-58.
- Warrer E. 1974. Caries development in a public school dental service through a 20 year period with 10 years of local administration of fluoride. [Danish]. Tandlaegebladet. 78(7):271-278.
- Wei SH, Kuriyama S. 1981. Fluoride and dental caries prevention (author's transl). [Japanese]. Dental outlook. 58(6):1079-1091.
- 22. Weiss K. 1990. [Success, what is it? Four years prevention in Hamburg kindergarten (1)]. Quintessenz J. 20(8):727-733.
- 23. Wetzel WE. 1982. [Dental caries caused by excessive consumption of sweetened tea from nursing bottles]. Monatsschr Kinderheilkd. 130(9):726-730.
- 24. Wetzel WE. 1989. Nursing bottle syndrome in small children. [German]. Zahnarztl. 79(3):249-257.
- 25. Weyers H. 1983. Findings in "nursing-bottle caries". [German]. Dtsch Zahnarztl Z. 38(7):722-726.
- 26. Widstrom E. 1983. Dental health and dental care habits in a group of Turkish immigrant children. [Swedish]. Tandlakartidningen. 75(4):152-156.
- 27. Wigdorowicz-Makowerowa N. 1972. The development of dental caries in school

children and its prevention by fluoridation. [Polish]. Czas Stomatol. 25(9):879-883.

- Wigdorowicz-Makowerowa N. 1980. Value and effectiveness of the use of fluoride in preventing dental caries. [Polish]. Postpy higieny i medycyny doswiadczalnej. 34(5):353-366.
- Wigdorowicz-Makowerowa N. 1982. Effect of 13 years of water fluoridation in Wroclaw on the course of caries in school children. [Polish]. Czas Stomatol. 35(9):577-582.
- 30. Wigdorowicz-Makowerowa N, Dadun-Sek A, Plonka B. 1978. Comparison of the effectiveness of water fluoridation during 5 and 8 years in Wroclaw. [Polish]. Czas Stomatol. 31(9):817-823.
- 31. Wigdorowicz-Makowerowa N, Plonka B, Dadun-Sek A. 1975. [Evaluation of water fluoridation effectiveness in children in Wroclaw in the course of 5 years]. Czas Stomatol. 28(3):253-259.
- Wikner S. 1974. Prevention of dental caries at the pedodontic clinic. Ii. Reduction in caries after giving standardized, optimum information. [Swedish]. Tandlakartidningen. 66(20):1134-1140.
- 33. Wikner S. 1975a. Caries prevention at the pedodontic clinic. [Swedish]. Tandlakartidningen. 67(13-14):798-802.
- 34. Wikner S. 1975b. Dental caries prevention at the pedodontic clinic. Iii. Analysis of background factors in development of dental caries in preschool children. [Swedish]. Tandlakartidningen. 67(3):146-164.
- 35. Winter K. 1979. Successes of juvenile dental hygiene; improvement in the health response of young children after 4 years of individual early prophylaxis. [German]. Zahnarztl. 69(21):1331-1332, 1334-1337, 1339.
- 36. Woltgens JH, Bervoets TJ, de Blieck-Hogervorst JM, Vingerling PA. 1984. Relation between caries, oral hygiene and caries susceptibility tests in children. [Dutch]. Ned Tijdschr Tandheelkd. 91(12):545-548.
- 37. Yamane T. 1973. [A study on conditions of caries, tooth surface deposits, and paradental diseases, and those correlations in infants]. Shigaku. 60(6):812-838.
- 38. Yanagawa K, Shibayama K. 1969. Report on oral health survey at Chichijima, Ogasawara, Tokyo. The 1st dental clinic group in ogasawara supported by Tokyo-to. [Japanese]. Shika gakuho. Dental science reports. 69(6):976-988.
- 39. Yonezu T, Sugiyama M, Mikami K, Machida Y. 1988. [Dental caries prevalence in infants under a dental health care program]. Shikwa Gakuho. 88(3):557-564.
- 40. Yoshida S. 1978a. Dental caries control in children. [Japanese]. Dental outlook. 51(5):822-829.
- 41. Yoshida S. 1978b. [Dental caries control in children]. Shikai Tenbo. 51(5):822-829.
- 42. Zamorano WM, Ribeiro JC, Linhares RM, Parreira ML. 1987. Correlation of the dmfs index with the age of the child. [Portuguese]. Rgo. 35(6):481-484.

#### Intervention or exposure did not meet the inclusion criteria

1. Agouropoulos A, Twetman S, P, is N, Kavvadia K, Papagiannoulis L. 2014. Cariespreventive effectiveness of fluoride varnish as adjunct to oral health promotion and supervised tooth brushing in preschool children: A double-blind randomized controlled trial. J Dent. 42(10):1277-1283.

- Akizawa Y, Sakurai Y, Hara N, Fujita Y, Nagai M, Nakamura Y, Sakata K, Yanagawa H. 1990. An epidemiological study of the influence of sweets intake and toothbrushing on dental caries among children in japan. Asia-Pacific Journal of Public Health. 4(4):242-250.
- Al Mughery AS, Attwood D, Blinkhorn A. 1991. Dental health of 5-year-old children in Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 19(5):308-309.
- 4. Al-Dashti AA, Williams SA, Curzon ME. 1995. Breast feeding, bottle feeding and dental caries in Kuwait, a country with low-fluoride levels in the water supply. Community Dental Health. 12(1):42-47.
- Aldy D, Siregar Z, Siregar H, Liwijaya SG, Tanyati S. 1979. A comparative study of caries formation in breast-fed and bottle-fed children. Paediatrica Indonesiana. 19(11):308-312.
- Alkhtib A, Ghanim A, Temple-Smith M, Messer LB, Pirotta M, Morgan M. 2016. Prevalence of early childhood caries and enamel defects in four and five-year old Qatari preschool children. BMC Oral Health. 16(1):73.
- 7. Ammari JB, Baqain ZH, Ashley PF. 2007. Effects of programs for prevention of early childhood caries. A systematic review. Medical Principles & Practice. 16(6):437-442.
- 8. Anonymous. 2001. Children's snacking habits can predict caries. Journal of the American Dental Association. 132(5):594, 598.
- 9. Attwood D, Blinkhorn AS. 1991. Dental health in schoolchildren 5 years after water fluoridation ceased in south-west Scotland. Int Dent J. 41(1):43-48.
- Avila WM, Pordeus IA, Paiva SM, Martins CC. 2015. Breast and bottle feeding as risk factors for dental caries: A systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS ONE [Electronic Resource]. 10(11):e0142922.
- Babeely K, Kaste LM, Husain J, Behbehani J, al-Za'abi F, Maher TC, Tavares M, Soparkar P, DePaola P. 1989. Severity of nursing-bottle syndrome and feeding patterns in Kuwait. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 17(5):237-239.
- 12. Bang G, Kristoffersen T. 1972. Dental caries and diet in an Alaskan Eskimo population. Scandinavian Journal of Dental Research. 80(5):440-444.
- Bankel M, Robertson A, Kohler B. 2011. Carious lesions and caries risk predictors in a group of Swedish children 2 to 3 years of age. One year observation. European Journal of Paediatric Dentistry. 12(4):215-219.
- 14. Bao XL, Jibek O, Yu Q, Zhao J. 2014. Prevalence and risk factors for severe early childhood caries for Uyghur and Han children in Kashi city: A cross-sectional study. [Chinese]. Chinese Journal of Evidence-Based Medicine. 14(3):260-264.
- 15. Barnes GP, Parker WA, Lyon Jr TC, Drum MA, Coleman GC. 1992. Ethnicity, location, age, and fluoridation factors in baby bottle tooth decay and caries prevalence of head start children. Public Health Reports. 107(2):167-173.
- 16. Beal JF, Clayton M. 1981. Fluoridation. A clinical survey in Corby and Scunthorpe. Public Health. 95(3):152-160.
- Beal JF. 1973. The dental health of five-year-old children of different ethnic origins resident in an inner Birmingham area and a nearby borough. Arch Oral Biol. 18(3):305-312.
- 18. Begzati A, Berisha M, Meqa K. 2010. Early childhood caries in preschool children of kosovo a serious public health problem. BMC Public Health. 10:788.

- Behrendt A, Sziegoleit F, Muler-Lessmann V, Ipek-Ozdemir G, Wetzel WE. 2001. Nursing-bottle syndrome caused by prolonged drinking from vessels with bill-shaped extensions. Journal of Dentistry for Children. 68(1):47-50, 12.
- 20. Bernabe E, MacRitchie H, Longbottom C, Pitts NB, Sabbah W. 2017. Birth weight, breastfeeding, maternal smoking and caries trajectories. J Dent Res. 96(2):171-178.
- 21. Bhayade SS, Mittal R, C, Ak S, Bhondey A. 2016. Assessment of social, demographic determinants and oral hygiene practices in relation to dental caries among the children attending anganwadis of Hingna, Nagpur. Journal of the Indian Society of Pedodontics & Preventive Dentistry. 34(2):124-127.
- 22. Bjarnason S, Care R, Berzina S, Brinkmane A, Rence I, Mackevica I, Paeglite I, Senakola E. 1995. Caries experience in Latvian nursery school children. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 23(3):138-141.
- Blair Y, Macpherson L, McCall D, McMahon A. 2006. Dental health of 5-year-olds following community-based oral health promotion in Glasgow, UK. Int Journal Paed Dent. 16(6):388-398.
- 24. Blair Y, Macpherson LMD, McCall DR, McMahon AD, Stephen KW. 2004. Glasgow nursery-based caries experience, before and after a community development-based oral health programme's implementation. Community Dent Health. 21(4):291-298.
- 25. Blinkhorn AS. 1982. The caries experience and dietary habits of Edinburgh nursery school children. Brit Dent J. 152(7):227-230.
- Bourgeois DM, Llodra JC. 2014. Global burden of dental condition among children in nine countries participating in an international oral health promotion programme, 2012-2013. Int Dent J. 64:27-34.
- 27. Brega AG, Thomas JF, Henderson WG, Batliner TS, Quissell DO, Braun PA, Wilson A, Bryant LL, Nadeau KJ, Albino J. 2016. Association of parental health literacy with oral health of Navajo nation preschoolers. Health Education Research. 31(1):70-81.
- 28. Brignardello-Petersen R. 2017. Breast-feeding up to 11 months associated with lower decayed, missing, and filled surfaces index and lower caries prevalence up to 4 years of age. JADA. 148(5):e44-e44.
- 29. Broderick E, Mabry J, Robertson D, Thompson J. 1989. Baby bottle tooth decay in Native American children in head start centers. Public Health Reports. 104(1):50-54.
- 30. Cageorge SM, Ryding WH, Leake JL. 1980. Dental health status survey of Manitoba children. J Cand Dent Assoc. 46(2):108-116.
- Campus G, Lumbau A, Sanna AM, Solinas G, Luglie P, Castiglia P. 2004. Oral health condition in an Italian preschool population. European Journal of Paediatric Dentistry. 5(2):86-91.
- 32. Campus G, Solinas G, Sanna A, Maida C, Castiglia P. 2007. Determinants of ECC in Sardinian preschool children. Community Dent Health. 24(4):253-256.
- 33. Campus G, Solinas G, Strohmenger L, Cagetti MG, Senna A, Minelli L, Majori S, Montagna MT, Reali D, Castiglia P. 2009. National pathfinder survey on children's oral health in Italy: Pattern and severity of caries disease in 4-year-olds and the collaborating study group. Caries Res. 43(2):155-162.
- 34. Caplan LS, Erwin K, Lense E, Hicks J, Jr. 2008. The potential role of breast-feeding and other factors in helping to reduce early childhood caries. J Public Health Dent. 68(4):238-241.
- 35. Carino KM, Shinada K, Kawaguchi Y. 2003. Early childhood caries in northern

Philippines. Community Dent Epidemiol. 31(2):81-89.

- 36. Chaffee BW, Cheng A. 2014. Global research trends on early-life feeding practices and early childhood caries: A systematic review. J Oral Dis. 2014:675658.
- Chaffee BW, Feldens CA, Rodrigues PH, Vítolo MR. 2015. Feeding practices in infancy associated with caries incidence in early childhood. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 43(4):338-348.
- 38. Chaffee BW, Feldens CA, Vitolo MR. 2013. Cluster-randomized trial of infant nutrition training for caries prevention. J Dent Res. 92(7):29S-36S.
- Chaffee BW, Feldens CA, Vítolo MR. 2014. Association of long-duration breastfeeding and dental caries estimated with marginal structural models. Annals of Epidemiology. 24(6):448-454.
- 40. Chankanka O, Levy SM, Marshall TA, Cavanaugh JE, Warren JJ, Broffitt B, Kolker JL. 2015. The associations between dietary intakes from 36 to 60 months of age and primary dentition non-cavitated caries and cavitated caries. J Public Health Dent. 75(4):265-273.
- 41. Chu CH, Ho PL, Lo EC. 2012. Oral health status and behaviours of preschool children in Hong Kong. BMC Public Health. 12:767.
- 42. Cleaton-Jones P, Richardson BD, Rantsho JM, Pieters L, McInnes PM. 1979. Patterns of oral hygiene and dental caries in urban and rural South African preschool children. Odonto-Stomatologie Tropicale. 11(8):27-33.
- 43. Clifford H, Johnson NW, Brown C, Battistutta D. 2012. When can oral health education begin? Relative effectiveness of three oral health education strategies starting pre-partum. Community Dent Health. 29(2):162-167.
- 44. Colquhoun J. 1988. Decline in primary tooth decay in New Zealand. Community Health Studies. 12(2):187-191.
- 45. Congiu G, Campus G, Sale S, Spano G, Cagetti MG, Luglie PF. 2013. Early childhood caries and associated determinants: A cross-sectional study on Italian preschool children. J Public Health Dent. 74(2):147-152.
- 46. Creedon MI, O'Mullane DM. 2001. Factors affecting caries levels amongst 5-year-old children in county Kerry, Ireland. Community Dent Health. 18(2):72-78.
- Currier GF, Glinka MP. 1977. The prevalence of nursing bottle caries or baby bottle syndrome in an inner city fluoridated community. Virginia Dental Journal. 54(5):9-19.
- Cypriano S, Pecharki GD, de Sousa Mda L, Wada RS. 2003. [Oral health of schoolchildren residing in areas with or without water fluoridation in Sorocaba, Sao Paulo State, Brazil]. Cadernos de Saude Publica. 19(4):1063-1071.
- 49. Dabawala S, Suprabha BS, Shenoy R, Rao A, Shah N. 2017. Parenting style and oral health practices in early childhood caries: A case-control study. Int J Paediatr Dent.
- 50. Dantas Cabral de Melo MM, Vieira de Souza W, Tavares MC, Carvalho de Lima ML, Jamelli S, Lindoso Couto GB. 2015. Social conditions and high levels of dental caries in five-year-old children in Brazil. Journal of Dentistry for Children. 82(1):29-35.
- Darmawikarta D, Chen Y, Carsley S, Birken CS, Parkin PC, Schroth RJ, Maguire JL, Collaboration TAK. 2014. Factors associated with dental care utilization in early childhood. Pediatrics. 133(6):e1594-1600.
- 52. Davenport ES, Litenas C, Barbayiannis P, Williams CE. 2004. The effects of diet, breast-feeding and weaning on caries risk for pre-term and low birth weight children.

International journal of paediatric dentistry. 2004 Jul; 14(4):251-9.

- 53. Davies GM, Duxbury JT, Boothman NJ, Davies RM, Blinkhorn AS. 2005. A staged intervention dental health promotion programme to reduce early childhood caries. Community Dent Health. 22(2):118-122.
- 54. Davies GM, Duxbury JT, Boothman NJ, Davies RM. 2007. Challenges associated with the evaluation of a dental health promotion programme in a deprived urban area. Community Dent Health. 24(2):117-121.
- 55. de Melo MMDC, de Souza WV, de Lima MLC, Braga C. 2011. Factors associated with dental caries in preschoolers in Recife, Pernambuco state, Brazil. [Portuguese]. Cadernos de Saude Publica. 27(3):471-485.
- 56. Deichsel M, Rojas G, Ludecke K, Heinrich-Weltzien R. 2012. [Early childhood caries and associated risk factors among infants in the German federal state of Brandenburg]. Bundesgesundheitsblatt, Gesundheitsforschung, Gesundheitsschutz. 55(11):1504-1511.
- Del Valle LL, Velazquez-Quintana Y, Weinstein P, Domoto P, Leroux B. 1998. Early childhood caries and risk factors in rural Puerto Rican children. ASDC Journal of Dentistry for Children. 65(2):132-135.
- 58. Demers M, Brodeur JM, Mouton C, Simard PL, Trahan L, Veilleux G. 1992. A multivariate model to predict caries increment in Montreal children aged 5 years. Community Dent Health. 9(3):273-281.
- 59. Derkson GD, Ponti P. 1982. Nursing bottle syndrome; prevalence and etiology in a non-fluoridated city. J Can Dent Assoc. 48(6):389-393.
- 60. Dimitrova M, Kukleva M. 2008. Model for early childhood caries risks. [Russian]. Stomatologiia. 87(4):29-32.
- 61. Dimitrova MM, Kukleva MP, Kondeva VK. 2002. Prevalence of early childhood caries and risk factors in children from 1 to 3 years of age in Plovdiv, Bulgaria. Folia Medica (Plovdiv). 44(1):60-63.
- 62. Dirks OB. 1967. The relation between the fluoridation of water and dental caries experience. Int Dent J. 17(3):582-605.
- 63. Dogar F, Kruger E, Dyson K, Tennant M. 2011. Oral health of pre-school children in rural and remote Western Australia. Rural & Remote Health. 11(4):1869.
- 64. Douglass JM, Tinanoff N, Tang JMW, Altman DS. 2001. Dental caries patterns and oral health behaviors in Arizona infants and toddlers. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 29(1):14-22.
- 65. Du M, Bian Z, Guo L, Holt R, Champion J, Bedi R. 2000. Caries patterns and their relationship to infant feeding and socio-economic status in 2-4-year-old Chinese children. Int Dent J. 50(6):385-389.
- 66. Du M, Luo Y, Zeng X, Alkhatib N, Bedi R. 2007. Caries in preschool children and its risk factors in 2 provinces in china. Quintessence International. 38(2):143-151.
- 67. Dye BA, Shenkin JD, Ogden CL, Marshall TA, Levy SM, Kanellis MJ. 2004. The relationship between healthful eating practices and dental caries in children aged 2-5 years in the United States, 1988-1994. JADA. 135(1):55-66.
- 68. Ekman A, Holm AK, Schelin B, Gustafsson L. 1981. Dental health and parental attitudes in Finnish immigrant preschoolchildren in the north of Sweden. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 9(5):224-229.
- 69. El Fadl RA, Blair M, Hassounah S. 2016. Integrating maternal and children's oral

health promotion into nursing and midwifery practice - a systematic review. PLoS ONE. 11.

- 70. Erickson PR, Mazhari E. 1999. Investigation of the role of human breast milk in caries development. Pediatric Dentistry. 21(2):86-90.
- Evans RW, Beck DJ, Brown RH. 1980. Dental health of 5-year-old children: A report from the Dunedin multidisciplinary child development study. N Z Dent J. 76(346):179-186.
- 72. Farsi N, Merdad L, Mirdad S. 2013. Caries risk assessment in preschool children in Saudi Arabia. Oral Health & Preventive Dentistry. 11(3):271-280.
- 73. Faye M, Ba AA, Yam AA, Ba I. 2006. [Caries patterns and diet in early childhood caries]. Dakar Medical. 51(2):72-77.
- 74. Feldens CA, Giugliani ERJ, Duncan BB, Drachler ML, Vítolo MR. 2010. Long-term effectiveness of a nutritional program in reducing early childhood caries: A randomized trial. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 38(4):324-332.
- 75. Feldens CA, Giugliani ERJ, Vigo A, Vitolo MR. 2010. Early feeding practices and severe early childhood caries in four-year-old children from southern Brazil: A birth cohort study. Caries Res. 44(5):445-452.
- 76. Feldens CA, Rodrigues PH, Rauber F, Chaffee BW, Vitolo MR. 2013. Food expenditures, cariogenic dietary practices and childhood dental caries in southern Brazil. Caries Res. 47(5):373-381.
- 77. Ferrazzano GF, Sangianantoni G, Cantile T, Ingenito A. 2016. Relationship between social and behavioural factors and caries experience in schoolchildren in Italy. Oral Health & Preventive Dentistry. 14(1):55-61.
- 78. Figueiredo MC, Guarienti CA, Michel JA, Sampaio MS. 2008. Comprehensive attention to oral health in early childhood: A longitudinal evaluation of the infant clinic program of the Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil. Acta Odontologica Latinoamericana. 21(2):181-187.
- 79. Fraiz FC, Walter LR. 2001. Study of the factors associated with dental caries in children who receive early dental care. Brazilian Oral Research. 15(3):201-207.
- 80. Frazao P. 2011. Effectiveness of the bucco-lingual technique within a school-based supervised toothbrushing program on preventing caries: A randomized controlled trial. BMC Oral Health. 11:11.
- 81. Freeman L, Martin S, Rutenberg G, Shirejian P, Skarie M. 1989. Relationships between def, demographic and behavioral variables among multiracial preschool children. Journal of Dentistry for Children. 56(3):205-210.
- Freeman R, Breistein B, McQueen A, Stewart M. 1997. The dental health status of five-year-old children in north and west Belfast. Community Dent Health. 14(4):253-257.
- 83. Frostell G, Birkhed D, Edwardsson S, Goldberg P, Petersson LG, Priwe C, Winholt AS. 1991. Effect of partial substitution of invert sugar for sucrose in combination with duraphat treatment on caries development in preschool children: The Malmo study. Caries Res. 25(4):304-310.
- Ge X, Zhang B, Li B, Zhao L, Zhao B, Ren X, Sun K. 2004. The effects of feeding methods on deciduous caries. [Chinese]. Shanghai Journal of Stomatology. 13(5):365-366.
- 85. Ghazal T, Levy SM, Childers NK, Broffitt B, Cutter G, Wiener HW, Kempf M,

Warren J, Cavanaugh J. 2015a. Prevalence and incidence of early childhood caries among African-American children in Alabama. J Public Health Dent. 75(1):42-48.

- 86. Ghazal T, Levy SM, Childers NK, Broffitt B, Cutter GR, Wiener HW, Kempf MC, Warren J, Cavanaugh JE. 2015b. Factors associated with early childhood caries incidence among high caries-risk children. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 43(4):366-374.
- 87. Gibbs L, de Silva AM, Christian B, L G, Gussy M, Moore L, Calache H, Young D, Riggs E, Tadic M. 2016. Child oral health in migrant families: A cross-sectional study of caries in 1-4 year old children from migrant backgrounds residing in Melbourne, Australia. Community Dent Health. 33(2):100-106.
- 88. Gibson S, Williams S. 1999. Dental caries in pre-school children: Associations with social class, toothbrushing habit and consumption of sugars and sugar-containing foods. Further analysis of data from the National Diet and Nutrition Survey of children aged 1.5-4.5 years. Caries Res. 33(2):101-113.
- 89. Goose DH, Gittus E. 1968. Infant feeding methods and dental caries. Public Health. 82(2):72-76.
- Gordon Y, Reddy J. 1985. Prevalence of dental caries, patterns of sugar consumption and oral hygiene practices in infancy in S. Africa. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 13(6):310-314.
- 91. Harrison R, Wong T, Ewan C, Contreras B, Phung Y. 1997. Feeding practices and dental caries in an urban Canadian population of Vietnamese preschool children. Journal of Dentistry for Children. 64(2):112-117.
- 92. Harrison RL, Wong T. 2003. An oral health promotion program for an urban minority population of preschool children. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 31(5):392-399.
- 93. Hashim R, Williams SM, Murray Thomson W. 2009. Diet and caries experience among preschool children in Ajman, United Arab Emirates. Eur J Oral Sci. 117(6):734-740.
- 94. Holm AK, Blomquist HK, Crossner CG, Grahnen H, Samuelson G. 1975. A comparative study of oral health as related to general health, food habits and socioeconomic conditions of 4-year-old Swedish children. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 3(1):34-39.
- 95. Holt RD. 1991. Foods and drinks at four daily time intervals in a group of young children. Brit Dent J. 170(4):137-143.
- 96. Hong L, Levy SM, Warren JJ, Broffitt B. 2014. Infant breast-feeding and childhood caries: A nine-year study. Pediatric Dentistry. 36(4):342-347.
- 97. Horowitz HS, Heifetz SB, Law FE, Driscoll WS. 1968. School fluoridation studies in Elk Lake, Pennsylvania, and Pike County, Kentucky-results after eight years. American Journal of Public Health and the Nation's Health. 58(12):2240-2250.
- 98. Huntington NL, Kim IJ, Hughes CV. 2002. Caries-risk factors for Hispanic children affected by early childhood caries. Pediatric Dentistry. 24(6):536-542.
- 99. Huong DM, Hang LTT, Nhu Ngoc VT, Anh LQ, Son LH, Chu DT, Le DH. 2017. Prevalence of early childhood caries and its related risk factors in preschoolers: Result from a cross sectional study in Vietnam. Pediatric Dental Journal. 27(2):79-84.
- 100. Iida H, Auinger P, Billings RJ, Weitzman M. 2007. Association between infant breastfeeding and early childhood caries in the United States. Pediatrics. 120(4):e944-952.

- 101. Ismail AI, Lim S, Sohn W, Willem JM. 2008. Determinants of early childhood caries in low-income African American young children. Pediatric Dentistry. 30(4):289-296.
- 102. Ismail AI, Ondersma S, Jedele JM, Little RJ, Lepkowski JM. 2011. Evaluation of a brief tailored motivational intervention to prevent early childhood caries. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 39(5):433-448.
- 103. Ismail AI, Sohn W, Lim S, Willem JM. 2009. Predictors of dental caries progression in primary teeth. J Dent Res. 88(3):270-275.
- 104. Kailis DG, Taylor SR, Davis GB, Bartlett LG, Fitzgerald DJ, Grose IJ, Newton PD. 1968. Fluoride and caries: Observations on the effects of prenatal and postnatal fluoride on some Perth pre-school children. Med J Aust. 2(23):1037-1040.
- 105. Kalyvas DI, Taylor CM, Michas V, Lygidakis NA. 2006. Dental health of 5-year-old children and parents' perceptions for oral health in the prefectures of Athens and Piraeus in the Attica county of Greece. Int J Paed Dent. 16(5):352-357.
- 106. Kaminska A, Szalewski L, Batkowska J, Wallner J, Wallner E, Szabelska A, Borowicz J. 2016. The dependence of dental caries on oral hygiene habits in preschool children from urban and rural areas in Poland. Annals of Agricultural & Environmental Medicine. 23(4):660-665.
- 107. Kang BH, Park SN, Sohng KY, Moon JS. 2005. [Effect of a tooth-brushing education program on oral health of preschool children]. Journal of Korean Academy of Nursing. 38(6):914-922.
- 108. Kanou N, Koseki A, Yamada K, Sakurai S, Ohnishi N, Mayanagi H, Kamiyama K. 1989. [Investigation into the actual condition of outpatients. II. Correlation between the daily habits of eating and toothbrushing and the prevalence of dental caries incidence]. Japanese Journal of Pedodontics. 27(2):467-474.
- 109. Karjalainen S, Soderling E, Sewon L, Lapinleimu H, Simell O. 2001. A prospective study on sucrose consumption, visible plaque and caries in children from 3 to 6 years of age. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 29(2):136-142.
- 110. Kato T, Yorifuji T, Yamakawa M, Inoue S, Saito K, Doi H, Kawachi I. 2014. Association of breast feeding with early childhood dental caries: Japanese populationbased study. BMJ Open. 5(3):e006982.
- 111. Kawashita Y, Fukuda H, Kawasaki K, Kitamura M, Hayashida H, Furugen R, Fukumoto E, Iijima Y, Saito T. 2011. Pediatrician-recommended use of sports drinks and dental caries in 3-year-old children. Community Dent Health. 28(1):29-33.
- 112. Kerosuo H, Ngassapa D, Kerosuo E, Ranta K. 1988. Caries experience in the primary dentition of nursery school children in Dar Es Salaam, Tanzania. Caries Res. 22(1):50-54.
- 113. Khadka N, Roy S, Athavale P, Bhatia A, Barkan H, Sokal-Gutierrez K. 2016. A community-based intervention to reduce tooth decay and malnutrition in Mumbai, India. Annals of Global Health. 82(3):392.
- 114. Kolker JL, Yuan Y, Burt BA, S, retto AM, Sohn W, Lang SW, Ismail AI. 2007. Dental caries and dietary patterns in low-income African American children. Pediatric Dentistry. 29(6):457-464.
- 115. Kowash MB. 2015. Severity of early childhood caries in preschool children attending al-ain dental centre, United Arab Emirates. European Archives of Paediatric Dentistry: Official Journal of the European Academy of Paediatric Dentistry. 16(4):319-324.

- 116. Kuriakose S, Prasannan M, Remya KC, Kurian J, Sreejith KR. 2015. Prevalence of early childhood caries among preschool children in Trivandrum and its association with various risk factors. Contemp Clin Dent. 6(1):69-73.
- 117. Lalloo R, Jamieson LM, Ha D, Ellershaw A, Luzzi L. 2015. Does fluoride in the water close the dental caries gap between indigenous and non-indigenous children? Aust Dent J. 60(3):390-396.
- 118. Lemos LV, Myaki SI, Walter LR, Zuanon AC. 2014. Oral health promotion in early childhood: Age of joining preventive program and behavioral aspects. Einstein. 12(1):6-10.
- 119. Leroy R, Jara A, Martens L, Declerck D. 2009. Oral hygiene and gingival health in Flemish pre-school children. Community Dent Health. 28(1):75-81.
- 120. Li Y, Zhang Y, Yang R, Zhang Q, Zou J, Kang D. 2011. Associations of social and behavioural factors with early childhood caries in Xiamen City in China. Int J Paed Dent. 21(2):103-111.
- 121. Lim S, Sohn W, Burt BA, S, Retto AM, Kolker JL, Marshall TA, Ismail AI. 2008. Cariogenicity of soft drinks, milk and fruit juice in low-income African-American children: A longitudinal study. JADA. 139(7):959-967.
- 122. Lim S, Tellez M, Ismail AI. 2015. Dental caries development among African American children: Results from a 4-year longitudinal study. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 43(3):200-207.
- 123. Ludwig TG. 1965. The Hastings fluoridation project v. Dental effects between 1954 and 1964. N Z Dent J. 61(285):175-179.
- 124. Marshall TA, Broffitt B, Eichenberger-Gilmore J, Warren JJ, Cunningham MA, Levy SM. 2005. The roles of meal, snack, and daily total food and beverage exposures on caries experience in young children. J Public Health Dent. 65(3):166-173.
- 125. Masumo R, Bardsen A, Mashoto K, Astrom AN. 2013. Feeding practice among 6-36 months old in Tanzania and Uganda: Reliability and relationship with early childhood caries, ECC. Acta Odont Scand. 71(5):1309-1318.
- 126. Mathur A, Mathur A, Jain M, B, ari S, Choudhary S, Prabu D, Kulkarni S. 2011. Influence of feeding habits on early childhood caries (ECC) within primary dentition in India. Pediatric Dental Journal. 21(2):101-106.
- 127. Maupome G, Karanja N, Ritenbaugh C, Lutz T, Aickin M, Becker T. 2010. Dental caries in American Indian toddlers after a community-based beverage intervention. Ethnicity & Disease. 20(4):444-450.
- 128. McMahon J, Parnell WR, Spears GFS. 1993. Diet and dental caries in preschool children. Eur J Clin Nutr. 47(11):794-802.
- 129. Meurman P, Pienihakkinen K, Eriksson AL, Alanen P. 2009. Oral health programme for preschool children: A prospective, controlled study. Int J Paediat Dent. 19(4):263-273.
- 130. Milgrom P, Riedy CA, Weinstein P, Tanner AC, Manibusan L, Bruss J. 2000. Dental caries and its relationship to bacterial infection, hypoplasia, diet, and oral hygiene in 6- to 36-month-old children. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 28(4):295-306.
- 131. Mohebbi SZ, Virtanen JI, Vahid-Golpayegani M, Vehkalahti MM. 2008. Feeding habits as determinants of early childhood caries in a population where prolonged breastfeeding is the norm. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 36(4):363-369.
- 132. Mothupi KA, Nqcobo CB, Yengopal V. 2016. Prevalence of early childhood caries

among preschool children in Johannesburg, South Africa. J Dent Child (Chic). 83(2):83-87.

- 133. Murray J. 1969. Caries experience of five-year-old children from fluoride and non-fluoride communities. Brit Dent J. 126(8):352-354.
- 134. Naidu R, Nunn J, Kelly A. 2013. Socio-behavioural factors and early childhood caries: A cross-sectional study of preschool children in central Trinidad. BMC Oral Health. 13:30.
- 135. Nainar SM, Mohummed S. 2004. Role of infant feeding practices on the dental health of children. Clinical Pediatrics. 43(2):129-133.
- 136. Nair R, Weber-Gasparoni K, Marshall TA, Warren JJ, Levy SM. 2010. Factors affecting early childhood caries among wic-enrolled children in Linn County, Iowa. Journal of Dentistry for Children (Chicago, Ill). 77(3):158-165.
- 137. Nazar H, Al-Mutawa S, Ariga J, Soparkar P, Mascarenhas AK. 2014. Caries prevalence, oral hygiene, and oral health habits of Kuwaiti infants and toddlers. Medical Principles & Practice. 23(2):125-128.
- 138. Neumann AS, Lee KJ, Gussy MG, Waters EB, Carlin JB, Riggs E, Kilpatrick NM. 2011. Impact of an oral health intervention on pre-school children < 3 years of age in a rural setting in Australia. Journal of Paediatrics & Child Health. 47(6):367-372.
- 139. Nirunsittirat A, Pitiphat W, McKinney CM, DeRouen TA, Chansamak N, Angwaravong O, Patcharanuchat P, Pimpak T. 2016. Breastfeeding duration and childhood caries: A cohort study. Caries Res. 50(5):498-507.
- 140. Nishimura M, Oda T, Kariya N, Matsumura S, Shimono T. 2008. Using a caries activity test to predict caries risk in early childhood. JADA. 139(1):63-71.
- 141. Nizel AE. 1973. Nutrition and oral problems. World Rev Nutr Diet. 16:226-252.
- 142. Nizel AE. 1977. Preventing dental caries: The nutritional factors. Pediatric Clinics of North America. 24(1):141-155.
- 143. Nunn ME, Braunstein NS, Krall Kaye EA, Dietrich T, Garcia RI, Henshaw MM. 2009a. Healthy eating index is a predictor of early childhood caries. J Dent Res. 88(4):361-366.
- 144. Nunn ME, Dietrich T, Singh HK, Henshaw MM, Kressin NR. 2009b. Prevalence of early childhood caries among very young urban Boston children compared with US children. J Public Health Dent. 69(3):156-162.
- 145. Nurbiye M, Zhao J, M, Niu QL. 2011. An epidemiological investigation of early child caries and the correlative factors' analysis of Uyghur and Chinese children in Urumqi. Chinese Journal of Evidence-Based Medicine. 11(2):143-146.
- 146. University of Otago. 2011. Prevention of early decay in children's teeth.
- 147. Olivieri-Munroe C. 1968. A study of the oral health of Maltese school children. Brit Dent J. 124(4):177-182.
- 148. Olmez S, Uzamis M. 2002. Risk factors of early childhood caries in Turkish children. Turkish Journal of Pediatrics. 44(3):230-236.
- 149. O'Mullane D, Whelton H. 1997. Efficacy of fluoride against dental caries; fluoride in water. Fogorvosi Szemle. 90:7-12.
- 150. O'Mullane DM, Clarkson J, H, T, O'Hickey S, Whelton H. 1988. Effectiveness of water fluoridation in the prevention of dental caries in Irish children. Community Dent Health. 5(4):331-344.
- 151. Ozen B, Van Strijp AJ, Ozer L, Olmus H, Genc A, Cehreli SB. 2016. Evaluation of

possible associated factors for early childhood caries and severe early childhood caries: A multicenter cross-sectional survey. Journal of Clinical Pediatric Dentistry. 40(2):118-123.

- 152. Ozer S, Sen Tunc E, Bayrak S, Egilmez T. 2011. Evaluation of certain risk factors for early childhood caries in Samsun, Turkey. European Journal of Paediatric Dentistry. 12(2):103-106.
- 153. Pacey L. 2012. Research trial to improve oral health of children in Northern Ireland. Brit Dent J. 212(10):468.
- 154. Palmer JD. 1971. Dietary habits at bedtime in relation to dental caries in children. Brit Dent J. 130(7):288-293.
- 155. Parker WA, Fultz RP. 1986. Dentistry's commitment to head start: An evaluation of selected programs. JADA. 113(4):658-664.
- 156. Paul TR. 2003. Dental health status and caries pattern of preschool children in Al-Kharj, Saudi Arabia. Saudi Medical Journal. 24(12):1347-1351.
- 157. Peltzer K, Mongkolchati A. 2015. Severe early childhood caries and social determinants in three-year-old children from northern Thailand: A birth cohort study. BMC Oral Health. 15:108.
- 158. Perera PJ, Fernando MP, Warnakulasooriya TD, Ranathunga N. 2014. Effect of feeding practices on dental caries among preschool children: A hospital based analytical cross sectional study. Asia Pac J Clin Nutr. 23(2):272-277.
- 159. Persson LA, Stecksen-Blicks C, Holm AK. 1984. Nutrition and health in childhood: Causal and quantitative interpretations of dental caries. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 12(6):390-397.
- 160. Picton DC, Wiltshear PJ. 1970. A comparison of the effects of early feeding habits on the caries prevalence of deciduous teeth. Dental Practitioner & Dental Record. 20(5):170-172.
- 161. Pine CM, McGoldrick PM, Burnside G, Curnow MM, Chesters RK, Nicholson J, Huntington E. 2000. An intervention programme to establish regular toothbrushing: Understanding parents' beliefs and motivating children. Int Dent J.312-323.
- 162. Prakash P, Subramaniam P, Durgesh BH, Konde S. 2012. Prevalence of early childhood caries and associated risk factors in preschool children of urban Bangalore, India: A cross-sectional study. Eur J Dent. 6(2):141-152.
- 163. Provart SJ, Carmichael CL. 1995. The relationship between caries, fluoridation and material deprivation in five-year-old children in Country Durham. Community Dent Health. 12(4):200-203.
- 164. Qadri G, Nourallah A, Splieth CH. 2012. Early childhood caries and feeding practices in kindergarten children. Quintessence International. 43(6):503-510.
- 165. Qin M, Li J, Zhang S, Ma W. 2008. Risk factors for severe early childhood caries in children younger than 4 years old in Beijing, China. Pediatric Dentistry. 30(2):122-128.
- 166. Qiu RM, Lo EC, Zhi QH, Zhou Y, Tao Y, Lin HC. 2014. Factors related to children's caries: A structural equation modeling approach. BMC Public Health. 14:1071.
- 167. Quinonez R, Santos RG, Wilson S, Cross H. 2001. The relationship between child temperament and early childhood caries. Pediatric Dentistry. 23(1):5-10.
- 168. Quissell DO, Bryant LL, Braun PA, Cudeii D, Johs N, Smith VL, George C, Henderson WG, Albino J. 2014. Preventing caries in preschoolers: Successful

initiation of an innovative community-based clinical trial in navajo nation head start.[erratum appears in contemp clin trials. 2014 may;38(1):155]. Contemporary Clinical Trials. 37(2):242-251.

- 169. Rajshekar SA, Laxminarayan N. 2011. Comparison of primary dentition caries experience in pre-term low birth-weight and full-term normal birth-weight children aged one to six years. Journal of the Indian Society of Pedodontics & Preventive Dentistry. 29(2):128-134.
- 170. Ramezani GH, Norozi A, Valael N. 2003. The prevalence of nursing caries in 18 to 60 months old children in Qazvin. Journal of the Indian Society of Pedodontics & Preventive Dentistry. 21(1):19-26.
- 171. Ramos-Gomez FJ, Tomar SL, Ellison J, Artiga N, Sintes J, Vicuna G. 1999. Assessment of early childhood caries and dietary habits in a population of migrant Hispanic children in Stockton, California. Journal of Dentistry for Children. 66(6):395-403, 366.
- 172. Razmiene J, Vanagas G, Bendoraitiene E, Vysniauskaite A. 2011. The relation between oral hygiene skills and the prevalence of dental caries among 4 6-year-old children. Stomatologija. 13(2):62-67.
- 173. Reisine ST, Psoter W. 2001. Socioeconomic status and selected behavioral determinants as risk factors for dental caries. J Dent Edu. 65(10):1009-1016.
- 174. Richardson BD, Cleaton-Jones PE, Sinwel RE, Rantsho JM. 1984. Trends in sugar intake: Do these parallel changes in caries prevalence among S. African preschoolchildren? Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 12(2):140-144.
- 175. Ripa L, Levinson A, Leske G. 1980. Epidemiological survey of caries-related behavior in caries-free children. The New York State Dental Journal. 46(2):78-80.
- 176. Roberts CR, Warren JJ, Weber-Gasparoni K. 2009. Relationships between caregivers' responses to oral health screening questions and early childhood caries. J Public Health Dent. 69(4):290-293.
- 177. Roberts GJ, Cleaton-Jones PE, Fatti LP, Richardson BD, Sinwel RE, Hargreaves JA, Williams S. 1993. Patterns of breast and bottle feeding and their association with dental caries in 1- to 4-year-old South African children. 1. Dental caries prevalence and experience. Community Dent Health. 10(4):405-413.
- 178. Roberts GJ, Cleaton-Jones PE, Fatti LP, Richardson BD, Sinwel RE, Hargreaves JA, Williams S, Lucas VS. 1994. Patterns of breast and bottle feeding and their association with dental caries in 1- to 4-year-old South African children. 2. A case control study of children with nursing caries. Community Dent Health. 11(1):38-41.
- 179. Roeters J, Burgersdijk R, Truin GJ, van 't Hof M. 1995. Dental caries and its determinants in 2-to-5-year-old children. Journal of Dentistry for Children. 62(6):401-408.
- 180. Rong WS, Bian JY, Wang WJ, De Wang J. 2003. Effectiveness of an oral health education and caries prevention program in kindergartens in china. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 31(6):412-416.
- 181. Rosenblatt A, Zarzar P. 2002. The prevalence of early childhood caries in 12- to 36month-old children in Recife, Brazil. Journal of Dentistry for Children. 69(3):319-324, 236.
- 182. Rosenblatt A, Zarzar P. 2004. Breast-feeding and early childhood caries: An assessment among Brazilian infants. Int J Paediat Dent. 14(6):439-445.

- 183. Rugg-Gunn AJ, Carmichael CL, French AD, Furness JA. 1977. Fluoridation in Newcastle and northumberland. A clinical study of 5-year-old children. Brit Dent J. 142(12):395-402.
- 184. Rugg-Gunn AJ, Hackett AF, Appleton DR. 1987. Relative cariogenicity of starch and sugars in a 2-year longitudinal study of 405 English schoolchildren. Caries Res. 21(5):464-473.
- 185. Sacie L, Markovie N, Arslanagie Muratbegovie A, Zukanovie A, Kobaslija S. 2016. The prevalence and severity of early childhood caries in preschool children in the federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina. Acta Medica Academica. 45(1):19-25.
- 186. Saito E, Wakizaka H, Niwa M, Miura H, Watanabe S, Igarashi S, Ueda I, Ito N. 1989. [Dental caries of primary teeth and life habits in Shinshinotsu nursery school: Three years of observations]. Higashi Nippon Shigaku Zasshi. 8(2):125-138.
- 187. Sälzer S, Alkilzy M, Slot DE, Dörfer CE, Schmoeckel J, Splieth CH. 2017. Sociobehavioural aspects in the prevention and control of dental caries and periodontal diseases at an individual and population level. J Clin Periodontol. 44:S106-S115.
- 188. Samuelson G, Blomquist HK, Crossner CG, Holm AK, Grahnen H. 1975. An epidemiological study of child health and nutrition in a northern Swedish county. Vii. A comparative study of general and dental health, food habits and socio-economic conditions in 4-year-old children. Acta Paediatrica Scandinavica. 64(2):241-247.
- 189. Sankeshwari RM, Ankola AV, Tangade PS, Hebbal MI. 2013. Association of socioeconomic status and dietary habits with early childhood caries among 3- to 5-year-old children of Belgaum City. European Archives of Paediatric Dentistry: Official Journal of the European Academy of Paediatric Dentistry. 14(3):147-153.
- 190. Savara BS, Suher T. 2015. Dental caries in children one to six years of age as related to socioeconomic level, food habits, and toothbrushing. J Dent Res. 34(6):870-875.
- 191. Schou L, Uitenbroek D. 1995. Social and behavioural indicators of caries experience in 5-year-old children. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 23(5):276-281.
- 192. Schroder U, Granath L. 1983. Dietary habits and oral hygiene as predictors of caries in 3-year-old children. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 11(5):308-311.
- 193. Schroth RJ, Edwards JM, Brothwell DJ, Yakiwchuk CA, Bertone MF, Mellon B, Ward J, Ellis M, Hai-Santiago K, Lawrence HP et al. 2015. Evaluating the impact of a community developed collaborative project for the prevention of early childhood caries: The healthy smile happy child project. Rural & Remote Health. 15(4):3566.
- 194. Schroth RJ, Halchuk S, Star L. 2013. Prevalence and risk factors of caregiver reported severe early childhood caries in Manitoba first nations children: Results from the RHS phase 2 (2008-2010). International Journal of Circumpolar Health. 72.
- 195. Schroth RJ, Moffatt ME. 2005. Determinants of early childhood caries (ECC) in a rural Manitoba community: A pilot study. Pediatric Dentistry. 27(2):114-120.
- 196. Schroth RJ, Smith PJ, Whalen JC, Lekic C, Moffatt ME. 2005. Prevalence of caries among preschool-aged children in a northern Manitoba community. JCDA. 71(1):27.
- 197. Seki M, Karakama F, Yamashita Y. 2003. Does a clinical evaluation of oral cleanliness correlate with caries incidence in preschool children? Findings from a cohort study. Journal of Oral Science. 45(2):93-98.
- 198. Sellman S, Syrrist A. 1968. The Norrkoping fluoridation study. Odontologisk revy. 19(1):23-29.
- 199. Seow K. 2012. Environmental, maternal, and child factors which contribute to early

childhood caries: A unifying conceptual model. Int J Paediat Dent. 22(3):157-168.

- 200. Seow WK, Amaratunge A, Sim R, Wan A. 1999. Prevalence of caries in urban Australian Aborigines aged 1-3.5 years. Pediatric Dentistry. 21(2):91-96.
- 201. Si Y, Guo Y, Yuan C, Xu T, Zheng SG. 2016. Comprehensive oral health care to reduce the incidence of severe early childhood caries (S-ECC) in urban China. Chinese Journal of Dental Research. 19(1):55-63.
- 202. Singh A, Purohit B, Sequeira P, Acharya S. 2011. Oral health status of 5-year-old aborigine children compared with similar aged marginalised group in south western India. Int Dent J. 61(3):157-162.
- 203. Skeie MS, Espelid I, Riordan PJ, Klock KS. 2008. Caries increment in children aged 3-5 years in relation to parents' dental attitudes: Oslo, Norway 2002 to 2004. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 36(5):441-450.
- 204. Skeie MS, Riordan PJ, Klock KS, Espelid I. 2006. Parental risk attitudes and cariesrelated behaviours among immigrant and western native children in Oslo. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 34(2):103-113.
- 205. Slack-Smith L, Colvin L, Leonard H, Kilpatrick N, Bower C, Brearley Messer L. 2009. Factors associated with dental admissions for children aged under 5 years in Western Australia. Arch Dis Child. 94(7):517-523.
- 206. Songo BF, Declerck D, Vinckier F, Mbuyi MD, Pilipili CM, Kayembe KP. 2013. Caries experience and related factors in 4-6 year-olds attending dental clinics in Kinshasa, district of Congo. Community Dent Health. 30(4):257-262.
- 207. Sowole A, Sote E, Folayan M. 2007. Dental caries pattern and predisposing oral hygiene related factors in Nigerian preschool children. European Archives of Paediatric Dentistry: Official Journal of the European Academy of Paediatric Dentistry. 8(4):206-210.
- 208. Stacey MA, Wright FA. 1991. Diet and feeding patterns in high risk pre-school children. Aust Dent J. 36(6):421-427.
- 209. Staskiewicz T. 2012. [Analysis of the influence of some factors on the intensity of early childhood caries]. Annales Academiae Medicae Stetinensis. 58(2):36-39.
- 210. Stecksen-Blicks C, Hasslof P, Kieri C, Widman K. 2014. Caries and background factors in Swedish 4-year-old children with special reference to immigrant status. Acta Odontol Scand. 72(8):852-858.
- 211. Stecksen-Blicks C, Holgerson PL, Twetman S. 2007. Caries risk profiles in twoyear-old children from northern Sweden. Oral Health & Preventive Dentistry. 5(3):215-221.
- 212. Stecksen-Blicks C, Sjostrom I, Twetman S. 2009. Effect of long-term consumption of milk supplemented with probiotic lactobacilli and fluoride on dental caries and general health in preschool children: A cluster-randomized study. Caries Res. 43(5):374-381.
- 213. Stecksén-Blicks C, Sjöström I, Twetman S. 2009. Effect of long-term consumption of milk supplemented with probiotic lactobacilli and fluoride on dental caries and general health in preschool children: A cluster-randomized study. Caries Res. 43(5):374-381.
- 214. Stevens A, Hamel C, Singh K, Ansari MT, Myers E, Ziegler P, Hutton B, Sharma A, Bjerre LM, Fenton S et al. 2014. Do sugar-sweetened beverages cause adverse health outcomes in children? A systematic review protocol. Systematic Reviews. 3:96.

- 215. Subramaniam P, Prashanth P. 2012. Prevalence of early childhood caries in 8 48 month old preschool children of Bangalore City, South India. Contemp Clin Dent. 3(1):15-21.
- 216. Sujlana A, Pannu PK. 2015. Family related factors associated with caries prevalence in the primary dentition of five-year-old children. Journal of the Indian Society of Pedodontics & Preventive Dentistry. 33(2):83-87.
- 217. Szatko F, Wierzbicka M, Dybizbanska E, Struzycka I, Iwanicka-Frankowska E. 2004. Oral health of polish three-year-olds and mothers' oral health-related knowledge. Community Dent Health. 21(2):175-180.
- 218. Tanaka K, Miyake Y, Sasaki S, Hirota Y. 2013. Infant feeding practices and risk of dental caries in japan: The Osaka maternal and child health study. Pediatric Dentistry. 35(3):267-271.
- 219. Tank G, Storvick CA. 1964. Caries experience of children one to six years old in two Oregon communities (Corvallis and Albany). I. Effect of fluoride on caries experience and eruption of teeth. JADA. 69:749-757.
- 220. Tank G, Storvick CA. 1965. Caries experience of children one to six years old in two Oregon communities (Corvallis and Albany). 3. Relation of diet to variation of dental caries. JADA. 70:394-403.
- 221. Thomas FD, Kassab JY, Jones BM. 1995. Fluoridation in Anglesey 1993: A clinical study of dental caries in 5-year-old children who had experienced sub-optimal fluoridation. Brit Dent J. 178(2):55-59.
- 222. Tiberia MJ, Milnes AR, Feigal RJ, Morley KR, Richardson DS, Croft WG, Cheung WS. 2007. Risk factors for early childhood caries in Canadian preschool children seeking care. Pediatric Dentistry. 29(3):201-208.
- 223. Tickle M, O'Neill C, Donaldson M, Birch S, Noble S, Killough S, Murphy L, Greer M, Brodison J, Verghis R et al. 2016. A randomised controlled trial to measure the effects and costs of a dental caries prevention regime for young children attending primary care dental services: The Northern Ireland caries prevention in practice (nic-pip) trial. Health Technology Assessment. 20(71): vii-96.
- 224. Tsai AI, Chen C, Li L, Hsiang C, Hsu K. 2006. Risk indicators for early childhood caries in Taiwan. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 34(6):437-445.
- 225. Tsai AI, Johnsen DC, Lin YH, Hsu KH. 2001. A study of risk factors associated with nursing caries in Taiwanese children aged 24-48 months. Int J Paediat Dent. 11(2):147-149.
- 226. Tubert-Jeannin S, Leger S, Manevy R. 2012. Addressing children's oral health inequalities: Caries experience before and after the implementation of an oral health promotion program. Acta Odontol Scand. 70(3):255-264.
- 227. Ulvestad H, Gilinsky A. 1977. Effect on caries prevalence in three year old children of a preventive program given at child health centre. Swedish Dental Journal. 1(4):159-162.
- 228. Universitätsklinikum Jena Zentrum für Zahn- M-uKPfPZuK. 2012. Evaluation of a dental preventive program for 0- to 3 year old Thuringian children, Germany.
- 229. Trial registered on ANZCTR. 2015. Canberra (Aus): Australian and New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry; [accessed 2018 Sep 05]. https://www.anzctr.org.au/Trial/Registration/TrialReview.aspx?ACTRN=1261500069 3527

- 230. Prevention Management Model for Early Childhood Caries (MAYA Project). 2014. San Francisco (USA): Clinicaltrials.gov; [accessed 2018 Sep 05]. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00066950
- 231. Effectiveness of supervised toothbrushing on prevention of childish dental caries. 2011. Santos (Bra): International Standard Registered Clinical/soCial sTudy Number Registry; [accessed 2018 Sep 05]. http://www.isrctn.com/ISRCTN18548869
- 232. Vachirarojpisan T, Shinada K, Kawaguchi Y. 2005. The process and outcome of a programme for preventing early childhood caries in Thailand. Community Dent Health. 22(4):253-259.
- 233. Valaitis R, Hesch R, Passarelli C, Sheehan D, Sinton J. 2000. A systematic review of the relationship between breastfeeding and early childhood caries. Canadian Journal of Public Health Revue Canadienne de Sante Publique. 91(6):411-417.
- 234. van Palenstein Helderman WH, Soe W, van 't Hof MA. 2006. Risk factors of early childhood caries in a Southeast Asian population. J Dent Res. 85(1):85-88.
- 235. Vargas CM, Dye BA, Kolasny CR, Buckman DW, McNeel TS, Tinanoff N, Marshall TA, Levy SM. 2014a. Early childhood caries and intake of 100 percent fruit juice. JADA. 145(12):1254-1261.
- 236. Vargas CM, Dye BA, Kolasny CR, Buckman DW, McNeel TS, Tinanoff N, Marshall TA, Levy SM. 2014b. Early childhood caries and intake of 100 percent fruit juice: Data from NHANES, 1999-2004. JADA. 145(12):1254-1261.
- 237. Wakaguri S, Aida J, Osaka K, Morita M, Ando Y. 2011. Association between caregiver behaviours to prevent vertical transmission and dental caries in their 3-year-old children. Caries Res. 45(3):281-286.
- 238. Walker JD, Beck JD, Jakobsen J. 1984. Parental attitudes and dental disease in preschool children in Iowa. Journal of Dentistry for Children. 51(2):141-145.
- 239. Wallace DC, Gillooly CJ. 1966. San Francisco's "operation headstart"; the impact of fluoridation. J Public Health Dent. 26(4):365-367.
- 240. Wallenstein S, Fleiss JL, Chilton NW. 1982. Confidence intervals for percentage reduction in caries increments. J Dent Res. 61(6):828-830.
- 241. Walton JL, Messer LB. 1981. Dental caries and fluorosis in breast-fed and bottle-fed children. Caries Res. 15(2):124-137.
- 242. Wang WH, Wang WJ. 2008. [Caries-related factors for preschool children]. [Chinese]. Chinese Journal of Stomatology. 43(2):105-106.
- 243. Watson MR, Horowitz AM, Garcia I, Canto MT. 1999. Caries conditions among 2-5year-old immigrant Latino children related to parents' oral health knowledge, opinions and practices. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 27(1):8-15.
- 244. Weber-Gasparoni K, Kanellis MJ, Levy SM, Stock J. 2007. Caries prior to age 3 and breastfeeding: A survey of la leche league members. Journal of Dentistry for Children (Chicago, Ill). 74(1):52-61.
- 245. Wei SH, Holm AK, Tong LS, Yuen SW. 1993. Dental caries prevalence and related factors in 5-year-old children in Hong Kong. Pediatric Dentistry. 15(2):116-119.
- 246. Weinstein P, Harrison R, Benton T. 2004. Motivating parents to prevent caries in their young children: One-year findings. JADA. 135(6):731-738.
- 247. Weiss RL, Trithart AH. 1960. Between-meal eating habits and dental caries experience in preschool children. American Journal of Public Health & the Nation's Health. 50:1097-1104.

- 248. Wendt LK, Carlsson E, Hallonsten AL, Birkhed D. 2001. Early dental caries risk assessment and prevention in pre-school children: Evaluation of a new strategy for dental care in a field study. Acta Odont Scand. 59(5):261-266.
- 249. Wennhall I, Martensson EM, Sjunnesson I, Matsson L, Schroder U, Twetman S. 2005. Caries-preventive effect of an oral health program for preschool children in a low socio-economic, multicultural area in Sweden: Results after one year. Acta Odont Scand. 63(3):163-167.
- 250. Wennhall I, Matsson L, Schroder U, Twetman S. 2002. Caries prevalence in 3-yearold children living in a low socio-economic multicultural urban area in southern Sweden. Swed Dent J. 26(4):167-172.
- 251. Whittle JG, Whitehead HF, Bishop CM. 2008. A randomised control trial of oral health education provided by a health visitor to parents of pre-school children. Community Dent Health. 25(1):28-32.
- 252. Whittle JG, Whittle KW. 1995. Five-year-old children: Changes in their decay experience and dental health related behaviours over four years. Community Dent Health. 12(4):204-207.
- 253. Winter GB, Rule DC, Mailer GP, James PM, Gordon PH. 1971. The prevalence of dental caries in pre-school children aged 1 to 4 years. Brit Dent J. 130(10):434-436.
- 254. Winter J, Glaser M, Heinzel-Gutenbrunner M, Pieper K. 2015. Association of caries increment in preschool children with nutritional and preventive variables. Clinical Oral Investigations. 19(8):1913-1919.
- 255. Wong MC, Lu HX, Lo EC. 2012. Caries increment over 2 years in preschool children: A life course approach. Int Journal Paediat Dent. 22(2):77-84.
- 256. Wyne AH, Al-Ghannam NA, Al-Shammery AR, Khan NB. 2002. Caries prevalence, severity and pattern in pre-school children. Saudi Medical Journal. 23(5):580-584.
- 257. Wyne AH, Chohan AN, Jastaniyah N, Al-Khalil R. 2008. Bilateral occurrence of dental caries and oral hygiene in preschool children of Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. Odonto-Stomatologie Tropicale. 31(124):19-25.
- 258. Yam AA, Ba M, Faye M, Sane DD. 2000. [Caries and gingivitis study among preschool children (2-5 years) of the region of ziguinchor in senegal. Strategies of prevention]. Dakar Medical. 45(2):180-184.
- 259. Yasin-Harnekar S. 1988. Nursing caries. A review. Clinical Preventive Dentistry. 10(2):3-8.
- 260. Yokota K, Shiina Y, Harada M, Wakabayashi Y, Inagawa M, Oshima M, Toriumi S, Hirose K, Ikehara S, Yamagishi K et al. 2010. [Implementation and evaluation of a childhood dental health program in a community: Twenty-year observational data]. Japanese Journal of Public Health. 57(8):624-632.
- 261. Yonemitsu M, Kawaguchi Y, Ohara S, Hirayama Y, Sasaki Y, H, a K, Ueno M, Takashima T, Okada S. 1992. Evaluation of school dental health activities in hiraizumi primary school, iwate prefecture. [Japanese]. Kokubyo Gakkai zasshi. (3):562-570.
- 262. Yonezu T, Ushida N, Yakushiji M. 2006. Longitudinal study of prolonged breast- or bottle-feeding on dental caries in Japanese children. Bull Tokyo Dent Coll. 47(4):157-160.
- 263. Yu Lin T, Smith MD. 1958. Diet and dental health in Newfoundland children. Can J Public Health. 49(12):516-519.

- 264. Zaki NA, Dowidar KM, Abdelaziz WE. 2015. Assessment of the healthy eating index-2005 as a predictor of early childhood caries. Int J Paediat Dent. 25(6):436-443.
- 265. Zero D, Fontana M, Lennon AM. 2001. Clinical applications and outcomes of using indicators of risk in caries management. J Dent Edu. 65(10):1126-1132.
- 266. Zhang R, Lin HC, Zhi QH, Yang JY, Tu JZ. 2007. [A study on oral health behavior and other related factors between children with high dmft and no caries. Chinese Journal of Stomatol. 42(5):298-299.
- 267. Zhang S, Liu J, Lo EC, Chu CH. 2013. Dental caries status of Dai preschool children in Yunnan province, China. BMC Oral Health. 13:68.
- 268. Zhang S, Liu J, Lo EC, Chu CH. 2014. Dental caries status of bulang preschool children in southwest China. BMC Oral Health. 14:16.
- 269. Zhang Y, Cheng R, Cheng M, Li Y. 2007. The prevalence of dental caries in primary dentition and the risk factors of 5-year-old children in northeast of China. [Chinese]. Shanghai J Stomatol. 16(6):570-573.
- 270. Zhang Y, Liu L, Cheng R, Lu Z. 2008. Difference between dental caries and oral health behavior of family in primary dentition. [Chinese]. West China J Stomatol. 26(1):67-69.
- 271. Zhou Y, Yang JY, Lo EC, Lin HC. 2012. The contribution of life course determinants to early childhood caries: A 2-year cohort study. Caries Res. 46(2):87-94.

#### Systematic reviews that did not answer questions being addressed in the review

- Chou R, Cantor A, Zakher B, Mitchell JP, Pappas M. 2013. Preventing dental caries in children <5 years: Systematic review updating USPSTF recommendation. Pediatrics. 132(2):332-350.
- Cui LL, Li X, Tian YL, Bao JT, Wang L, Xu DM, Zhao B, Li WJ. 2016. Breastfeeding and early childhood caries in children: an update meta-analysis of observational studies. Asia Pac J Clin Nutr.Pre-publication article: 1-20.
- 3. Sinton J, Valaitis R, Passarelli C, Sheehan D, Hesch R. 1998. A systematic overview of the relationship between infant feeding caries and breast-feeding. Ont Dent. 75(9):23-27.
- Tham R, Bowatte G, Dharmage SC, Tan DJ, Lau MX, Dai X, Allen KJ, Lodge CJ. 2015. Breastfeeding and the risk of dental caries: A systematic review and metaanalysis. Acta Paediatr. 104(467):62-84.
- Yeung CA, Hitchings JL, Macfarlane TV, Threlfall AG, Tickle M, Glenny AM. 2005. Fluoridated milk for preventing dental caries. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews.

#### Outcomes did not meet the inclusion criteria

- 1. Anonymous. 1985. Leads from the mmwr. Dental caries and community water fluoridation trends-United States. JAMA. 253(10):1377, 1383.
- 2. Anonymous. 2000. Baby food linked to teeth damage, warns watchdog. Community Practitioner. 73(6):627-627.
- 3. Ast DB, Cons NC, Pollard ST, Garfinkel J. 1970. Time and cost factors to provide regular, periodic dental care for children in a fluoridated and nonfluoridated area: Final report. JADA. 80(4):770-776.

- 4. Beck AL, Patel A, Madsen K. 2013. Trends in sugar-sweetened beverage and 100% fruit juice consumption among California children. Academic Pediatrics. 13(4):364-370.
- 5. Chan SC, Tsai JS, King NM. 2002. Feeding and oral hygiene habits of preschool children in Hong Kong and their caregivers' dental knowledge and attitudes. Int J Paediat Dent. 12(5):322-331.
- Freudenthal JJ, Bowen DM. 2010. Motivational interviewing to decrease parental risk-related behaviors for early childhood caries. Journal of Dental Hygiene. 84(1):29-34.
- Gibbs L, Waters E, Christian B, Gold L, Young D, de Silva A, Calache H, Gussy M, Watt R, Riggs E et al. 2015. Teeth tales: A community-based child oral health promotion trial with migrant families in Australia. BMJ Open. 5(6):e007321.
- Habibian M, Roberts G, Lawson M, Stevenson R, Harris S. 2001. Dietary habits and dental health over the first 18 months of life. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 29(4):239-246.
- Preventing Early Childhood Obesity, Part 1: Family Spirit Nurture, 3-9 Months. 2017. Baltimore (USA): Clinicaltrials.gov; [accessed 2018 Sep 05]. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03101943
- 10. Hsieh HJ, Huang ST, Tsai CC, Hsiao SY. 2014. Toothbrushing habits and risk indicators of severe early childhood caries among Aboriginal Taiwanese. Asia-Pac J Public Health. 26(3):238-247.
- 11. Keith KD, Wentz FM, Wood RM. 1977. A practical, behavior-based oral hygiene program for elementary school children. JADA. 94(6):1183-1186.
- 12. Kramer MS, Vanilovich I, Matush L, Bogdanovich N, Zhang X, Shishko G, Muller-Bolla M, Platt RW. 2007. The effect of prolonged and exclusive breast-feeding on dental caries in rarly school-age children: New evidence from a large randomized trial. Caries Res. 41(6):484-488.
- 13. Leong PM, Gussy MG, Barrow SY, de Silva-Sanigorski A, Waters E. 2013. A systematic review of risk factors during first year of life for early childhood caries. International J Paediat Dent. 23(4):235-250.
- MacKeown JM, Faber M. 2002. Urbanisation and cariogenic food habits among 4-24month-old black South African children in rural and urban areas. Public Health Nutr. 5(6):719-726.
- Martignon S, Gonzalez MC, Santamaria RM, Jacome-Lievano S, Munoz Y, Moreno P. 2006. Oral-health workshop targeted at 0-5-yr. Old deprived children's parents and caregivers: Effect on knowledge and practices. Journal of Clinical Pediatric Dentistry. 31(2):104-108.
- 16. Naidu R, Nunn J, Irwin JD. 2015. The effect of motivational interviewing on oral healthcare knowledge, attitudes and behaviour of parents and caregivers of preschool children: An exploratory cluster randomised controlled study. BMC Oral Health. 15:101.
- 17. Nomura Y, Tsuge S, Hayashi M, Sasaki M, Yamauchi T, Ueda N, Hanada N. 2004. A survey on the risk factors for the prevalence of dental caries among preschool children in japan. Pediatric Dental Journal. 14(1):79-85.
- 18. Paunio P, Rautava P, Helenius H, Alanen P, Sillanpaa M. 1993. The Finnish family competence study: The relationship between caries, dental health habits and general

health in 3-year-old Finnish children. Caries Res. 27(2):154-160.

- Pereira MB, do Carmo Matias Freire M. 2004. An infant oral health programme in Goiania-Go, Brazil: Results after 3 years of establishment. Pesquisa Odontologica Brasileira [Brazilian Oral Research]. 18(1):12-17.
- 20. Persson LA, Holm AK, Arvidsson S, Samuelson G. 1985. Infant feeding and dental caries--a longitudinal study of Swedish children. Swed Dent J. 9(5):201-206.
- 21. Petersen PE, Ogawa H. 2016. Prevention of dental caries through the use of fluoride-the WHO approach. Community Dent Health. 33(2):66-68.
- 22. Rubinson L, Tappe M. 1987. An evaluation of a preschool dental health program. Journal of Dentistry for Children. 54(3):186-192.
- Santos AP, Soviero VM. 2002. Caries prevalence and risk factors among children aged 0 to 36 months. Pesquisa Odontologica Brasileira [Brazilian Oral Research]. 16(3):203-208.
- 24. Sarumathi T, Saravana Kumar B, Datta M, Hemalatha VT, Aarthi Nisha V. 2013. Prevalence, severity and associated factors of dental caries in 3-6 year old children. Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research. 7(8):1789-1792.
- 25. Scheiwe A, Hardy R, Watt RG. 2010. Four-year follow-up of a randomized controlled trial of a social support intervention on infant feeding practices. Maternal and Child Nutrition. 6(4):328-337.
- 26. Stecksen-Blicks C, Borssen E. 1999. Dental caries, sugar-eating habits and toothbrushing in groups of 4-year-old children 1967-1997 in the city of Umea, Wweden. Caries Res. 33(6):409-414.
- Vichayanrat T, Steckler A, Tanasugarn C, Lexomboon D. 2012. The evaluation of a multi-level oral health intervention to improve oral health practices among caregivers of preschool children. Southeast Asian Journal of Tropical Medicine & Public Health. 43(2):526-539.
- 28. Wagner Y, Heinrich-Weltzien R. 2016. Evaluation of an interdisciplinary preventive programme for early childhood caries: Findings of a regional german birth cohort study. Clin Oral Investig. 20(8):1943-1952.
- 29. Whelton H, O'Mullane D. 2012. Monitoring the effectiveness of water fluoridation in the Republic of Ireland. Journal of the Irish Dental Association. 58(3):S6-8.
- Wyne AH, Adenubi JO, Shalan T, Khan N. 1995. Feeding and socioeconomic characteristics of nursing caries children in a Saudi population. Pediatric Dentistry. 17(7):451-454.
- Wyne AH, Khan N. 1995. Use of sweet snacks, soft drinks and fruit juices, tooth brushing and first dental visit in high dmft 4-6 year olds of Riyadh region. Indian J Dent Res. 6(1):21-24.

Appendix Table 2. Total number and type of studies by review question			
Review question	Study type	Number of studies identified	
01 Deer hussettee line herrend one voor instante	A 11	28	
Q1. Does breastfeeding beyond one year increase	All		
the risk of early childhood caries compared with	Cohort	1	
breastfeeding until less than one year of age?	Cross sectional	27	
Q2. Does breastfeeding beyond one year increase	All	0	
the risk of early childhood caries compared with			
cows (or similar) milk consumption as main milk			
source from one year of age?			
Q3. Does breastfeeding beyond two years increase	All	8	
the risk of early childhood caries compared with	Cohort	2	
breastfeeding until less than two years of age?	Case control	1	
	Cross sectional	5	
Q4. Does breastfeeding beyond two years increase	All	0	
the risk of early childhood caries compared with			
cows (or similar) milk consumption as main milk			
source from two years of age?			
Q5. Does consumption of liquids that contain free	All	31	
sugars from an infant feeding bottle, increase the	Cohort	4	
risk of early childhood caries?	Case control	2	
	Cross sectional	25	
Q6. Does consumption of complementary drinks	All	8	
that contain free sugars increase the risk of early	Cohort	5	
childhood caries?	Cross sectional	3	
Q7. Does consumption of complementary foods to	All	1	
which free sugars have been added increase the risk	Cohort	1	
of early childhood caries?	000000	-	
Q8. Does oral hygiene provided by a parent/carer	All	21	
reduce the risk of early childhood caries?	Cohort	2	
	Case control	1	
	Cross sectional	17	
	Quasi experimental	1	
Q9. Is oral health education for care givers'	All	14	
effective for preventing early childhood caries?	RCTs	6	
enteenve for preventing early enhanced earles.	Cohort	2	
	Quasi-experimental	6	
Q10. Does an optimum concentration of fluoride in	All	32	
water reduce the risk of early childhood caries?		13	
water reduce the fisk of early childhood carles:	Cohort		
	Cross sectional	15	
	Ecological	4	
Q11. Does consumption of fluoridated milk reduce	All	3	
the risk of early childhood caries?	Quasi-experimental	1	
	Cross sectional	2	
Q 12. Does salt fluoridation reduce the risk of early	All	4	
childhood caries?	RCTs	1	
	Cohort	1	
	Quasi-experimental	2	

#### Appendix Table 2. Total number and type of studies by review question

## Appendix Table 3. Details of data extraction for the top level of evidence pertaining to each review question

## Research question 1: Does breastfeeding beyond one year increase the risk of early childhood caries compared with breastfeeding until less than one year of age?

Citation	Peres K G et al (2017) Imp	al. (2017). Impact of prolonged breastfeeding on dental caries: A		
Citation	population-based birth cohort study. <u>Pediatrics</u> , <b>140</b> ,(1):e20162943			
Study design (including statistical analysis):	Prospective Cohort (marginal structural modelling)			
Aims/objectives:	RQ – is there a controlled direct effect of prolonged breastfeeding on dental caries at age 5 years?			
Participants	Total sample size at baseline:	1303		
	Country:	Brazil		
	Region (urban (city)/rural):	Not stated		
	Ethnicity:	Not stated (native)		
	Socioeconomic status:	Not stated		
	Gender:	Mixed		
	Age (including adults/children):	5 years (final data collection)		
	Health background/status:	Not stated		
	Any information on confounders (e.g. water, milk or salt fluoridation, sugars intake from diet, feeding practices (e.g. breastfeeding, bottle feeding – duration, frequency) and oral hygiene behaviour):	Models (table 2) adjusted for family income, mate schooling, maternal age, sugar consumption, and feeding at 5 years g,		
Intervention	Comparison/exposure (including n, age and gender (if different from above) for	Exposure: Breast feeding 13-23 months	Comparator: Breast feeding up to 12 months	
	each group for the analysis/es used):	N= 129	N= 741	
	Other relevant baseline statistics for each group (for the analysis/es used):	-	-	
	Duration:	Participants were followed from birth; breastfeeding data were collected at birth and when participants were 3, 12 and 24 months. Outcome data were collected when the children were aged 5 years.		
	Oral outcomes measured:	WHO criteria – dmfs S-ECC = dmfs $\geq 6$		
	Scale/measure:			
	Means and SD or events for	Mean dmfs (95% CI)	Mean dmfs (95% CI)	

each group at post-treatment	amongst children breastfed	amongst children
or follow-up	13-23 months: 3.1 (2.2 –	breastfed 0-12 months:
*	4.0)	3.4 (2.9-3.9)
	1.0	
Other relevant statistical	Table 1 data (crude, not	Table 1 data (crude, not
results	adjusted):	adjusted):
	Crude rate ratio for dmfs	Crude rate ratio for dmfs
	(95% CI) amongst children	amongst children
	breastfed 13-23 months: $0.9$	breastfed 0-12 months
	(0.6-1.3)	(ref): 1.0
	S-ECC for dmfs amongst	S-ECC for dmfs amongst
	children breastfed 13-23	children breastfed 0-12
	months: 20.1 (13.1-27.2)	months: 19.8 (16.9-22.7)
	Crude risk ratio for dmfs	Crude risk ratio for dmfs
	(95% CI) amongst children	amongst children
	breastfed 13-23 months: 1.0	breastfed 0-12 months:
	(0.6-1.6)	1.0 (ref)
	Table 2 data (adjusted):	
	Dental caries amongst	
	children who were breastfed	
	for 13-23 months compared	
	to up to 12 months	
	*	
	MSM: Mean ratio (95% CI)	Ref for both $= 1.0$
	=	
	0.9 (0.6 to 1.3)	
	Severe Dental Caries	
	MSM: Relative risk (95%	
	CI) = 1.0 (0.6 to 1.6)	
	1.0 (0.6 to 1.6)	

# Research question 3: Does breastfeeding beyond two years increase the risk of early childhood caries compared with breastfeeding until less than two years of age?

Citation	Chaffee, Benjamin W., Carlos Alberto Feldens, and Márcia Regina Vítolo. "Association of long-duration breastfeeding and dental caries estimated with marginal structural models." Annals of Epidemiology 24.6 (2014): 448-454.
Study design	Prospective cohort
(including statistical	
analysis):	
Aims/objectives:	Estimate the association between breastfeeding ≥24 months and severe early childhood
	caries.

Participants	Total sample size at baseline:	715		
•	Country:	Brazil		
	Region (urban (city)/rural):	Porto Alegre		
	Ethnicity:	395 (55.2%) of participants self-identified as maternal		
		white race		
	Socioeconomic status:	Data were from low income families		
	Gender:	Male and female		
	Age (including adults/children):	38 months		
	Health background/status:	Not specified		
	Any information on confounders (e.g. water, milk or salt fluoridation, sugars intake from diet, feeding practices (e.g. breastfeeding, bottle feeding – duration, frequency) and oral hygiene behaviour):	Not specified         Data were from low income families (household income ≤1500 Brazilian Reais monthly; approximately 900 US dollars in 2008)         Participants were from the same city (Porto Alegre), presumably with similar exposure to water fluoride         Analysis adjusted for: Clinic allocation (intervention); Maternal age (years); Maternal education (≤8 years); Maternal smoking (current); Parity (has previous child); Social class (C or lower); Pre-pregnancy BMI; Child age at dental assessment (years); Child sex (male). Length-for-age Zscore at 11–15 months (per SD) ; First-year feeding index (per unit); Daily bottles at 5–9 months (1–3; Daily bottles at 5–9 months (≥ 4); Added sugar in bottle at 5–9 months; Ever formula fed; Frequency of fruits at 11–15 months; Frequency of vegetables at 11–1 months; Frequency of beans at 11–15 months; Frequency of organ meat at 11 15 months.		
Intervention	Comparison/exposure (including n, age and gender	Exposure:	Comparator:	
	(if different from above) for each group for the analysis/es used):	Breastfeeding ≥24 months	Breastfeeding <6 months (reference) Breastfeeding 6-11 months Breastfeeding 12-23 months	
	Other relevant baseline statistics for each group (for the analysis/es used):			
	Duration:	Outcome data were collected when participants were aged 38 months		
	Oral outcomes measured:	Population-average severe-ECC prevalence		
	Scale/measure:	severe-ECC was defined as $\geq$ 4 affected tooth surfaces or $\geq$ 1 affected maxillary anterior teeth		
	Means and SD or events for			
	each group at post-treatment			

or follow-up		
Other relevant statistical results	Breastfeeding $\geq$ 24 months was adjusted population-average se 95% CI: 0.36, 0.54) compared months (0.22, 95% CI: 0.15, 0 95% CI: 0.25, 0.53), or 12–23 0.20, 0.56).	evere-ECC prevalence (0.45, with breastfeeding <6 .28), 6–11 months (0.38,

Citation		s, K. G., et al. (2017). Impact of prolonged breastfeeding on dental caries: A		
	population-based birth cohort study. Pediatrics, 140 (1):e20162943.			
Study design (including statistical analysis):	Prospective Cohort (marginal structural modelling).			
Aims/objectives:	Research question – is there a controlled direct effect of prolonged breastfeeding on dental caries at age 5 years?			
Participants	Total sample size at baseline:	1303		
	Country:	Brazil		
	Region (urban (city)/rural):	Not stated		
	Ethnicity:	Not stated (native)		
	Socioeconomic status:	Not stated		
	Gender:	Mixed		
	Age (including adults/children):	5 years (final data collection)		
	Health background/status:	Not stated		
	Any information on	Models (table 2) adjusted for family income, maternal		
	confounders (e.g. water, milk	feeding at 5 years		
	or salt fluoridation, sugars			
	intake from diet, feeding			
	practices (e.g. breastfeeding,			
	bottle feeding – duration,			
	frequency) and oral hygiene behaviour):			
Intervention	Comparison/exposure (including n, age and gender (if different from above) for	Exposure: Breast feeding ≥24 months	Comparator: Breast feeding <24 months	
	each group for the analysis/es used):	N= 258	N= 870	
	Other relevant baseline	-	-	
	statistics for each group (for			
	the analysis/es used):			
	Duration:	Participants were followed from birth; breastfeeding data were collected at birth and when participants were 3, 12 and 24 months. Outcome data were collected when the children were aged 5 years.		
	Oral outcomes measured:	WHO criteria – dmfs S-ECC = dmfs $\geq 6$		
	Scale/measure:			

Means and SD or events for each group at post-treatment or follow-up	

## Research question 5: Does consumption of liquids that contain free sugars from an infant feeding bottle, increase the risk of early childhood caries?

Citation	Feldens et al. (2010). Early Feeding Practices and Severe Early Childhood Caries in Four- Year-Old Children from Southern Brazil: A Birth Cohort Study. Caries Res. 44(5),445-52		
Study design (including statistical analysis):	Prospective cohort study (univariable poisson regression, multivariable modelling)		
Aims/objectives:	To investigate the relationship between feeding practices in the first year of life and the occurrence of severe early childhood caries (S-ECC) at 4 years of age.		
Participants	Total sample size at baseline:	500	
*	Country:	Brazil	
	Region (urban (city)/rural):	São Leopoldo	
	Ethnicity:	-	
	Socioeconomic status:	71.2% of the mothers having	$\leq 8$ years of schooling, and
		the family income was low for	
		82% had an income per capit	a below 1 national monthly
m		minimum wage (R\$ 180.00;	approximately USD 80.00)
	Gender:Both male and femaleAge (including48–50 months: N=171		
	adults/children):	hildren): 51–53 months: N=169	
		48 to 53 months (mean = 50.	
	Health background/status:		
		apparently normal, single, fu	· · ·
		with normal birth weight ( $\leq 2$	
		part in the study. The exclusion	•
		to breastfeeding (HIV/AIDS)	or congenital mailormation
	Any information on	Relative risk estimate of the	exposure of interest was
	confounders (e.g. water, milk	adjusted for other variables in	-
	or salt fluoridation, sugars	(maternal schooling, daily br	eastfeeding frequency at 12
	intake from diet, feeding		eks at 12 months, high density
	practices (e.g. breastfeeding,	of sugar at 12 months, teeth a	,
	bottle feeding – duration,	of the water supply in the are	a was 0.7 ppm.
	frequency) and oral hygiene		
	behaviour):		
	Comparison/exposure	Exposure: bottle use for	Comparison: bottle not
	(including n, age and gender (if different from above) for	fruit juices / soft drinks at	used for fruit juices / soft
	(if different from above) for	12 months (n children assessed for caries at 4	drinks at 12 months (n
	each group for the analysis/es	assessed for carles at 4	children assessed for caries

used):	years= 129)	at 4 years= 205)
Other relevant baseline	-	-
statistics for each group (for	or	
the analysis/es used):		
Duration:	Exposure data were col	llected from mothers when the
	children were aged 12	months; clinical examinations took
	place at 4 years of age.	
Oral outcomes measured:	severe early childhood	caries (S-ECC) incidence
Scale/measure:	Defined as $\geq 1$ cavitated	l, missing or filled smooth surfaces
	in primary maxillary ar	nterior teeth or $d \ 1 + mfs \ge 5$
Means and SD or events for	r Univariable regression	n:
each group at post-treatment		
or follow-up		g children aged 4 years
Other relevant statistical		es/soft drinks at 12 months:
results	Yes: 57; 44.2%	
	No: 67; 32.7%	
	RR (95% CI)	
		es/soft drinks at 12 months
	(P=0.032):	
	Yes: 1.35 (1.03–1.78)	
	No: 1	
	Multivariable regress	ion:
	<b>RR (95% CI)</b>	
	-	es/soft drinks at 12 months
	(P=0.025):	
	Yes: 1.41 (1.08–1.86)	
	No: 1	

Citation	Tanaka et al. (2013). Infant feeding practices and risk of dental caries in Japan: The Osaka Maternal and Health Study. Pediatric Dentistry, 35(3), 267-71.	
Study design (including statistical analysis):	Prospective cohort (multiple logistic regression)	
Aims/objectives:	To investigate the relationship between feeding practice and the risk of ECC	
Participants	Total sample size at baseline:	1,002 children
_	Country:	Japan
	Region (urban (city)/rural):	Osaka
	Ethnicity:	-
	Socioeconomic status:	-
	Gender:	Both male and female
	Age (including	41-50 months old
	adults/children):	
	Health background/status:	-
	Any information on	The association between the exposure and outcome of
	confounders (e.g. water, milk	interest was presented as crude ORs and ORs adjusted for

	or salt fluoridation, sugars intake from diet, feeding practices (e.g. breastfeeding, bottle feeding – duration, frequency) and oral hygiene behaviour):	age of introduction of foods, survey, maternal smoking du income, paternal and materna sex, birth weight, age at first brushing frequency at fourth fluoride.	ring pregnancy, family al education levels, child's tooth eruption, tooth- and fifth surveys, use of
Intervention	Comparison/exposure (including n, age and gender (if different from above) for each group for the analysis/es used): Other relevant baseline statistics for each group (for the analysis/es used): Duration:	Bottle use for sweetened liquids other than milk Sometimes or usually (n = 148) - Study duration: November 20 Information about the variab potentially confounding facto pregnancy, 2-9, 16-24, 29-39 Outcome data were collected	les under study and ors were collected at: and 41-49 months old;
	Oral outcomes measured: Scale/measure:	ECC Presence of one or more cari	es teeth (decayed or filled)
	Means and SD or events for each group at post-treatment or follow-up	Odds ratio for early childhoouse for sweetened liquids othNever:N: 167Prevalence (%): 19Crude OR ratio (95% CI): 1.0Adjusted OR (95% CI): 1.00Sometimes or usually:N: 148Prevalence (%): 28Crude OR ratio (95% CI): 1.1Adjusted OR (95% CI): 2.47Odds ratio for moderate and according to bottle use for sw milk:Never:Adjusted OR for moderate E1.00Adjusted OR for severe ECC1.00Sometimes or usually:Adjusted OR for moderate E	er than milk: 00 67 (0.99-2.84) (1.23-5.05) <u>severe</u> early childhood caries veetened liquids other than CC vs caries free (95% CI): <sup>c</sup> vs caries free (95% CI):

	Adjusted OR for severe ECC vs caries free (95% CI): 2.58 (0.74-9.57)
	The time point during the study when exposure data were collected was not specified
ther relevant statistical	Bottle use for sweetened liquids other than milk (n; %): Never: 167; 53%
	Sometimes or usually : 148; 47%

Citation	Wendt et al. (2009). Analysis of caries-related factors in infants and toddlers living in Sweden. Acta Odontol Scand. 54(2)131-7.		
Study design (including statistical analysis):	Prospective cohort (logistic regression analysis, chi-square test and Fisher's exact test w).		
Aims/objectives:	Oral hygiene and dietary factor containing liquid in a feeding b	is (in percentage) at 2 years of ago bottle at 1 year of age $(n = 48)$	e in children who got sugar-
Participants	Total sample size at baseline:	671	
	Country:	Sweden	
	Region (urban (city)/rural):	community of Jonkoping	
	Ethnicity:	-	
	Socioeconomic status:	-	
	Gender:	Both male and female	
	Age (including	One year old at baseline, re-examinations were undertaken	
	adults/children):	when the children were 2 and 3 years of age	
	Health background/status:	-	
	Any information on confounders (e.g. water, milk or salt fluoridation, sugars intake from diet, feeding practices (e.g. breastfeeding, bottle feeding – duration, frequency) and oral hygiene behaviour):	Children with carious lesions	
Intervention	Comparison/exposure (including n, age and gender (if different from above) for each group for the analysis/es used):	Exposures: Consumption of sugar sweetened liquid from an infant feeding bottle at 1 and 2 years of age.	Comparator Consumption of milk or water from an infant feeding bottle at 1 and 2 years of age.
	Other relevant baseline statistics for each group (for the analysis/es used): Duration:	- Between 1988 and 1990 clinic	
		at 1, 2 and 3 years of age; data were collected at 1 and 2 years	*

(	Oral outcomes measured:	Caries incidence
S	Scale/measure:	Percentage
e	Means and SD or events for each group at post-treatment or follow-up	Oral hygiene and dietary factors (in percentage) at 2 years of age in children who got sugar-containing liquid in a feeding bottle at 1 year of age ( $n = 51$ ):
	Other relevant statistical results	children caries-free at 3 years of age $(n = 28)$ : 32% children with caries at 3 years of age $(n = 23)$ : 44% NS, p> 0.05
		Lack of data on the comparator (i.e. the N / proportion of children with caries and caries free at 3 years of age who got milk or water.
		Oral hygiene and dietary factors (in percentage) at 2 years of age in children who got sugar-containing liquid when thirsty at 1 year of age ( $n = 48$ ):

Citation	Wendt et al. (2009). Dietary hal	bits related to caries development and Immigrant status in	
	infants and toddlers living in Sweden. Acta Odont Scand, 53(6), 339-344.		
Study design	Prospective cohort (chi-square test and Fisher's exact test w)		
(including statistical analysis):			
Aims/objectives:	To describe the dietary habits of infants and toddlers living% Sweden with special reference to caries prevalence at 2 and 3 years of age and to immigrant status.		
Participants	Total sample size at baseline:	Children invited into the study, $n=671$	
	Country:	Sweden	
	Region (urban (city)/rural):	community of Jonkoping; the areas included town, suburb, and countryside	
	Ethnicity:	Caries-free at one year children with at least one parent born in Sweden (n = 532) Caries free at one year children with both parents born outside Sweden (n=61) Nineteen percent of the children were immigrants	
	Socioeconomic status:	The areas were chosen to reflect the socioeconomic levels of the population living in the community of Jonkoping.	
	Gender:	Both male and female	
	Age (including adults/children):	One year old	
	Health background/status:	-	
	Any information on confounders (e.g. water, milkThe results were stratified according to whether c were immigrants or not		

	or salt fluoridation, sugars intake from diet, feeding practices (e.g. breastfeeding, bottle feeding – duration, frequency) and oral hygiene behaviour):		
Intervention	Comparison/exposure (including n, age and gender (if different from above) for each group for the analysis/es used):	Exposure: daily intake of a feeding bottle with sugar-containing liquid	Comparator no daily intake of a feeding bottle with sugar-containing liquid
	Other relevant baseline statistics for each group (for the analysis/es used):	-	-
	Duration:	Between 1988 and 1990 Children were recruited into the took place at the ages of 2 and	
	Oral outcomes measured:	Caries incidence	
	Scale/measure:	No scale was defined.	
	Means and SD or events for each group at post-treatment or follow-up	Sugar-containing liquid in fe (%):	eeding bottle in 8 groups
	Other relevant statistical results	Non-Caries I- Children caries (n=434): 13% Caries I- Children caries-free at three years (n=159): 22% P-value <0.01	·
		Non-Caries II- Children carie (n=276): 16% Caries II- Children caries-free at two years (n=22): 50% P-value <0.001	·
		Non-Caries III- Children car years (n=210): 6% Caries III- Children caries-fr with caries at three years (n=6 P>0.05	ee at one and two year but
		Non-immigrant children- Cl parent born in Sweden (n=532 Immigrant children- Children outside Sweden (n=61): 31% P-value <0.001	2): 14%

## Research question 6: Does consumption of complementary drinks that contain free sugars increase the risk of early childhood caries?

Citation	Wendt et al. (2009). Analysis of caries-related factors in infants and toddlers living in Sweden. Acta Odontol Scand.54 (2)131-7.		
Study design (including statistical analysis):	Prospective cohort (logistic regression analysis, chi-square test and Fisher's exact test w)		
Aims/objectives:	Oral hygiene and dietary factors (in percentage) at 2 years of age in children who got sugar containing liquid in a feeding bottle at 1 year of age ( $n = 48$ )		age in children who got sugar-
Participants	Total sample size at baseline:	671 Sweden	
	Country: Region (urban (city)/rural): Ethnicity:	community of Jonkoping	
	Socioeconomic status:	- -	
	Gender: Age (including adults/children):	Both male and femaleOne year old at baseline, re-ewhen the children were 2 anddata were collected at 1 and 2	
	Health background/status:	_	
	Any information on confounders (e.g. water, milk or salt fluoridation, sugars intake from diet, feeding practices (e.g. breastfeeding, bottle feeding – duration, frequency) and oral hygiene behaviour):	Children with carious lesions	s at baseline were excluded
Intervention	Comparison/exposure (including n, age and gender (if different from above) for each group for the analysis/es used):	Exposures: Consumption of sugar sweetened liquid when thirsty at 1 and 2 years of age	Comparator Consumption of milk or water when thirsty at 1 and 2 years of age
	Other relevant baseline statistics for each group (for the analysis/es used):	-	-
	Duration:	between 1988 and 1990 clinical examinations took place at 1, 2 and 3 years of ag data on independent variables were collected at 1 and 2 years of age	
	Oral outcomes measured:	Caries incidence	
	Scale/measure:	Percentage	
	Means and SD or events for each group at post-treatment or follow-up	who got sugar-containing liq age $(n = 48)$ :	e) at 2 years of age in children uid when thirsty at 1 year of en thirsty at 2 years of age:

Other results	relevant statistical	children caries-free at 3 years of age $(n = 23)$ : 52% children with caries at 3 years of age $(n = 25)$ : 60% NS, p> 0.05
		Lack of data on the comparator (i.e. the N / proportion) of children with caries and caries free at 3 years of age who got milk or water when thirsty at 2 years of age.

Citation	Warren et al. (2009). A Longitudinal Study of Dental Caries Risk among Very Young low SES children. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 37(2), 116–122.		6 . 6
Study design (including statistical analysis):	Cohort study (Logistic regression models for baseline predictors of d2-3f caries at the 18- month follow-up).		s of d2-3f caries at the 18-
Aims/objectives:	To assess the effect of Sugar-Sweetened Beverage Consumption for 18-month caries prevalence as part of a longitudinal study of high-risk children.		
Participants	Total sample size at baseline:	212	
	Country:	USA	
	Region (urban (city)/rural):	southeast Iowa community	
	Ethnicity:	Among those who remained in there were higher proportions children	
	Socioeconomic status:	low-income and minority family	ilies
	Gender:	Both male and female	
	Age (including adults/children):	6 to 24 months	
	Health background/status:	-	
	Any information on confounders (e.g. water, milk or salt fluoridation, sugars intake from diet, feeding practices (e.g. breastfeeding, bottle feeding – duration, frequency) and oral hygiene behaviour):	Logistic regression models we	re adjusted for age
Intervention	Comparison/exposure (including n, age and gender (if different from above) for each group for the analysis/es used):	Exposure of interest: sugar-Sweetened Beverage Consumption N=44	<b>Comparator: no Sugar- Sweetened Beverage Consumption</b> N=81
	Other relevant baseline	(Sugar Sweetened Beverages included regular soda pop, sugared beverages made from powder, sports drinks, juice drinks and other sugared beverages) Sugar-Sweetened Beverage	onsumption

statistics for each group (for the analysis/es used):	Yes: ID/ month=0.019 No: ID/ month=0.006 IDR=3.44 (P-value=0.001) OR (95%CI)=5.2 (2.0-13.3) *ID: Incidence dentistry *IDR: Incidence dentistry ratio - Incidence density of caries was estimated as the number of new caries developed during 18 months divided by the total person time at risk during the follow-up period
Duration:	Risk factor data were collected at 6, 12 and 18 months, dental examinations were undertaken at baseline and at 18 months
Oral outcomes measured:	Caries prevalence
Scale/measure:	No. w/frank decay (d2-3 or filled surfaces)
Means and SD or events for each group at post-treatment or follow-up	Sugar-Sweetened Beverage Consumption           Yes: n=25         No: n=103           OR (95%CI)=3.04 (1.07-8.64)         p-value=0.04
Other relevant statistical results	

Citation	Watanha at al (2014) The Infly	annos of Lifestula on the Incidence of Dental Comies	
Citation	Watanbe et al. (2014). The Influence of Lifestyle on the Incidence of Dental Caries		
	Among 3-Year-Old Japanese Children. Int J Environ Res Public Health, 11(12), 12611-22.		
Study design	Cohort (multivariate logistic reg	gression analysis)	
(including statistical analysis):			
Aims/objectives:	To examine how lifestyle, household environment, and caries activity test score of Japanese		
	children at age 1.5 years affected their dental caries incidence at age 3.		
Participants	Total sample size at baseline:	33, 655	
	Country:	Japan	
	Region (urban (city)/rural): Kobe City Public Health Centre		
	Ethnicity:	-	
	Socioeconomic status: -		
	Gender:	Both male and female	
	Age (including	1.5 years of age	
	adults/children):		
	Health background/status:	-	
	Any information on	The OR was adjusted for nationality, gender, birth order,	
	confounders (e.g. water, milk	and Cariostat score.	
	or salt fluoridation, sugars		
	intake from diet, feeding		
	practices (e.g. breastfeeding,		
	bottle feeding – duration,		
	frequency) and oral hygiene		
	behaviour):		

Intervention	Comparison/exposure (including n, age and gender (if different from above) for each group for the analysis/es used):	Exposure: Daily sugar-sweetened beverage consumption answer "Yes"	Comparator Daily sugar-sweetened beverage consumption answer "No"
	Other relevant baseline statistics for each group (for the analysis/es used):	Children were caries free at 1.5 years	
	Duration:	June 2006 and August 2009 Follow-up duration: 21 month	S
	Oral outcomes measured:	Incidence of dental caries in 3	-years old subjects
	Scale/measure:	No scale was defined	
	Means and SD or events for each group at post-treatment or follow-up Other relevant statistical results	Daily Sugar-Sweetened Beve           31,202) (n; %)           Yes: 2782 (20.4)           P-value= <0.001	erage Consumption All (n = 24 (13.2)
		Daily Sugar-Sweetened Beve = 16,052) (n; %) Yes: 1532 (21.5) No: 12 P-value= <0.001	erage Consumption Boy (n 54 (14.0)
		Daily Sugar-Sweetened Beve           = 15,150) (n; %)           Yes: 1259 (19.2)           P-value= <0.001	erage Consumption Girl (n 70 (12.5)
		Daily Sugar-Sweetened Beve           (95%CI)           Yes: 1.56 (1.46, 1.65)           No: 1           P-value= <0.001	C I
		<b>Daily Sugar-Sweetened Beve</b> <b>OR (95%CI)</b> <b>Yes:</b> 1.55 (1.42, 1.69) <b>No:</b> 1 P-value= <0.001	erage Consumption Boy
		Daily Sugar-Sweetened Beve           OR (95%CI)           Yes: 1.55 (1.41, 1.70)           No: 1           P-value= <0.001	erage Consumption Girl

Citation	Wigen and Wang (2014). Health behaviours and family characteristics in early childhood
	influence caries development. A longitudinal study based on data from MoBa. Norsk Epidemiologi, <b>24</b> (1-2), 91-95.
Study design	Cohort study (multivariable logistic regression).

(including statistical analysis):			
Aims/objectives:	To study how family characteristics and health behaviour in pregnancy and early childhood influence caries development in preschool children.		
Participants	Total sample size at baseline:	1607	
<b>F</b>	Country:	Norway	
	Region (urban (city)/rural):	Akershus	
	Ethnicity:	-	
	Socioeconomic status:		
	Gender:	Both male and female	
	Age (including adults/children):	1.5 years of age	
	Health background/status:	_	
	Any information on confounders (e.g. water, milk	Multivariable models included (see below) in addition to the	-
Intervention	<pre>or salt fluoridation, sugars intake from diet, feeding practices (e.g. breastfeeding, bottle feeding – duration, frequency) and oral hygiene behaviour):  Comparison/exposure (including n, age and gender (if different from above) for each group for the analysis/es used):  Other relevant baseline statistics for each group (for</pre>	<ul> <li>Tooth brushing frequent</li> <li>Sugary drink consump</li> <li>Maternal health and lift sugar, dietary fat, BMI</li> <li>Family characteristics parental origin (wester</li> </ul>	ncy tion level festyle variables (dietary
	the analysis/es used): Duration:	Exposure data at 1.5 years of age in relation to caries	
		experience at 5 years	
	Oral outcomes measured:	Caries prevalence	
	Scale/measure:	No scale was defined	
	Means and SD or events for each group at post-treatment or follow-up	Sugary drinks at night (OR, (95%CI)) Never (ref) Sometimes: 1.5 (0.8–2.8) Each night: 2.2 (1.1–4.5)	
	Other relevant statistical results	Sugary drinks less than once a Sugary drinks at least once a v	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Total sample size at baseline: Country: Region (urban (city)/rural): Ethnicity:	s and risk factors of prolonged b 105 Japan	preast feeding in children.
To investigate the characteristic Total sample size at baseline: Country: Region (urban (city)/rural): Ethnicity:	105	preast feeding in children.
Total sample size at baseline: Country: Region (urban (city)/rural): Ethnicity:	105	preast feeding in children.
Total sample size at baseline: Country: Region (urban (city)/rural): Ethnicity:	105	6
Country: Region (urban (city)/rural): Ethnicity:		
Region (urban (city)/rural): Ethnicity:	A	
Ethnicity:	-	
	-	
Socioeconomic status:	-	
Gender:	Both male and female	
Age (including adults/children):	18 months	
Health background/status:	-	
Any information on confounders (e.g. water, milk or salt fluoridation, sugars	Logistic regression analysis in variables:	cluded the following
intake from diet, feeding practices (e.g. breastfeeding, bottle feeding – duration,	<ul> <li>Bedtime breast-feeding</li> <li>Sweets intake</li> <li>Tooth brushing frequent</li> </ul>	-
frequency) and oral hygiene behaviour):	<ul><li>Oral hygiene at 18 months exam</li><li>Sweet beverage intake (exposure of interest)</li></ul>	
Comparison/exposure (including n, age and gender (if different from above) for each group for the analysis/es used):	Sweet beverages intake 3 times-/ week at 18 months	Sweet beverages intake - 2 times/ week at 18 months
Other relevant baseline statistics for each group (for the analysis/es used):	-	-
Duration:	Study duration: 2003-2005 Exposure data were collected a Follow up (caries experience e 24 months	
Oral outcomes measured:	Initial and manifest caries	
Scale/measure:		
Means and SD or events for each group at post-treatment or follow-up Other relevant statistical	<b>Results of logistic regression</b> <b>variables for caries (Experie</b> Sweet beverages intake (OR (! (not significant).	nce at 24 months of age):
	adults/children): Health background/status: Any information on confounders (e.g. water, milk or salt fluoridation, sugars intake from diet, feeding practices (e.g. breastfeeding, bottle feeding – duration, frequency) and oral hygiene behaviour): Comparison/exposure (including n, age and gender (if different from above) for each group for the analysis/es used): Other relevant baseline statistics for each group (for the analysis/es used): Duration: Oral outcomes measured: Scale/measure: Means and SD or events for each group at post-treatment or follow-up	adults/children):-Health background/status:-Any information on confounders (e.g. water, milk or salt fluoridation, sugars intake from diet, feeding practices (e.g. breastfeeding, bottle feeding – duration, frequency) and oral hygiene behaviour):-Bedtime breast-feeding, bottle feeding – duration, frequency) and oral hygiene behaviour):-Comparison/exposure (including n, age and gender (if different from above) for each group for the analysis/es used):Sweet beverage intake 3Other relevant baseline statistics for each group (for the analysis/es used):-Duration:Study duration: 2003-2005 Exposure data were collected Follow up (caries experience of 24 monthsOral outcomes measured:Initial and manifest cariesMeans and SD or events for each group at post-treatment or follow-upResults of logistic regression variables for caries (Experie Sweet beverages intake (OR (for tot significant).

# Research question 7: Does consumption of complementary foods to which free sugars have been added increase the risk of early childhood caries?

Citation	· · · ·	ding Practices and Severe Early	
Study design (including statistical analysis):	Year-Old Children from Southern Brazil: A Birth Cohort Study. Caries Res, 44(5),445-52         Prospective cohort study (Poisson regression models)		
Aims/objectives:	Investigate feeding practices in years.	the first year of life associated w	with S-ECC at the age of 4
Participants	Total sample size at baseline:	500 (Final = 340)	
1	Country:	Brazil	
	Region (urban (city)/rural):	Unclear	
	Ethnicity:	_	
	Socioeconomic status:	Low-income	
	Gender:	Mixed	
	Age (including adults/children):	4 years	
	Health background/status:	Apparently healthy at birth	
	Any information on	Adjusted models incorporated	(and therefore controlled
	confounders (e.g. water, milk or salt fluoridation, sugars	for the effects of).	
	intake from diet, feeding	Maternal schooling, daily breastfeeding frequency at 12	
	practices (e.g. breastfeeding,	months, daily meals and snacks at 12 months, bottle use	
	bottle feeding – duration,	for fruit juices / soft drinks at	12 months, number of teeth
	frequency) and oral hygiene behaviour):	at 12 months.	
Intervention	Comparison/exposure	No high density of sugar at	High density of sugar at 12
	(including n, age and gender	12 months (n=240)	months (n=91)
	(if different from above) for	Proportion of >50% simple	
	each group for the analysis/es	carbohydrates in 100g food	
	used):	(but proportion not reported)	
	Other relevant baseline	-	-
	statistics for each group (for		
	the analysis/es used):		
	Duration:	Feeding practices were assessed using standardized	
		methods at 6 and 12 months o	•
	Onel entremes messive di	childhood caries (S-ECC) was	s assessed at 4 years
	Oral outcomes measured:	S-ECC	
	Scale/measure:	≥1 cavitated, missing or filled maxillary anterior teeth or dm	
	Means and SD or events for	S-ECC prevalence	S-ECC prevalence
	each group at post-treatment or follow-up	N=78 (32.5%)	N=43 (47.3%)
	_	Univariate Poisson	Univariate Poisson
		regression analysis	regression analysis RR
		RR (95% CI)	(95% CI)
		1.0 (ref)	1.45 (1.10-1.93) p=0.010

	Adjusted multivariable	Adjusted multivariate
	model	model
	RR (95% CI)	RR (95% CI)
	1.00 (ref)	1.43 (1.08-1.89) p=0.003

# Research question 8: Does oral hygiene provided by a parent/carer reduce the risk of early childhood caries?

Citation		s for caries incidence in a cohor	t of Flemish preschool
	children. Clin Oral Invest. 16: 805-812.		
Study design	Prospective cohort (multivariab	ble logistic regression models).	
(including statistical			
analysis):			
Aims/objectives:	To identify the risk factors for the incidence of visible caries experience in a cohort of preschool children living in Flanders.		
Participants	Total sample size at baseline:	1, 057 children	
	Country:	Belgium	
	Region (urban (city)/rural):	Flanders	
	Ethnicity:	-	
	Socioeconomic status:	-	
	Gender:	Male and female	
	Age (including	3 & 5 years	
	adults/children):		
	Health background/status:	-	
	Any information on	The following variables were	included in multivariable
	confounders (e.g. water, milk	regression models: age, gender, ranking of the child,	
	or salt fluoridation, sugars	watching television at age 3 and 5, family smoking status	
	intake from diet, feeding	at birth, family smoking statu	
	practices (e.g. breastfeeding,	educational level of mother, p	-
	bottle feeding – duration,	at birth and at ages 3 and 5, in	<b>e</b>
	frequency) and oral hygiene	birth, interdental cleaning aid	5
	behaviour):	brushing at 3, help with brush	
		at age, plaque accumulation a	
		at birth, in between meals sug	
		between meals sugar containing	
		ages 3 and 5, snacks at night a	
		consumption at age 5, soda co	
		These variables were include	in multivariable regression
		models, for which data concer	
		between plaque and caries wa	6
Intervention	Comparison/exposure	Intervention:	Comparator
	(including n, age and gender		
	(if different from above) for	Indicators of oral hygiene	Indicators of poor oral
	each group for the analysis/es	provided by parent or	hygiene provided by
	used):	caregiver, measured in	parent or caregiver:
	useu).	relation to plaque* and	parent of caregiver.
		supervised daily tooth	
		supervised daily tooth	<u> </u>

No plaque accumulation at age 3 yearsPlaque accumulat age 3 yearsNo plaque accumulation at age 5 yearsPlaque accumulat age 5 yearsHelp with brushing at age 3 (daily)(<1/day)			hmiching	
No plaque accumulation at age 3 yearsage 3 yearsPlaque accumulation at age 5 yearsPlaque accumulation at age 5 yearsHelp with brushing at age 3 (daily)Help with brushing at age 3 (daily)Other relevant baseline statistics for each group (for the analysis/es used):-Duration:Children were recruited at birth; parents complete questionnaires which yielded data on sociodemog variables and on children's and parental oral heal behaviour at birth (2003-4) and when the childrer (2007) and 5 (2009). Clinical examinations took parental and 5 yearsOral outcomes measured:Caries increment between ages 3 and 5Scale/measure:Dental caries lesions at the d1 level (cavitated an cavitated)Means and SD or events for each group at post-treatment or follow-up-Other relevant statistical results-OR (95% CI) for the association between increment in caries experience between age 3 and 5 and caries experience at age 3: 2.79 (1.82-4.29) OR (95% CI) for the			brushing:	Plaque accumulation at
No plaque accumulation at age 5 years       Plaque accumulation at age 5 years         Help with brushing at age 3 (daily)       Help with brushing at age 3 (daily)         Other relevant baseline statistics for each group (for the analysis/es used):       -         Duration:       Children were recruited at birth; parents complete questionnaires which yielded data on sociodemog variables and on children's and parental oral heal behaviour at birth (2003-4) and when the children (2007) and 5 (2009). Clinical examinations took j and 5 years         Oral outcomes measured:       Caries increment between ages 3 and 5         Scale/measure:       Dental caries lesions at the d1 level (cavitated and cavitated)         Means and SD or events for each group at post-treatment or follow-up       -         Other relevant statistical results <b>*Multivariable models:</b> OR (95% CI) for the association between age 3 and 5 and 5 and caries experience at age 3: 2.79 (1.82-4.29)         OR (95% CI) for the				1
Other relevant baseline statistics for each group (for the analysis/es used):       -       -         Duration:       Children were recruited at birth; parents complete questionnaires which yielded data on sociodemog variables and on children's and parental oral heal behaviour at birth (2003-4) and when the children (2007) and 5 (2009). Clinical examinations took p and 5 years         Oral outcomes measured:       Caries increment between ages 3 and 5         Scale/measure:       Dental caries lesions at the d1 level (cavitated and cavitated)         Means and SD or events for each group at post-treatment or follow-up          Other relevant statistical results       *Multivariable models: OR (95% CI) for the association between increment in caries experience between age 3 and 5 and caries experience at age 3: 2.79 (1.82-4.29)         OR (95% CI) for the			No plaque accumulation at	Plaque accumulation at age 5 years
Other relevant baseline statistics for each group (for the analysis/es used):       -       -         Duration:       Children were recruited at birth; parents complete questionnaires which yielded data on sociodemog variables and on children's and parental oral heal behaviour at birth (2003-4) and when the children (2007) and 5 (2009). Clinical examinations took j and 5 years         Oral outcomes measured:       Caries increment between ages 3 and 5         Scale/measure:       Dental caries lesions at the d1 level (cavitated and cavitated)         Means and SD or events for each group at post-treatment or follow-up          Other relevant statistical results       *Multivariable models:         OR (95% CI) for the association between age 3 and 5 and caries experience at age 3: 2.79 (1.82-4.29)       OR (95% CI) for the			Help with brushing at age 3	Help with brushing at age 3 (<1/day)
Other relevant baseline statistics for each group (for the analysis/es used):       -         Duration:       Children were recruited at birth; parents complete questionnaires which yielded data on sociodemog variables and on children's and parental oral heal behaviour at birth (2003-4) and when the children (2007) and 5 (2009). Clinical examinations took p and 5 years         Oral outcomes measured:       Caries increment between ages 3 and 5         Scale/measure:       Dental caries lesions at the d1 level (cavitated and cavitated)         Means and SD or events for each group at post-treatment or follow-up       -         Other relevant statistical results       *Multivariable models: OR (95% CI) for the association between increment in caries experience between age 3 and 5 and caries experience at age 3: 2.79 (1.82-4.29) OR (95% CI) for the			Help with brushing at age 5	Help with brushing at age 5 (<1/day)
statistics for each group (for the analysis/es used):       Children were recruited at birth; parents complete questionnaires which yielded data on sociodemog variables and on children's and parental oral heal behaviour at birth (2003-4) and when the children (2007) and 5 (2009). Clinical examinations took p and 5 years         Oral outcomes measured:       Caries increment between ages 3 and 5         Scale/measure:       Dental caries lesions at the d1 level (cavitated and cavitated)         Means and SD or events for each group at post-treatment or follow-up          Other relevant statistical results       *Multivariable models: OR (95% CI) for the association between increment in caries experience batween age 3 and 5 and caries experience at age 3: 2.79 (1.82-4.29)         OR (95% CI) for the			(dally day)	
Duration:       Children were recruited at birth; parents complete questionnaires which yielded data on sociodemog variables and on children's and parental oral heal behaviour at birth (2003-4) and when the children (2007) and 5 (2009). Clinical examinations took j and 5 years         Oral outcomes measured:       Caries increment between ages 3 and 5         Scale/measure:       Dental caries lesions at the d1 level (cavitated and cavitated)         Means and SD or events for each group at post-treatment or follow-up       -         Other relevant statistical results       *Multivariable models:         OR (95% CI) for the association between increment in caries experience between age 3 and 5 and 5 and caries experience at age 3: 2.79 (1.82-4.29)         OR (95% CI) for the       and 5 and caries experience	s	statistics for each group (for	-	-
Oral outcomes measured:       Caries increment between ages 3 and 5         Scale/measure:       Dental caries lesions at the d1 level (cavitated and cavitated)         Means and SD or events for each group at post-treatment or follow-up       -         Other relevant statistical results       -         OR (95% CI) for the association between increment in caries experience between age 3 and 5 and caries experience at age 3: 2.79 (1.82-4.29)         OR (95% CI) for the			questionnaires which yielded variables and on children's an behaviour at birth (2003-4) an (2007) and 5 (2009). Clinical	data on sociodemographic d parental oral health d when the children were 3
Scale/measure:       Dental caries lesions at the d1 level (cavitated and cavitated)         Means and SD or events for each group at post-treatment or follow-up          Other relevant statistical results       *Multivariable models:         OR (95% CI) for the association between increment in caries experience between age 3 and 5 and caries experience at age 3: 2.79 (1.82-4.29)         OR (95% CI) for the	(	Oral outcomes measured:		s 3 and 5
Means and SD or events for each group at post-treatment or follow-up        -         Other relevant statistical results       *Multivariable models:       -         OR (95% CI) for the association between increment in caries experience between age 3 and 5 and caries experience at age 3: 2.79 (1.82-4.29)       OR (95% CI) for the				
each group at post-treatment or follow-up       *Multivariable models:         Other relevant statistical results       *Multivariable models:         OR (95% CI) for the association between increment in caries experience between age 3 and 5 and caries experience at age 3: 2.79 (1.82-4.29)         OR (95% CI) for the	S	Scale/measure:		level (cavitated and non-
results OR (95% CI) for the association between increment in caries experience between age 3 and 5 and caries experience at age 3: 2.79 (1.82-4.29) OR (95% CI) for the	e	each group at post-treatment		-
OR (95% CI) for the association between increment in caries experience between age 3 and 5 and caries experience at age 3: 2.79 (1.82-4.29) OR (95% CI) for the			<u>*Multivariable models:</u>	
OR (95% CI) for the			association between increment in caries experience between age 3 and 5 and caries experience	
			OR (95% CI) for the	
increment in caries experience between age 3 and 5 and plaque accumulation at age 5: 2.20			increment in caries experience between age 3 and 5 and plaque accumulation at age 5: 2.20	
(1.50-3.23) Data were available concerning the association between daily help with			Data were available concerning the association	

tooth brushing >1/day versus <1 / day at age 3 and 5 and caries at 3 and 5 OR (95% CI), however, these data were from univariable
models.

Citation	Okuno, M. (1994). A Cohort Study on Dental Caries in Infants. Nihon Koshu Eisei Zasshi.		
Study design	41(7), 625-8.Cohort Study (Chi-square, Logistic regression analysis)		
(including statistical analysis):			
Aims/objectives:	To determine what techniques a	re effective in dental caries prev	vention in infants.
Participants	Total sample size at baseline:	878 (18 months children with	out dental caries)
	Country:	Japan	
	Region (urban (city)/rural):	Gifu city, Gifu Prefecture	
	Ethnicity:	-	
	Socioeconomic status:	-	
	Gender:	N/A (The author described that difference by gender with regative caries and other indicators. The analysis was conducted by con- information.)	ards to prevalence of dental erefore, all statistical
	Age (including	Baseline 18 months children	
	adults/children):	Follow up 3 yrs children	
	Health background/status:	-	
	Any information on confounders (e.g. water, milk or salt fluoridation, sugars intake from diet, feeding practices (e.g. breastfeeding, bottle feeding – duration, frequency) and oral hygiene behaviour):	The baseline information on; oral hygiene situation includin brushing habit, and snack inta	
Intervention	Comparison/exposure (including n, age and gender (if different from above) for each group for the analysis/es used):	<ul> <li>Baseline information at 18 months – <ol> <li>Plaque score b) low</li> <li>Toothbrushing behaviour by a) brushing teeth more than one time by parents or b) not brushing teeth by parents</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Baseline information at 18 months –</li> <li>1) Plaque score high</li> <li>2) Toothbrushing behaviour not brushing teeth by parents</li> </ul>
	Other relevant baseline statistics for each group (for the analysis/es used):	-	-

Duration:	Dental caries prevalence was a to oral health behaviour measu months)	
Oral outcomes measured:	Prevalence of dental caries at 3	3 yrs
Scale/measure:	Percent	
Means and SD or events for each group at post-treatment or follow-up	<ul> <li>Results from Chi-square test</li> <li>1) Plaque score at 18 months (baseline) a) low or b) high</li> <li>2) Toothbrushing behaviour at 18 months a) brushing teeth more than one time per day by parents or b) not brushing teeth by parents.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Dental Caries Prevalence at 3 yrs among a) 31.5% (n = 192) b)</li> <li>43.3% (n = 116) (p = 0.001)</li> <li>Dental Caries Prevalence at 3 yrs among a) 30.9% (n = 121) b)</li> <li>38.5% (n = 187) (p = 0.019)</li> </ol>
Other relevant statistical results	Results from Logistic regression analysis (a is reference)1) Plaque score at 18 months (baseline) a) low or b) high2) Toothbrushing behaviour at 18 months a) brushing teeth more than one time by parents or b) not brushing teeth by parents.	X <sup>2</sup> score (P-value) 1) 7.9763 (0.0047) 2) 1.8712 (0.1713)

# Research question 9. Is oral health education for care givers' effective for preventing early childhood caries?

Citation	Feldens, C., Vítolo, M., & Drachler, M. (2007). A randomized trial of the effectiveness of home visits in preventing early childhood caries. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol, 35(3), 215-223.
Study design	RCT. Mann-Whitney U test and Logistic regression.

(including statistical analysis):			
Aims/objectives:		ne visits for advising mothers ab ries (ECC) at the age of 12 mont	e
Participants	Total sample size at baseline:	500 (intervention group: 200 a	
	Country:	Brazil	
	Region (urban (city)/rural):	The city of San Leopoldo	
	Ethnicity:	-	
	Socioeconomic status:	Mother-child pairs were recru hospital that mainly serves the The income was low for most (17/159) of the families of the 11.1% (25/225) of the controls below one minimum wage of income was between 1 and 3 minimum wa 159) of the intervention group of the controls, and it was mon wages only for 25.8% (41/159 and 30.2% (68/225) of the corr proportions between the two g	e low-income population of the families, with 10.7% intervention group and s living with an income the national salary; the ages for 63.5% (101/ o and 58.7% (132/225) re than three minimum 0) of the intervention group introls. (v2 for differences in
	Gender:	Both male and female	
	Age (including	Intervention delivered when b	abies were 10 days, 1-6
	adults/children):	months, 8, 10 and 12 months;	
	<b>XX</b> 1.1 1 1 1/	groups at 12-14 months	
	Health background/status:	Apparently normal, single, ful weight equal to or greater than have an impediment to breastf	n 2500g and who did not
	Any information on confounders (e.g. water, milk or salt fluoridation, sugars intake from diet, feeding practices (e.g. breastfeeding, bottle feeding – duration, frequency) and oral hygiene behaviour):	There were no evidence of im intervention and control group family income, maternal educa birth. No significant difference groups in relation to other soc Adjustment for: the confoundi	balance between the os in the distribution of ation and age at the child's es were reported between ioeconomic variables.
Intervention	Comparison/exposure (including n, age and gender (if different from above) for each group for the analysis/es used):	Intervention: received home visits for advising mothers about breastfeeding and weaning at 10 days, monthly up to 6 months, and at 8, 10 and 12 months following their child's birth Almost all households in the city have access to public water supply with fluoride level of 0.7ppm	Control: routine assistance by their paediatricians in the health service, research assessment usually within 1 month following the child's 6-12 month anniversary and dietary advice by a fieldworker after the 12 month research assessment

statistics for each group (for the analysis/es used):	
Duration:	Intervention was initially delivered from $10 \text{ days} - 4$ months; dental examination took place between 12 and 14 months
Oral outcomes measured:	ECC incidence
Scale/measure:	Caries status number of decayed surfaces
Means and SD or events for each group at post-treatment	Mean number of decayed surfaces (SD):
or follow-up	Control group: 0.63 (1.62)
	Intervention group: 0.37 (1.37)
	(Mann Whitney U, $P = 0.03$ )
Other relevant statistical results	The proportion of children with ECC (defined as at least one decayed surface) was 10.2% (16/157) among the intervention
	group and 18.3% (40/219) among the controls and significantly higher in the control group relative to the intervention group:
	OR (adjusted for number of teeth) for the control group 1.0, OR for the intervention group 0.52 (95% CI 0.27-0.97) ( $p = 0.03$ )

Citation Study design (including statistical analysis): Aims/objectives:	Mohebbi, S. Z., et al. "A cluster randomised trial of effectiveness of educational intervention in primary health care on early childhood caries." Caries Res 43.2 (2009): 110-118.Cluster RCT (Logistic regression, Kruskal-Wallis, Mann-Whitney U test and x2)To evaluate the impact of a 6-month educational intervention on ECC	
Participants	Total sample size at baseline:Total= 242 (group A = 77, group B = 85, group C = 80)18 public health centres	
	Country:	Iran
	Region (urban (city)/rural):TehranEthnicity:-	
	Socioeconomic status:	The parents' level of education was low for 14%, moderate for 49% and high for 37%. The parents' level of education was low for 12% in group A, 12% in group B and 16% in group C. Family income was low for 10% of families; moderate for 50% and high for 40% of families. The family income was low for 7% in group A, for 12% in group B, and for 11% in group C. The parents' level of education and family income showed no differences between the groups.
	Gender:	Of the children who received outcome examinations, $50\%$ were boys: $40\%$ in group A, $59\%$ in group B and $54\%$ in group C (p = 0.11).

	Age (including	The mean age of the children wa	
	adults/children):	(12 to 15 months old) at baseline 0.6) at outcome. The	e and 18.3 months (SD =
		groups showed no differences re dental findings at baseline	egarding children's age or
	Health background/status:	Children suffering from any sev barrier to the practice of oral hea	
	Any information on confounders (e.g. water, milk or salt fluoridation, sugars intake from diet, feeding practices (e.g. breastfeeding, bottle feeding – duration, frequency) and oral hygiene behaviour):	Child's age, Child's gender, Par Family income.	ent's level of education,
Intervention	Comparison/exposure (including n, age and gender (if different from above) for each group for the analysis/es used):	Group A = educational pamphlet, 5 min of oral health instructions, 2 recall phone calls of the oral health instructions at 2-month intervals. (n = 55) Group B = pamphlet only (n = 59)	C = control (n = 63)
	Other relevant baseline statistics for each group (for the analysis/es used):		
	Duration:	6 month interval between interve	
	Oral outcomes measured:	Increments in the number of teet percentages of children develop number needed to treat (NNT).	-
	Scale/measure:	Number and percentages of new dt = Number of teeth with dentin de = number of upper central ind	nal caries;
	Means and SD or events for each group at post-treatment or follow-up	Factors related to development of enamel caries (de) on upper cent teeth (dt) during the 6-month int	tral incisors or new decayed
		Intervention groups (control = $0$	)
		Pamphlet only (group B) = 1 Estimate of strength= $-0.893$ Standard error= $0.441$ OR= $0.4$ 95%CI= $0.2-1.0P=0.043$	

	Pamphlet + reminder $(group A) = 2$
	Estimate of strength= $-2.249$
	Standard error= 0.662
	OR= 0.1
	95%CI=0.0-0.4
	P= 0.001
Other relevant statistical	Number of children at risk of developing new decayed
results	enamel (de) on upper central incisors:
	A: 48
	B: 56
	C: 61
	0.01
	Increment in the new 'de' during the 6-month intervention:
	A: 0 (SD=0)
	B: $0.2 (SD=0.6)$
	C: $0.4 (SD = 0.7)$
	P (A vs C) < 0.001
	P (B vs C) 0.066
	All children with de at the outcome examination:
	A: 4 (7%), C: 18 (29%) (p<0.01)
	B: 10 (17%), C: 18 (29%) (p = 0.14)
	Increment in percentages of children developing new de:
	A . 0
	A: 0
	B: 14
	C: 26
	P(A vs C) < 0.001
	P (B vs C) 0.208
	No significant differences regarding the number or
	percentage of children developing new dt during the 6
	* * * *
	month intervention were found between groups
	NNT, children with new de:
	A: 4
	B: 9
	Increment in the new dt during the 6-month intervention:
	A: 0 .1 (SD=0.6)
	B: 0.1 (SD= 0.1)
	C: 0.2 (SD = 0.7)

P (A vs C) 0.188 P (B vs C) 0.265
Increment in percentages of children developing new dt: A: 5 B: 7 C: 13
P (A vs C) 0.177 P (B vs C) 0.276 <u>NNT, children with new dt:</u>
A: 13 B: 17

Citation Study design (including statistical analysis): Aims/objectives:	Plutzer, Kamila, and A. John Spencer. "Efficacy of an oral health promotion intervention in the prevention of early childhood caries." Community Dent Oral Epidemiol 36.4 (2008): 335-346.         RCT; Fisher's Exact test         The purpose of this study was to test the efficacy of an oral health promotion programme targeting nulliparous women starting during the pregnancy to reduce S-ECC at 18 months of age.	
Participants	Total sample size at baseline:	649 (Nulliparous pregnant women in the test group=327 and control group=322)
	Country:	Australia
	Region (urban (city)/rural):	South Australia
	Ethnicity:	No information
	Socioeconomic status:	No information
	Gender:	Female (no data on this variable with respect to the children)
	Age (including adults/children):	Intervention delivered during pregnancy and when the child and 12 months of age. In a test sub-group, a structured telephone consultation was given when the child was 6-12 months of age. Children's teeth were assessed at the age of $20 \pm 2.5$ months
	Health background/status:	Mothers with high risk pregnancies were excluded
	Any information on confounders (e.g. water, milk or salt fluoridation, sugars intake from diet, feeding practices (e.g. breastfeeding, bottle feeding – duration, frequency) and oral hygiene behaviour):	Mother's age, examination-age, number of parent family, mother's employment, country of born, education

Intervention	Comparison/exposure (including n, age and gender (if different from above) for each group for the analysis/es used):	Intervention group (n randomized =327): Oral health promotion information during pregnancy, and later when the child reached 6 and 12 months of age. After the second round of information the test group mothers were randomized again. The information was reinforced in one of the test subgroups (n randomized= 165; n analysed = 123) through a telephone consultation. In the second test subgroup (n randomized= 156; n analysed = 109) no telephone conversation was received.	Comparison ( n randomized = 322; n analysed = 209): There was no contact with mothers in the control group after enrolment
	Other relevant baseline statistics for each group (for the analysis/es used):		
	Duration:	Intervention delivered during child was 6 and 12 months of a	
	Oral outcomes measured:	S-ECC incidence %	
	Scale/measure:	A case of S-ECC was defined incisor teeth labial surfaces we cavitated or cavitated. Diagnosis was based	ere carious, either non-
	Means and SD or events for each group at post-treatment or follow-up	Bivariate and multivariate logi severe early childhood caries ( and adjusted odds ratios:	2
	Other relevant statistical results	Control group***(ref. test gro Un-adjusted odds ratio (95.0% adjusted odds ratio (95.0% CI	6 CI): 6.1 (2.0-18.1)
		*** P < 0.001	
		Cumulative incidence of S-EC groups, including test A and te test):	
		Test group $(A+B) = 1.7\%$ ; Co	ontrol group 9.6% (P <

0.01)
Tests group A = $1.6\%$ ; test group B = $1.8\%$ (P = $0.903$ )
Test group $A = 1.6\%$ ; control group $= 9.6\%$ (P < 0.01)
Test group B = $1.8\%$ ; control group = $9.6\%$ (P < $0.01$ ) (test B and control group).
Number of children with S-ECC: A+B=4 (from total n=232)
A=2 (from total n=123) B=2 (from total n=109)
Control=20 (from total n=209)

Citation	Vachirarojpisan, Thongchai, Kayoko Shinada, and Yoko Kawaguchi. "The process and outcome of a programme for preventing early childhood caries in Thailand." Community Dent Health 22.4 (2005): 253-259.		
Study design	Cluster- RCT (two-sample t-tes	st to compare the differences in cavitated carious increment	
(including statistical analysis):	between the two groups).		
Aims/objectives:	To investigate the effectiveness of a participatory DHE approach to increase tooth brushing and fluoride toothpaste behaviour for preventing ECC.		
Participants	Total sample size at baseline:	520 mothers/caregivers of 6-19 month old children	
-	Country:	Thailand	
	Region (urban (city)/rural):	One rural district of Suphanburi Province	
	Ethnicity:	-	
	Socioeconomic status:	Family income per month above Thai average:	
	<ul><li>Intervention group: 46%</li><li>Control group: 44%</li></ul>		
		Family income per month below Thai average:	
		<ul><li>Intervention group: 54%</li><li>Control group: 56%</li></ul>	
	Gender:	Both male and female	
	Age (including	6-19 month old children	
	adults/children):	Children's average age at baseline	
		Inter group: 12.9 (3.66%)	
		Cont group: 12.24 (3.78%)	
		Mother's/caregiver's average age at baseline: Inter group: 30.28 (9.65%) Cont group: 29.70 (9.35%)	
	Health background/status:	-	
	Any information on	No significant differences were reported concerning	

	confounders (e.g. water, milk or salt fluoridation, sugars intake from diet, feeding practices (e.g. breastfeeding, bottle feeding – duration, frequency) and oral hygiene behaviour):	consumption of sweet food between meals between intervention and control groups at baseline or in relation to measures of oral hygiene (tooth brushing habits).	
Intervention	Comparison/exposure (including n, age and gender (if different from above) for each group for the analysis/es used):	Intervention: Small group discussion with 6-8 mothers/caregivers on their children's oral health, the cause and prevention of ECC three times (40-60 minutes/time), at 3-months interval +providing free toothbrushes and fluoride toothpaste (500 ppm F)	Control: Didactic teaching about the ECC prevention method + providing free toothbrushes conducted at the same time as vaccination program.
		N randomized (initial clinical examination and questionnaire interview Nov 2001): 11 health centres; 270 mothers / caregivers	N randomized (initial clinical examination and questionnaire interview Nov 2001): 10 health centres; 250 mothers / caregivers
		At the clinical examination and questionnaire interview (follow-up stage – Nov 2002), n= 213 mothers / caregivers participated	At the clinical examination and questionnaire interview (follow-up stage – Nov 2002), n= 191 mothers / caregivers participated
	Other relevant baseline statistics for each group (for the analysis/es used):	Intervention group: n=270	Control group: n=250
	Duration:	One-year intervention program	n
	Oral outcomes measured:	Non-cavitated carious lesions, cavitated carious lesions, ECC (non-cavitated and cavitated carious lesions), Mean cavitated carious increment	
	Scale/measure:	Mean and SD	
	Means and SD or events for	Intervention group (Mean	Control group (Mean
	each group at post-treatment	(SD))	(SD))
	or follow-up	Non-cavitated carious	Non-cavitated carious
		lesions: Baseline=1.38 (2.12)	lesions: Baseline=1.47 (2.14)
		1 year= $3.98(3.08)$	1  year=4.04 (2.99)
		cavitated carious lesions: Baseline= $0.36 (1.06)$ 1 year= $3.82 (3.65)$	cavitated carious lesions: Baseline=0.51 (1.38) 1 year=3.74 (3.93)

	ECC (non-cavitated and cavitated carious lesions): Baseline=1.73 (2.60) 1 year=7.80 (4.99) There is no statistical differences in all above variables between 2 groups	ECC (non-cavitated and cavitated carious lesions): Baseline=1.97 (2.76) 1 year=7.78 (5.22)
	at the base line and 1-year follow-up.	
	Mean cavitated carious increment=3.46 (3.36)	Mean cavitated carious increment= 3.24 (3.53)
Other relevant statistical results	Intervention group: n=213 Male: 120 (56.3) Female: 93 (43.7)	Control group: n=191 Male: 96 (50.3) Female: 95 (49.7)

Citation	Harrison, R. et al. "Effect of motivational interviewing on rates of early childhood caries: a randomized trial." Pediatric Dentistry 29.1 (2007): 16-22.		
Study design (including statistical analysis):	RCT (Poisson regression)		
Aims/objectives:	To investigate the effect of motivational interviewing to prevent early childhood caries.		
Participants	Total sample size at baseline:	240	
	Country:	Canada	
	Region (urban (city)/rural):	Surrey, British Columbia	
	Ethnicity:	South Asian immigrant	
	Socioeconomic status:	Proportion of children with a household income of \$31,000 / y: (control group: 51%, intervention group: 50%)	
	Gender:	Both male and female	
	Age (including adults/children):	6 to 18 months	
	Health background/status:	Proportion of children in 'fair or poor health': 24% in the intervention and control groups. Proportion of children with a major illness: 13% (control group), 8% (intervention group)	
	Any information on confounders (e.g. water, milk or salt fluoridation, sugars intake from diet, feeding practices (e.g. breastfeeding, bottle feeding – duration, frequency) and oral hygiene behaviour):	No significant differences between groups in relation to baseline characteristics presented in relation to: caries prevalence at baseline, age, recruitment age, socioeconomic factors, health status, whether mother pre- chews food, antibiotic and vitamin use and the child's mood disposition	

Intervention	Comparison/exposure (including n, age and gender (if different from above) for each group for the analysis/es used):	Intervention group (N=122) Boys (n, %): 69 (57%) Recruitment age (mean (SD)): 10.8 (5.3) The intervention (MI) group received the following: 1. The pamphlet and video 2. One 45-minute counselling session, in which a 'menu of options' for infant oral care were discussed 3. Two brief follow-up telephone calls up to 6 months after the initial contact 4. 2 postcard reminders	Control group (N=118) Boys (n, %): 61 (52%) Recruitment age (mean (SD)): 12.1 (5.3) The control group received 'traditional information' consisting of: a pamphlet on infant oral health; mother's also watched an 11-minute video 'preventing tooth decay for infants and toddlers'.
	Other relevant baseline statistics for each group (for the analysis/es used):	-	-
	Duration:	Follow up period: 2 years	
	Oral outcomes measured:	Number of decayed surfaces, surfaces, Filled surfaces, Dmfs years post-intervention	
	Scale/measure:	Number of decayed surfaces, surfaces, Filled surfaces, Dmf	
	Means and SD or events for each group at post-treatment or follow-up	Intervention group (n=105): mean (SD)	Control group (n=105): mean (SD)
		Decayed surfaces: 2.03 (4.9) White spot surfaces: 0.17 (0.6) Missing surfaces:0.33 (2.5) Filled surfaces:0.99 (5.1) Dmfs: 3.35 (7.8) Dmfs plus white spots: 3.52 (8.0)	Decayed surfaces: 2.91 (5.6) White spot surfaces: 0.32 (1.1) Missing surfaces: 1.25 (5.8) Filled surfaces: 3.43 (9.7) Dmfs:7.59 (14.2) Dmfs plus white spots:7.91 (14.2)
	Other relevant statistical results	Significant (p≤0.05) difference intervention and control group	

following:
Filled surfaces (p = 0.03) Dmfs: $3.35$ (p = 0.001) Dmfs plus white spots: (p = 0.1)
Poisson regression results support a protective effect of MI relative to the control condition on the rate of dmfs after 2 years (hazard ratio = $0.54$ (95% CI 0.35-0.84).

Citation	Jiang, Emily Ming, et al. "Prevention of early childhood caries (ECC) through parental tooth brushing training and fluoride varnish application: a 24-month randomized controlled trial." I Dant 42.12 (2014): 1542-1550		
<u> </u>	trial." J Dent 42.12 (2014): 1543-1550.		
Study design	RCT (independent samples Kruskal-Wallis test)		
(including statistical analysis):			
Aims/objectives:	To investigate the effectiveness of hands-on training in parental tooth brushing in preventing ECC.		
Participants	Total sample size at baseline:	Intervention group=152	
		Control group=149	
	Country:	China	
	Region (urban (city)/rural):	Hong Kong	
	Ethnicity:	-	
	Socioeconomic status:	Monthly household income:	
		Intervention group (n=144): <15,000: 24 (17%) 15,000-25,000: 35 (24%) >25,000: 85 (59%)	
		Control group (n=134): <15,000: 20 (15%) 15,000-25,000: 23 (17%) >25,000: 91 (68%)	
	Gender:	Both male and female	
	Age (including adults/children):	8-23 Months	
	Health background/status:	Good general health and not on long term medication	
	Any information on confounders (e.g. water, milk or salt fluoridation, sugars intake from diet, feeding practices (e.g. breastfeeding, bottle feeding – duration, frequency) and oral hygiene behaviour):		
Intervention	Comparison/exposure	Intervention group (G2): Control group (G1):	

(including n, age and gender (if different from above) for each group for the analysis/es used):	Mean age at base line: 15.6 (3.8) Boys: 62 (43%) Girls: 82 (57%) The intervention group received oral health education talk and parental tooth brushing training, reinforced every 6 months.	Mean age at base line: 15.5 (3.9) Boys: 58 (43%) Girls: 76 (57%) The control group received one-off oral health education talk to parents and printed materials information on children's tooth eruption, suggested method for cleaning baby's mouth, parental tooth brushing methods, healthy oral health-related dietary practice, need for regular dental visits, and a brief introduction to early childhood caries. There was no reinforcement of the oral health education messages by the investigators during the
Other relevant baseline statistics for each group (for the analysis/es used):		study period.
Duration:	Follow up: 24 months (every 6	6 months)
Oral outcomes measured:	ECC incidence	
Scale/measure:	Dmft	
Means and SD or events for each group at post-treatment or follow-up	Mean dmtf increment at 24 month follow up: Intervention group: (n=144) Mean (included non- cavitated and cavitated lesions)=0.2 (SD=0.6) Mean (included cavitated lesions)=0.1 (SD=0.5)	Mean dmft increment at 24 month follow up: Control group: (n=134) Mean((non-cavitated and cavitated)=0.3 (SD=1.2) Mean (cavitated)=0.2 (SD=1.0)
Other relevant statistical results	Incidence of ECC in the intervention group (non- cavitated+cavitated) (n-144) = 17 (11.8%) (cavitated)=10 (6.9%)	Incidence of ECC in the control group (non- cavitated+cavitated) (n=134) =16 (11.9%) (cavitated)=11 (8.2%)

# Research question 10: Does an optimum concentration of fluoride in water reduce the risk of early childhood caries?

Citation	Blinkhorn, A., Brown, M., Attwood, D., & Downer, M. (1981). The effect of fluoridation of			
	the dental health of urban Scottish Schoolchildren. Journal of Epidemiology and			
64 1 1	Community Health, 35(2), 98-101.			
Study design	Retrospective cohort (two way	ANOVA)		
(including statistical analysis):				
Aims/objectives:	comparing the dental health of	o demonstrate the likely benefits of introducing fluoridation to urban areas of Scotland by omparing the dental health of children from Stranraer, a fluoridated area, with similar hildren from Anan, a non-fluoridated area.		
Participants	Total sample size at baseline:	262 eligible children; 230 examined and lifetime res		
	Country:	Scotland		
	Region (urban (city)/rural):	Annan and Stranraer; seaport rural activities and light indus		
	Ethnicity:	-		
	Socioeconomic status:	-		
	Gender:	-		
	Age (including adults/children):	4-5 years		
	Health background/status:	-		
	Any information on	The number of dentists serving the two towns was also		
	confounders (e.g. water, milk	comparable, five in Stranraer and four in Annan.		
	or salt fluoridation, sugars			
	intake from diet, feeding	Only children who were lifetime residents were included		
	practices (e.g. breastfeeding,	in the analysis		
	bottle feeding – duration,			
	frequency) and oral hygiene			
	behaviour):			
Intervention	Comparison/exposure	Stranraer received water	Annan did not have	
	(including n, age and gender	with an optimally adjusted	fluoridated drinking water	
	(if different from above) for	1mg /l fluoride	(the concentrate of	
	each group for the analysis/es		naturally occurring	
	used):		fluoride was not specified)	
		N=129	N=101	
	Other relevant baseline	-	-	
	statistics for each group (for			
	the analysis/es used):			
	Duration:	Exposure (or not) to fluoridated water from birth. Data collected at 4-5 years		
	Oral outcomes measured:	Number of decayed deciduous teeth Number of decayed, missing and filled deciduous teeth		
	Scale/measure:	Mean (SD)		
	Means and SD or events for each group at post-treatment	Stranraer (fluoridated) :	Annan (non-fluoridated) :	
	or follow-up	Adjusted* mean dt score:	Adjusted* mean dt score:	

	1.34 Adjusted mean dmft score:3.34 Adjusted mean dmft score2.474.41
	*adjusted for differences between two examiners *adjusted for differences
Other relevant statis results	Mean difference in adjusted mean dt scores in 4-5 year olds between Stranraer (Fluoridated) and Annan (Non- fluoridated): 2.0, F(31.5), p<0.01
	Mean difference in adjusted mean dmft scores in 4-5 year olds between Stranraer (Fluoridated) and Annan (Non- fluoridated): 1.94, F(17.0), p<0.01
	(Results specific to anterior teeth also reported (as fluoridation shows particular benefit to these) – but I wouldn't have extracted these data either as overall result is our main interest)

Citation	Booth et al. (1992). A comparison between the dental health of 3-year-old children living in fluoridated Huddersfield and non-fluoridated Dewsbury in 1989. Community Dent Health, 9(2):151-7.			
Study design	Retrospective cohort			
(including statistical analysis):				
Aims/objectives:	To compare the prevalence if dental caries and developmental defects of enamel between 3 year old children who were lifelong residents of fluoridated areas of Huddersfield and non-fluoridated Dewsbury.			
Participants	Total sample size at baseline:	480 (240 from Huddersfield and 240 from Dewsbury)		
	Country:	England, UK		
	Region (urban (city)/rural): Huddersfield and Dewsbury			
	Ethnicity: White children			
	Socioeconomic status:         A representative cross-section of all social classes was obtained from each location			
	Gender: Male and female			
	Age (including adults/children):	3 years		
	Health background/status:	-		
	Any information on	Included children had never taken fluoride tablets		
	confounders (e.g. water, milk	Areas were matched according to socio-economic data		
	or salt fluoridation, sugars	Participants were randomly selected		
	intake from diet, feeding	All participants had to be lifelong residents of the area to		
	practices (e.g. breastfeeding,	which they were grouped in this study		
	bottle feeding – duration,	There was no significant difference regarding response		

	frequency) and oral hygiene behaviour):	rate between areas No significant difference between social class or mean ages of the two samples No significant differences were found with respect to demarcated developmental defects of enamel between intervention and control areas Significant differences were found between groups with respect to diffuse defects of the upper and lower first molars	
Intervention	Comparison/exposure (including n, age and gender (if different from above) for each group for the analysis/es used):	Intervention: (n = 240 randomly sampled, 225 contacted, 126 attended the first appointment, 22 attended the second appointment, 121 children were included in the analysis) Fluoridated water supply (1	Control: (n = 240 randomly samples, 206 contacted, 206 were contacted, 101 attended the first appointment, 21 the second, of theses 122 children, 107 were included in the analysis) Non-fluoridated water
	Other relevant baseline statistics for each group (for the analysis/es used):	ppm F)	supply (<0.3ppm)
	Duration:		
	Oral outcomes measured:	Number of DMFT	
	Scale/measure:	Mean	
	Means and SD or events for each group at post-treatment or follow-up	Mean (SD) values in Fluoridated Huddersfield:	Mean (SD) values in non- Fluoridated Dewsbury:
		dt: 0.24 (0.84) mt: 0.03(0.29) ft: 0.03(0.20) dmft: 0.30 (1.00)*	dt: 0.60 (1.87) mt: 0.10(0.53) ft: 0.04 (0.23) dmft: 0.74 (2.00)*
		*denotes a significant effect p =0.03	
	Other relevant statistical results	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 87\% \end{array}$ Caries free (dmft = 0)	1) Caries free (dmft = 0) 75%, p = 0.03
		2) Carious teeth (dt>0)	2) Carious teeth

11%	(dt>0) 11%, p = 0.04
3) Teeth extracted (mt>0) 2%	3) Teeth extracted (mt>0) 5%, p = 0.35

Citation	Evans, D.J., Rugg-Gunn, A.J., Tabari, E.D. and Butler, T. (1996) The effect of fluoridation						
	and social class on caries experience in 5-year-old. Community Dent Health, 13(1), 5-10.						
Study design	Historical cohort; Chi-square and Mann-Whitney U tests						
(including statistical							
analysis):							
Aims/objectives:	To compare the dental health of children who had lived in continuously fluoridated						
	compared to non-fluoridated ar	eas of Northumberland.					
Participants	Total sample size at baseline:	:: 662 England, UK					
	Country:						
	Region (urban (city)/rural):	North-East					
	Ethnicity:	-					
	Socioeconomic status:	Children in social groups from					
		control areas were included in the analyses - 5 years					
	Gender:						
	Age (including adults/children):						
	Health background/status:	-					
	Any information on	There was a statistically significant difference in the					
	confounders (e.g. water, milk	distribution of subjects in three social class groups					
	or salt fluoridation, sugars	between the two areas – in Northumberland (NF) a higher					
	intake from diet, feeding	proportion of social class III (manual) were included					
	practices (e.g. breastfeeding,	whereas in Newcastle (F) a higher proportion of social					
	bottle feeding – duration,	group 1 were included. This was not controlled for in					
	frequency) and oral hygiene	al hygiene overall analysis but results were presented b					
	behaviour):						
Intervention	Comparison/exposure	Newcastle was continuously	South-East				
	(including n, age and gender	fluoridated (at 0.1 mg/lF)	Northumberland was non-				
	(if different from above) for		Fluoridated (0.1 mg/lF)				
	each group for the analysis/es						
	used):	n = 327 children	n = 335				
	Other relevant baseline	-	-				
	statistics for each group (for						
	the analysis/es used):						
	Duration:	Children lived in areas since birth and examinations tool					
		place when they were 5 years old					
	Oral outcomes measured:	Caries prevalence					
	Scale/measure:	Number / Percentage	_				
	Means and SD or events for	-	-				
	each group at post-treatment						

or follow-up					
Other relevant statistical		Fluoridated	Non-	Difference	%
results		area	Fluoridated		
			area		
	No of	18.37	17.19**	1.18	6
	sound				
	teeth				
	dt	0.79	1.63**	0.84	52
	mt	0.19	0.42**	0.23	55
	ft	0.22	0.24 NS	0.02	8
	dmft	1.20	2.29**	1.09	48
	dmfs	2.52	5.49**	2.97	54
	dfs	1.59	3.41**	1.82	53
	%dmft>0	36%	52%	16%	
	%dmft>4 12% 26% 14%			14%	
	NS = Non significant *P = <0.05				
	*P = <0.05 ** P<0.001				
	1 <0.00	1			
	Social clas	ss F	NF	Differ	ence
	dmft				
	I + II	0.59 (1.37	7) 1.46 (2.6		
				(60%)	
	III	1.21 (2.36	b) 2.04 (3.4	/	41%)
				NS	
	IV + V	1.17 (2.73	3) 2.74 (3.0	/	
	10			(57%)	**
	dfs	0.05 (0.00			
	I + II	0.85 (2.28	3) 2.18 (4.4	6) 1.33 (61%)	*
	III	1.25 (2.84	4) 3.13 (6.9		
	IV + V	1.17 (2.65	5) 3.65 (4.5	51) 2.48 (0	58%)
	Mean (SD *P=<0.05	) , **P= <0.001			

Citation	French, A. D., et al. Fluoridation and dental caries experience in 5-year-old children in Newcastle and Northumberland in 1981." Brit Dent J 156.2 (1984): 54.					
Study design	Retrospective cohort (Chi-squared test and Mann-Whitney U test)					
(including statistical						
analysis):						
Aims/objectives:						
Participants	Total sample size at baseline: 1069					
	Country: UK					
	Region (urban (city)/rural): North East					
	Ethnicity:	-				

	Socio	economic status:	All social classes							
	er:		-							
	including			5 years						
	adults/children):				-uib					
Health background/status: Any information on										
				G	- · 1 1					
				80	cial class:					
	confounders (e.g. water, milk									
	or salt fluoridation, sugars								tly more social	
	intake from diet, feeding practices (e.g. breastfeeding,				· ·				the sample,	
				wh	ile in low	fluoride N	lorthumb	perland mor	re social class	
	bottle	feeding – duration,		IV	and V we	ere found to	be pres	ent.		
	freque	ency) and oral hygier	ne							
	behav									
Intervention		arison/exposure		Ch	ildren we	re continuo	ous	Children w	vere continuous	
		ding n, age and gend	ler			a fluoridate			f a low-fluoride	
		ferent from above) f				stle, n = 5				
	\     \	group for the analysis			· ·	istic), ii - 5		area (Northumberland), n = children 536		
			the analysis/es children					- children 550		
	used): Other relevant baseline									
		ics for each group (f	or							
		he analysis/es used):								
	Durat			5 years						
	Oral outcomes measured: Scale/measure:				Caries experience					
					dmft, dmfs, dfs					
	Mean	s and SD or events f	or	See tables 1 and 2 (below) See tables 1 and 2 (below)					1 and 2 (below)	
	each group at post-treatment or follow-up									
	Other	Other relevant statistical			See tables 1 and 2 (below)					
	results				See tables 1 and 2 (below)					
Table I: Caries experience (dmft a	and dmfs)	of 5-year old children in each	n area fo	or all su	ubjects and fo	r social class III	children on	ly		
				d	Imft	d	mfs			
	N	Percent caries free (dmft=0)	Differe	nce		Difference (%)		No. 10 Your and a second		
All subjects										
Newcastle (Fluoridated)	533	55			1.41 (2.21)		2.14 (4.13)			
Northumberland (Fluoride low)	536		24	1~	3.37 (3.65)	1.96*(58)	5.70 (7.19)	3.56 (62)		
Class III only										
Newcastle (Fluoridated)	295	52	2	1~	1.54 (2.28)	2.01* (57)	2.32 (4.13)	3.61* (61)		
Northumberland (Fluoride low)	253	31	2.		3.55 (3.69)		5.93 (7.08)	0.01 (01)		
*P<0.001 (Mann-Whitney U test) ~P<0.001 (Chi-squared)	)									

		Fissure		Free smooth surface		Approximal	
	Mean (SD)	Difference (per cent)	Mean (SD)	Difference (per cent)	Mean (SD)	Difference (per cent)	
All subjects							
Newcastle (Fluoridated)	0.98 (1.65)	0.74* (43%)	0.14 (0.64)	0.37* (73%)	0.30 (1.0)	1.22*(76%)	
Northumberland (Fluoride low)	1.72 (1.99)	0.74* (43%)	0.51(1.29)	0.37 (73%) 1.60 (2	1.60 (2.51)	1.22 (70%)	
Class III only							
Newcastle (Fluoridated)	1.04 (1.62)	0.758 (430/)	0.18 (0.79)	0.428 (709/)	0.43 (1.03)	1.32* (75%)	
Northumberland (Fluoride low)	1.79 (2.06)	0.75* (42%)	0.60(1.49)	0.42* (70%)	1.75(2.56)	1.52 (75%)	
*P<0.001 (Mann-Whitney U test)							

Citation	Jackson et al (1975). Fluoridation in Anglesey A Clinical Study. Brit Dent J, 138 (5), 165- 71.					
Linked studies	Jackson et al. (1980). Fluoridation in Leeds. Brit Dent J, 149, 231-4. Jackson D, James PM, Thomas FD. 1985. Fluoridation in Anglesey 1983: a clinical study of dental caries. Brit Dent J. 158(2):45. Jackson et al. (1975). Fluoridation in Cumbria A Clinical Study. Brit Dent J, 139, 319-322.					
Study design (including statistical analysis):	Historical cohort					
Aims/objectives:	To find out the possible benefit:	s of water fluoridation				
Participants	Total sample size at baseline: Country: Region (urban (city)/rural): Ethnicity: Socioeconomic status: Gender: Age (including adults/children): Health background/status: Any information on confounders (e.g. water, milk or salt fluoridation, sugars intake from diet, feeding practices (e.g. breastfeeding, bottle feeding – duration, frequency) and oral hygiene behaviour):	600         Wales, UK         -         -         -         -         5 years         -         Children were excluded from the study for the reasons including the following:         -         The child had left the area         The child did not have continuity of residence         The home of the child did not have a piped water supply for his/her whole life         No information on similarity of the two areas although close geographically				
Intervention	Comparison/exposure (including n, age and gender (if different from above) for each group for the analysis/es used):	Intervention area: Anglesey Intervention: drinking water had contained 0.9ppm fluoride for the whole lives of participants	Control area: Bangor / Caernarvon Intervention: drinking water contained <0.01 ppm fluoride			

	1						1		
					lldren exam Ebaseline)	nined: 153		children examined: (49% of baseline)	
	s	Other relevant baseline statistics for each group (for the analysis/es used):					-		
	Ι	Duration:		55; clinica			oride in Anglesey this study took place		
	(	Dral outcomes	measured:	D,m,f, d	lmf				
	S	Scale/measure:			Number, mean				
	e	Means and SD or events for each group at post-treatment or follow-up			See accompanying data (below)			accompanying data ow)	
	-	Other relevant sesults	statistical		See accompanying data (below)			accompanying data	
Accompanyin Table IV: Car Bangor / Cae	ries experie		MF) of child	ren aged 5 y	vears in Ar	iglesey and	in no	n-fluoridated	
Area	Ν	d	m	f	dmf	SE			
Anglesey	Total	306	48	79	9 433 -				
	Mean per person	2.00	0.31	0.52	2.83	0.261			
Bangor /	Total	412	91	161	664	-			
Caernarvon	Mean per person	2.84	0.63	1.11	4.58	0.338			

Citation	Jackson et al. (1980). Fluoridation	in Leeds. Brit Dent J. 149, 231-4.			
Linked studies	Jackson et al (1975). Fluoridation in Anglesey A Clinical Study. Brit Dent J. 138 (5), 165-71. Jackson D, James PM, Thomas FD. 1985. Fluoridation in Anglesey 1983: a clinical study of dental caries. Brit Dent J. 158(2):45. Jackson, D. et al. (1975). Fluoridation in Cumbria A Clinical Study. Brit Dent J. 139, 319-322.				
Study design (including statistical analysis):	Historical cohort				
Aims/objectives:	To find out the possible benefits of	water fluoridation			
Participants	Total sample size at baseline:Country:Region (urban (city)/rural):	910 England, UK Leeds (urban)			
	Ethnicity: Socioeconomic status:	- -			

	Gender:	_				
	Age (including adults/children):	5-year-old				
	Health background/status:	-				
	Any information on confounders	Children were exclude	d if their pare	ents reported that they in		
	(e.g. water, milk or salt			ements, or if they were		
	fluoridation, sugars intake from	in receipt of mixed wa				
	diet, feeding practices (e.g.		of suppress			
	breastfeeding, bottle feeding –	Children who were cor	ntinuous resid	lents were included in		
	duration, frequency) and oral	the analysis				
	hygiene behaviour):	5				
Intervention	Comparison/exposure (including	Intervention:	Cor	ntrol:		
	n, age and gender (if different					
	from above) for each group for	4 districts of Leeds that	it had Lov	v fluoride districts of		
	the analysis/es used):	been fluoridated contin	nuously Lee	ds where the water		
		at an average level of (	0.9 sup	ply is about 0.1ppm F		
		ppm fluoride since 196	58			
		n =470 children exam	ined n =	440 children examined		
		and $n = 349$ questionna		n = 317 questionnaires		
		returned in 1979; $n = 1$		$110^{-10}$ (1979; $n = 198$		
		acceptable for the stud		acceptable for the study		
		disqualifications	•	after disqualifications		
		anoquantiteations		r anoquanniounons		
		All included norticinents				
	Other relevant baseline statistics	All included participants were continuous residents of				
	for each group (for the analysis/es					
	used):	the districts they were				
	Duration:	assigned to in the study				
	Duration.	Intervention implemented in 1968, clinical examination took place in 1979				
	Oral outcomes measured:	Dmf, d,m,f,df				
	of all outcomes measured.					
	Scale/measure:	Mean, percentage				
	Means and SD or events for each	See accompanying dat	a See	See accompanying data		
	group at post-treatment or follow-	(below)		(below)		
	up					
	Other relevant statistical results	See accompanying dat		accompanying data		
	Other relevant statistical results	(below)		low)		
	Table 1: Caries experience (mean			/		
	d	perience. Mean values		dmf±SE		
	Fluoridated 0.71	0.11 0.	.41	$1.23 \pm 0.1462$		
	districts (n=					
	190)	0.42	5.4	2.20		
	Low fluoride 2.30	0.43 0.	.54	3.38		
	districts (n=			$\pm 0.2543$		
	198)					

	Table 2: Carie	es expe	rience o	of approxin	nal sit	es in 5-yea	r old chi	<u>ldren</u>			
		a	otal no pproxi ites	mal		no df ximal	Perce	ntage (	lf		
	Fluoridated districts (n=190)		,432		73		0.98				
	Low fluoride districts (n= 198)	7	,590		302		3.98				
	<u>Table 3: Cari</u> year old child		rience	of occlusi	onal a	and appro-	ximal sit	tes on c	leciduous	<u>mola</u>	<u>rs in 5-</u>
	Ľ	Occlu	sional s	sites			Appro	ximal s	sites		
		no	df	Df	f	f/f+d	no	df	Df	f	f/f+d
	Fluoridated districts (n=190)	1,503	115	percent 7.65	52	percent 45	3,006	46	percent 1.53	16	percent35
	Low- fluoride districts (n=198)	1,488	209	14.05	62	30	2,976	194	6.52	34	18
Citation	study of	dental c	caries."	Brit Dent	J 158	.2 (1985):	45.		glesey 1983 A Clinical		
Linked studies	(5), 165- Jackson Jackson,	<ul> <li>(A follow-up study from Jackson 1975. Fluoridation in Anglesey A Clinical Study)</li> <li>Jackson et al (1975). Fluoridation in Anglesey A Clinical Study. <i>British Dental Journal</i>, 11 (5), 165-71.</li> <li>Jackson et al. (1980). Fluoridation in Leeds. <i>British Dental Journal</i>, 149, 231-4.</li> <li>Jackson, D. et al. (1975). Fluoridation in Cumbria A Clinical Study. <i>British Dental Journal</i>, 139, 319-322.</li> </ul>									
Study design (including statistic analysis):	Historica	Historical cohort									
Aims/objectives:	fluoridat	ed and and a solution of the s	non-flu d occur	oridated co red in fluo	ommu	nities. It w	as impo	rtant to	nglish chilo know whe -fluoridate	ther a	ı similar
Participants	Total san				600						

	Country:	Wales, UK				
	Region (urban (city)/rural):	Anglesey and Gwynedd				
	Ethnicity:	-				
	Socioeconomic status:	-				
	Gender:	-				
	Age (including	5 years				
	adults/children):					
	Health background/status:	-				
	Any information on confounders (e.g. water, milk or salt fluoridation, sugars intake from diet, feeding practices (e.g. breastfeeding, bottle feeding – duration, frequency) and oral hygiene	Children who were discontinuous residents, had fluoride supplements or who received water from a well or from well and the mains water supply were excluded*				
	behaviour):					
Intervention	Comparison/exposure (including n, age and gender (if different from above) for each group for the analysis/es used):	Intervention group (5 year old children from Anglesey) had received a mains water supply containing F=0.9 PPM fluoride for all of their lives (since 1964, the study including clinical examinations were undertaken in 1983). N children examined = 314 Number of children in the final sample following exclusions due to the presence of confounders* = 219	Control group (5 year old children from Gwynedd) received un-fluoridated water containing F=0.1 PPM fluoride. N children examined = 172 Number of children in the final sample following exclusions due to the presence of confounders* = 128			
	Other relevant baseline statistics for each group (for the analysis/as word):	-	- 120			
	the analysis/es used): Duration:	Water fluoridation to 0.9 ppm fluoride was implemented in Anglesey in 1964; clinical examinations were conducted of children in the intervention and control areas in 1983.				
	Oral outcomes measured:	D, m, f, dmf				
	Scale/measure:	Mean, difference				
	Means and SD or events for each group at post-treatment or follow-up	See accompanying data (below)	See accompanying data (below)			
	Other relevant statistical results	See accompanying data (below)	See accompanying data (below)			

#### Gwynedd (NF<0.1PPM)

Age 5	N	d	m	f	*dmf±SE
years					
Anglesey	219	1.03	0.10	0.46	$1.58 \pm 0.174$
Gwynedd	128	2.24	0.45	0.86	3.55±0.328

### Table V. Caries experience in children aged 5 years in fluoridated (F) Anglesey and non-fluoridated Gwynedd 1974-83 data compared

	Anglesey (F=0.9	Gwynedd (F=0.1 PPM)
	PPM)	
		Mean dmf
	Mean dmf	
1974	2.83	4.58
1983	1.38	3.55
Diff. 1974-	44%	22%
83		

Citation		idation in Cumbria A Clinical Study. Brit Dent J. 139, 319-				
Linked studies	<ul> <li>322.</li> <li>Jackson et al (1975). Fluoridation in Anglesey A Clinical Study. <i>British Dental Journal</i>, 138 (5), 165-71.</li> <li>Jackson et al. (1980). Fluoridation in Leeds. Brit Dent J. 149, 231-4.</li> </ul>					
		n in Anglesey 1983: a clinical study of dental caries.				
Study design (including statistical analysis):	Historical cohort					
Aims/objectives:	-					
Participants	Total sample size at baseline:	830				
	Country:	England, UK				
	Region (urban (city)/rural):	Cumbria (rural)				
	Ethnicity:	-				
	Socioeconomic status:	-				
	Gender:	-				
	Age (including adults/children):	5 years				
	Health background/status:	-				
	Any information on confounders (e.g. water, milk or salt fluoridation, sugars intake from diet, feeding	Children were excluded from the study for reasons including the following: - Non continued residence in their community				
	practices (e.g. breastfeeding, bottle feeding – duration,	<ul> <li>Non continuous receipt of mains water supply</li> <li>Receipt of one of the following preventative</li> </ul>				

	• • /	frequency) and oral hygiene behaviour):				measures against caries: fluoride tablets, topical fluoride, fissure sealant or other				
				No info	ormation giv	ven on how	the areas we	re comparable		
Intervention		n/exposure n, age and ge			ntion area: mouth / Wo	rkington	Control are Penrith	a 1: Carlisle /		
		for the analy		fluorid	ntion: water ated to 1ppr	n	Interventio water conta ppm fluorio	nined < 0.01		
					nildren exan of baseline)	nined: 106	N of childr 130 (31% c	en examined: of baseline)		
		ant baseline r each group s/es used):	(for	-			-			
	Duration:				955; clinica		A	in Anglesey tudy took place		
	Oral outcor	Oral outcomes measured:			in 1974 D, m, f, d+m+f teeth					
	Scale/meas	Scale/measure:			Number, mean					
		Means and SD or events for each group at post-treatment			See accompanying data (below)			See accompanying data (below)		
	Other relev results	Other relevant statistical results			See accompanying data (below)			See accompanying data (below)		
		t <mark>aries experi</mark> l communiti			old children	<u>ı in fluorid</u> :	ated and in	<u>non-</u>		
		Cockermo Workingto			Carlisle and Penrith			Decoys		
		F = 1ppm N = 106			F = <0.1 pr	N = 130	N= 143			
	d teeth	Total 194	Mea 1.83		Total 426	Mean 3.28	Total 431	Mean 3.01		
	m teeth	40	0.38		105	0.81	95	0.66		
	f teeth d+m+f teeth	18 252	0.17 2.38 (SE)	±0.304	41 572	0.32 4.40 ±0.349 (SE)	81 607	0.57 4.24 ±0.365 (SE)		

Citation	O'Mullane D, Whelton H. Efficacy of fluoride against dental caries; fluoride in water. Fogorvosi szemle. 1997 Apr; 90:7.						
Study design (including statistical analysis):	Retrospective cohort						
Aims/objectives:	To consider the effectiveness of	f fluoridated water					
Participants	Total sample size at baseline:	1995					
I I I I I I	Country:	Republic of Ireland					
	Region (urban (city)/rural):						
	Ethnicity:	-					
	Socioeconomic status:	-					
	Gender:	Males and females					
	Age (including	5 years					
	adults/children):						
	Health background/status:	-					
	Any information on	Subjects in the intervention (I					
	confounders (e.g. water, milk	exposure to school fluoridation	on, fluoride tablets or fluoride				
	or salt fluoridation, sugars	mouth rinses					
	intake from diet, feeding						
	practices (e.g. breastfeeding,	FI") group never had					
	bottle feeding – duration, frequency) and oral hygiene	fluoride tablets or mouth rinse	es.				
	behaviour):						
Intervention	Comparison/exposure	Intervention ("Full FI")	Control ("Non FI") group				
	(including n, age and gender	group:					
	(if different from above) for		Home water supply never				
	each group for the analysis/es	Home water supply	fluoridated. Present schoo				
	used):	fluoridated continuously	water supply is not				
		(0.8-1.0mg'l fluoride) since birth.	fluoridated. Subject never had fluoride tablets or mouth rinses.				
	Other relevant baseline statistics for each group (for the analysis/es used):						
	Duration:	Home water supply of the "Fi	ull FL " fluoridated				
	Duration.	continuously since birth.	un PL muondated				
	Oral outcomes measured:	Number of decayed, missing and filled teeth					
	Scale/measure:	Mean					
	Means and SD or events for each group at post-treatment or follow-up	See table 1, below See table 1, below					
	Other relevant statistical	-					
	results						
		teeth in 5-year-old children (d	Imft) in 1984				

		Group	
Health board	Full FL	Non-FL	
Eastern	1.3	2.9	
Midland	1.9	3.0	
M-western	2.3	4.0	
N-Eastern	1.0	2.1	
N-Western	1.7	3.0	
S-Eastern	1.9	2.8	
Southern	2.5	4.0	
Western	1.5	2.2	
All health boards	1.8	3.0	

Citation	Rugg-Gunn et al. (1981). Caries Experience of 5-year-old children living in four communities in N.E. England Receiving Differing Water Fluoride Levels. Brit. Dent. J. 150, 9-12.					
Linked studies	Rugg-Gunn, A.J., Carmichael, G secular trend in caries in 5-year Dent J. 19;165(10):359-64.)					
Study design (including statistical analysis):	Historical cohort (T test)					
Aims/objectives:	To assess the relationship betwee	en water fluoride levels and car	ries experience.			
Participants	Total sample size at baseline:	N= 2,023 consent forms issue				
		N=1,038 subjects studied (following exclusion of participants who failed to return their consent form and of children who failed to meet the inclusion criteria*)				
	Country:	England, UK				
	Region (urban (city)/rural):	North-East (urban)				
	Ethnicity:	Caucasian				
	Socioeconomic status:	Children from social classes I –V				
	Gender:	-				
	Age (including adults/children):	5 years				
	Health background/status:	-				
	Any information on confounders (e.g. water, milk or salt fluoridation, sugars intake from diet, feeding practices (e.g. breastfeeding, bottle feeding – duration, frequency) and oral hygiene behaviour):	<ul> <li>All consenting children had lived in the area throughout their lives were examined</li> <li>Proportion of individuals from each social cla V were well balanced between groups, except</li> </ul>				
Intervention	Comparison/exposure (including n, age and gender (if different from above) for each group for the analysis/es	Intervention:Comparators:Residence in NewcastleResidence in Ashington, which had ppm 1.0 F forand Houghton, which had				

used):	the last seven years	ppm <0.1 and 0.2 F, respectively
	N = 438 subjects studied	
		N = 132 subjects studied
		(Ashington)
		N= 112 subjects studied
		(Houghton)
Other relevant baseline	-	-
statistics for each group (for		
the analysis/es used):		
Duration:	Fluoride levels had remained	
	years; participants' teeth were	, ,
Oral outcomes measured:	Caries experience (deft and D	MFS)
Scale/measure:	Mean (SD), %	
Means and SD or events for	See accompanying data	See accompanying data
each group at post-treatment	(below)	(below)
or follow-up		
Other relevant statistical	See accompanying data	See accompanying data
results	(below)	(below)

#### Table III - caries experience (deft and defs) of 5-year-old children in each of the 4 areas. Data also given for

		Ashington (<0.1ppm)	Sig.	Houghton (0.2 ppm)	Newcastle (1.0 ppm)	social class III children
deft (all subjects)	Mean (sd)	6.1 (4.03)		4.9 (4.42)	2.5 (2.79)	only
deft (social class III)	Mean (sd)	5.9 (3.92)	n.s.	4.9 (4.10)	2.4 (2.73)	
defs (all subjects)	Mean (sd)	11.6 (9.54)	1	8.9 (9.86)	4.1 (5.76)	
defs (social class III only)	Mean (sd)	11.5 (9.64)	1	8.2 (8.34)	4.0 (5.67)	

Sig. = significance between adjacent pairs (Welsh or t test);  $^{1}P < 0.05$ 

#### Table IV – Percentage of children caries-free or with gross caries

	Ashington (<0.1ppm)	Houghton (0.2 ppm)	Newcastle (1.0 ppm)
Caries free	11	24	33
Deft 5+	65	47	20
Defs 15 +	36	29	8

Citation	Rugg-Gunn, A.J., Carmichael, C.L. and Ferrell, R.S. (1988) Effect of fluoridation and secular trend in caries in 5-year-old children living in Newcastle and Northumberland. Brit Dent J. 19;165(10):359-64.						
Linked studies	communities in N.E. England F 9-12.						
Study design (including statistical analysis):	Historical cohort (Chi-square as	nd Mann-Whitney U)					
Aims/objectives:	continuously fluoridated (at 1.0	This study compared the dental health of 457 5-year-old children who had lived in continuously fluoridated (at 1.0 mg F/litre) Newcastle with the dental health of 370 5-year old children of the same age in non-fluoridated (less than 0,1 mg F/litre) south Northumberland					
Participants	Total sample size at baseline:	827					
	Country:	England, UK					
	Region (urban (city)/rural):	Newcastle (urban) and North	umberland (rural)				
	Ethnicity:	Caucasian					
	Socioeconomic status:	-					
	Gender:	-					
	Age (including adults/children):	5 years					
	Health background/status:						
	Any information on	Only Caucasian participants were included in the study, a					
	confounders (e.g. water, milk or salt fluoridation, sugars intake from diet, feeding practices (e.g. breastfeeding,	the study authors reported that ethnicity influences caries experience in young children and the control area contained very few non-Caucasians					
	bottle feeding – duration, frequency) and oral hygiene behaviour):	Children had to have lived in their locality since birth to be included in the study					
		Data from the analyses were presented for all subjects and for children from social class III only					
Intervention	Comparison/exposure (including n, age and gender	Intervention:	Control:				
	(if different from above) for each group for the analysis/es used):	Residence since birth in Newcastle-upon-Tyne, the water supply of this city had been fluoridated since 1967; the level of fluoridated was between 0.9 and 1 mg F/ litre since 1981	Residence since birth in south Northumberland, a non-fluoridated area (<0.1 mg F / litre)				
	Other relevant baseline statistics for each group (for the analysis/es used):	N = 457 participants included in the analysis -	N = 370 participants included in the analysis -				

Г	Duration:	The water in Newcastle-upon-Tyne had been fluoridated to 0.9 and 1 mg F/ litre since 1981; caries was examined 5-year-old children in 1987		
C	Dral outcomes measured:	Caries experience (dmft, dmfs)		
S	Scale/measure:	Mean, mean difference, %		
e	Means and SD or events for each group at post-treatment or follow-up	See accompanying data (below)	See accompanying data (below)	
	Other relevant statistical esults	See accompanying data (below)	See accompanying data (below)	

Table III Caries experience (dmft and dmfs) of 5-year-old children in each area, for all subjects and for social class III children only

	dmft		dmfs	
All subjects	Mean (SD)	Difference (%)	Mean (SD)	Difference (%)
F(n = 457)	1.81 (2.56)	2.09 <sup>a</sup> (54%)	2.81 (4.77)	4.19 <sup>a</sup> (60%)
NF (n = 370)	3.90 (4.22)		7.00 (9.28)	
Social class III				
only				
F(n = 170)	1.70 (2.53)	2.01 <sup>a</sup> (54%)	2.49 (4.24)	3.72 <sup>a</sup> (60%)
NF (n = 146)	3.71 (4.05)		6.21 (8.15)	

P<0.001 (Mann-Whitney test)

Table IV Percentage of children caries-free or with gross caries in each area, for all subjects and for social class III

	% caries free	% dmft 5+	% dmfs 15+
All subjects			
F	50 <sup>a</sup>	16 <sup>a</sup>	4 <sup>a</sup>
NF	32	37	17
Social class III only			
F	54 <sup>a</sup>	15 <sup>a</sup>	4 <sup>b</sup>
NF	33	33	14
<sup>a</sup> P<0.001			

<sup>b</sup> P <0.01 (chi-squared test)

Citation	Tank, Gertrude, and Clara A. Storvick. "Caries experience of children one to six years old in two Oregon communities (Corvallis and Albany)." JADA 70.2 (1965): 394-403.			
Study design	Prospective cohort			
(including statistical				
analysis):				
Aims/objectives:	To investigate the effect of pre-	and post-natal exposure to a fluoridated water supply on the		
	teeth of children from one to six years old			
Participants	Total sample size at baseline:	246 (aged 1- 5 years)		
	Country:	Canada		
	Region (urban (city)/rural):	Ontorio		

	Ethnicity:	All included children were wh	ite		
	Socioeconomic status:	-			
	Gender:	Male and female			
	Age (including	1- 6 years (data were presented			
	adults/children):	therefore data on 1-5 year old	children were extracted for		
	TT 1/1 1 1 1/4 4	this review) Healthy children			
	Health background/status:	11' 1 A 11			
	Any information on confounders (e.g. water, milk or salt fluoridation, sugars	The authors indicated that Corvallis and Albany were comparable in climate, topography and population.			
	intake from diet, feeding practices (e.g. breastfeeding,	Mother had used the municipa	l water during pregnancy.		
	bottle feeding – duration, frequency) and oral hygiene behaviour):	All children in the study had c water since birth and had not b respective areas for more than	been absent from their		
		Children who had received topical applications of fluorid or who were taking fluoride by prescription were excluded, as were those children whose parents refused to allow roentgenograms to be taken.			
Intervention	Comparison/exposure (including n, age and gender (if different from above) for	Intervention group (Corvallis residents)	Control group (Albany residents)		
	each group for the analysis/es used):	Pre- and post-natal exposure to water adjusted to 1.0 ppm of fluoride	Lack of pre- or post-natal exposure to artificially fluoridated water		
	Other relevant baseline statistics for each group (for the analysis/es used):				
	Duration:	Annual assessments of caries tyears	book place annually for 5		
	Oral outcomes measured:	Mean dmft; N decayed teeth; N missing teeth; N filled teeth; N decayed surfaces (all per child)			
		Percent difference (between Corvallis and Albany) in the above measures; percent of children caries-free (without dmft) Percent of teeth caries free (no dmft).			
	Scale/measure:	Mean values			
		Percentages			
	Means and SD or events for each group at post-treatment or follow-up	See table 3 (below)			
	01 10110 W-up	See table 3 (below)			

#### <u>Table 3: Decayed, missing and filled deciduous teeth of children with a fluoride-free water supply (Albany)</u> and a fluoridated water supply (Corvallis)

				Age on last	: birthday	
	Community	1	2	3	4	5
Mean no.	Albany	0.14	1.26	4.25	5.51	6.0
of dmft per child	Corvallis	0.08	0.59	1.44	2.31	3.29
Percent difference	Corvallis	-43	-53*	-66*	-58*	-45*
Mean no.	Albany	0.14	1.26	3.89	4.95	4.96
of decayed teeth per child	Corvallis	0.08	0.59	1.30	2.0	2.0
Percent difference	Corvallis	-43	-53*	-67*	-60*	-60*
Mean no.	Albany	0	0	0.09	0.06	0.17
of missing teeth per child	Corvallis	0	0	0	0	0
Percent difference	Corvallis	-	-	-100	-100	-100
Mean no.	Albany	0	0	0.32	0.68	1.0
of filled teeth per child	Corvallis	0	0	0.11	0.41	1.32
Percent difference	Corvallis	-	-	-66	-40	+32
	1	1	1	1	1	
Mean no.	Albany	0.14	1.34	5.08	7.28	8.83
of decayed surfaces per child	Corvallis	0.09	0.56	1.45	2.66	2.89
Percent difference	Corvallis	-36	-58*	-71*	-63*	-67*
Percent of children	Albany	89	54	11	8	4
caries-free (without dmft)	Corvallis	97	79*	55*	38*	39*
Percent of	Albany	99	93	79	72	69
teeth caries-free	Corvallis	99	97	93*	88	83

(no dmft)								
- = reduction. + = increase. Calculated as follows: Corvallis-Albany/Albany (100)								
*Difference significant at the 5 percent level								

Citation	Thomas, F.D., Kassab, J.Y. and					
	caries in in 5-year-old children 1995 Jan 21; 178(2):55-9.	who had experienced sub-optim	al fluoridation. Br Dent J.			
Study design	Retrospective cohort					
(including statistical						
analysis):						
Aims/objectives:		re dental caries experience amor				
	children residing in zone and	es which had experienced differ	ent periods of fluoridation,			
		s experience amongst Anglesey				
	· · ·	l fluoridation in the earlier part	•			
	previous caries experience related to whole life fluoridation and to that of					
		v or negligible experience of flu				
Participants	Total sample size at baseline:725 (all children examined in the survey)					
	498 children examined had continually resided in					
		water district zones (the cohor				
	Country:	Wales	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	Region (urban (city)/rural):	Anglesey				
	Ethnicity:	-				
	Socioeconomic status:	-				
	Gender: -					
	Age (including 5 years					
	adults/children):					
	Health background/status:	-				
	Any information on	Children whose parents indica	*			
	confounders (e.g. water, milk	they had received fluoride sup	-			
	or salt fluoridation, sugars	from the inter-zone compariso	ons.			
	intake from diet, feeding					
	practices (e.g. breastfeeding,	Children whose parents indicated in the questionnaire that				
	bottle feeding – duration,	the child had consumed non-n				
	frequency) and oral hygiene	or bottle were also eliminated	from the inter-zone			
Intervention	behaviour):	comparison.	Comparison group:			
	Comparison/exposure (including n, age and gender	Intervention group:	<u>Comparison group.</u>			
	(if different from above) for	Child had resided in an area	Child had resided in an			
	each group for the analysis/es	(Alaw zone) of optimal	area (Cefni and			
	used):	fluoridation during	Penmynydd zones) of			
	,	approximately 35% of their	optimal fluoridation for			
		lives (n=230)	less than 10% of their lives (n=268)			
	Other relevant baseline					
	statistics for each group (for					
	the analysis/es used):					

Duration:	Outcome data were collected y 5 years.	when the children were aged					
Oral outcomes measured:	dmft and components (d,m,f)						
Scale/measure:	mean						
Means and SD or events for each group at post-treatment	Intervention group:	Control group:					
or follow-up	Mean dmft (SD): 1.81 (2.86)	Mean dmft (SD): 2.28 (3.48)					
	Mean d: 1.13						
		Mean d: 1.36					
	Mean m: 0.38						
		Mean m: 0.45					
	Mean f: 0.31						
		Mean f: 0.47					
Other relevant statistical results							

## Research question 11: Does consumption of fluoridated milk reduce the risk of early childhood caries?

Citation	Bian et al. 2003. Effect of fluor Community Dent Oral Epidem	ridated milk on caries in primary teeth: 21-month results.								
Study design (including statistical analysis):	Quasi experimental (t-tests)									
Aims/objectives:	To investigate the effect of fluoridated milk on caries in primary teeth									
Participants	Total sample size at baseline:	534 (intervention group)-305 (control group)								
-	Country:	China								
	Region (urban (city)/rural):	Beijing								
	Ethnicity:	-								
	Socioeconomic status:	-								
	Gender:	Both male and female								
	Age (including adults/children):	3-5 years old								
	Health background/status:	-								
	Any information on confounders (e.g. water, milk or salt fluoridation, sugars intake from diet, feeding practices (e.g. breastfeeding, bottle feeding – duration, frequency) and oral hygiene behaviour):	The fluoride concentration in the drinking water in all kindergartens was determined before starting the program and every 3 months after the program was implemented. Results showed that it was less than 0.3mg/l. The fluoride content in the local fresh cow milk was found to be below 0.02mg/l No oral health education program was implemented in any of the kindergartens								
		There was no statistically significant difference in the baseline mean dmft scores between the two groups (3.2 vs. $3.5, p = 0.312$ )								

Intervention	Comparison/exposure (including n, age and gender (if different from above) for each group for the analysis/es used):	Intervention group: Each participant consumed 200 ml of fluoridated milk (concentration 2.5mgF per litre) per day from Monday to Friday in the kindergarten, and was given two packs of fluoridated milk (250ml) for consumption at home on Saturday and Sunday every week. Parents of the children were asked to ensure that the children drank the fluoridated milk. There were 534 children (mean age 54±4 months) in the test group at baseline and 417 at the 21 month follow up	control group: Fresh milk without addition of sugar or fluoride There were 305 children (mean age 53±4 months) in the control group at baseline and 247 at the 21 month follow up					
	Other relevant baseline statistics for each group (for the analysis/es used):	-	-					
	Duration:	Follow up duration: 21 months	5					
	Oral outcomes measured:	caries experience, new caries, reversals, and net caries increment						
	Scale/measure:	dmft						
	Means and SD or events for each group at post-treatment or follow-up	Baseline caries experience, ne caries increment of test and co Test group (n=417)- Control	ntrol children					
	Other relevant statistical results	Mean baseline dmft (SD): 3.2 % dmft>0 at baseline: 66- 68- % dmft>0 at 21 months: 72- 8	(3.7)-3.5 (1.4)- 0.312 0.723					
		Mean new caries (SD)(dmft): % with new caries: 51-73-<0						
		Mean reversal (SD)(dmft) Mean arrested caries: 0.3 (0.9) Mean examiner reversal: 0.5 (						
		Mean net increment (SD)(dmft): 0.4 (1.9)- 1.3 (1.2)-<0.001						

# Research question 12: Does salt fluoridation reduce the risk of early childhood caries?

Citation	Jordan et al. (2017). Caries pre Gambia: A prospective, control		•				
Study design (including statistical analysis):	RCT (Wilcoxon rank-sum test)	ieu, interventional study. Carles	<u>s Res. 15,51 (0).570-004.</u>				
Aims/objectives:	To investigate the effect of fluo children.	ridated salt in a communal feed	ling program for pre-school				
Participants	Total sample size at baseline:	700 assessed for eligibility; 4 (intervention group)-137 (con					
	Country: Region (urban (city)/rural):	Gambia Brikama					
		Drikallia					
	Ethnicity:	-					
	Socioeconomic status:	Dethermals and family					
	Gender:	Both male and female					
	Age (including adults/children):	3-5 years old					
	Health background/status:	-					
	Any information on confounders (e.g. water, milk or salt fluoridation, sugars intake from diet, feeding practices (e.g. breastfeeding, bottle feeding – duration, frequency) and oral hygiene behaviour):						
Intervention	Comparison/exposure (including n, age and gender (if different from above) for each group for the analysis/es used):	Intervention group: Meals were prepared with fluoridated (250mg F <sup>-</sup> /kg) salt	control group: Meals were not prepared with fluoridated table salt				
	useu).	Mean age=4.7 years Female=184 (60.5%) Male=120 (39.5%) N analyzed = 304	Mean age=4.9 years Female=90 (65.7%) Male=47 (34.3%) N analyzed = 137				
	Other relevant baseline statistics for each group (for the analysis/es used):	-	-				
	Duration:	Follow up duration: 12 months					
	Oral outcomes measured:	Caries incidence					
	Scale/measure:	D <sub>3/4</sub> mft; G <sub>2-4</sub> ; TCT					
		D <sub>3/4</sub> : decayed with cavitation into dentine. M: missing					

		F: filled
		T: teeth
		G <sub>2-4</sub> : teeth with white lesions from slight white spot
		formation to white spot formation with cavitation into
		enamel
		TCT: weighted sum score according to the following
		weights
Means	and SD or events for	Caries experience at t <sub>0</sub> : (Baseline)
	oup at post-treatment	Test group:
or follo		D <sub>3/4</sub> mft: 3.35 (2.83-3.86)
	" "P	$G_{2-4}$ : 4.65 (4.17-5.14)
		TCT: 23.95 (21.51-26.39)
		Control group:
		$D_{3/4}$ mft: 2.74 (1.76-3.72)
		$G_{2-4}$ : 5.41 (4.33-6.49)
		TCT: 23.26 (18.14-28.39)
		Caries experience at t <sub>1</sub> : (After 12-month)
		Test group:
		$D_{3/4}$ mft: 4.63 (4.04-5.23)
		$G_{2-4}$ : 8.14 (7.45-8.83)
		TCT: 36.80 (34.10-39.50)
		Control group:
		$D_{3/4}$ mft: 6.57 (5.52-7.61)
		$G_{2-4}$ : 7.70 (6.56-8.83)
		TCT: 47.74 (42.78-52.70)
		101. 47.74 (42.70-52.70)
		Proportion (%) of dentine caries-free individuals in the
		test and control groups at $t_0$ and $t_1$
		Test group:
		$D_{3/4}(t_0): 33.0\%$
		$D_{3/4}(u): 55.070$ $D_{3/4}(t_1): 26.7\%$
		$D_{3/4}(1)$ . 20.770
		Control group:
		D <sub>3/4</sub> (t <sub>0</sub> ): 25.9%
		$D_{3/4}(0)$ : 23.376 $D_{3/4}(t_1)$ : 16.8%
		$D_{5/4}(c_1)$ , 10.070
		RR (95%CI):
		$D_{3/4}(t_0): 0.90 \ (0.80-1.04)$
		$D_{3/4}(u): 0.50 (0.001.04)$ $D_{3/4}(t_1): 0.88 (0.79-1.01)$
		$D_{5/4}(t_1), 0.00 (0.77^{-1.01})$

#### **Appendix: GRADE Evidence Profiles**

Appendix Table 4. Question 1: Does breastfeeding beyond one year increase the risk of early childhood caries compared with breastfeeding until less than one year of age?

Setting: Population

	Certainty assessment						№ of patients		Effect			
Nº of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Breastfeeding until less than one year	Breastfeeding beyond one year	Relative (95% Cl)	Absolute (95% Cl)	Certainty	Importance
ECC	ECC											
1	observational studies	not serious a	not serious	not serious	not serious	none	741	129	-	<b>0</b> (0 to 0 )		CRITICAL

Explanations

a. Overall risk of bias rating for this study was moderate, as determined by the ROBINS-I tool. In relation to confounding, all participants entered the study at the same time. Additionally, fluoridated area and sugars intake was controlled for. All participants fell within our specified time frame (<1 year versus >=24 months).

Reference:

Peres KG, Nascimento GG, Peres MA, Mittinty MN, Demarco FF, Santos IS, Matijasevich A, Barros AJD. 2017. Impact of prolonged breastfeeding on dental caries: A population-based birth cohort study. Pediatrics. 140 (1): e20162943.

### Appendix Table 5. Question 3: Does breastfeeding beyond two years increase the risk of early childhood caries compared with breastfeeding until less than two years of age?

#### **Setting: Population**

	Certainty assessment						№ of patients		Effect			
Nº of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Breastfeeding for 24 months or longer	Breastfeeding for less than 24 months	Relative (95% Cl)	Absolute (95% Cl)	Certainty	Importance
ECC												
2	observational studies	not serious	not serious	not serious	not serious	none	414	1251	-	<b>0</b> (0 to 0 )		CRITICAL

References:

- 1. Chaffee BW, Feldens CA, Vítolo MR. 2014. Association of long-duration breastfeeding and dental caries estimated with marginal structural models. Annals of Epidemiology. 24(6):448-454.
- 2. Peres KG, Nascimento GG, Peres MA, Mittinty MN, Demarco FF, Santos IS, Matijasevich A, Barros AJD. 2017. Impact of prolonged breastfeeding on dental caries: A population-based birth cohort study. Pediatrics. 140 (1): e20162943.

## Appendix Table 6. Question 5: Does consumption of liquids that contain free sugars from an infant feeding bottle, increase the risk of early childhood caries?

#### **Setting: Population**

	Certainty assessment						№ of patients		Effect			
№ of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	No free sugars from an infant feeding bottle	Free sugars from an infant feeding bottle	Relative (95% Cl)	Absolute (95% Cl)	Certainty	Importance
ECC												
1	observational studies	not serious <sup>a</sup>	not serious	not serious	not serious	none	205	129	-	<b>0</b> (0 to 0 )		

#### Explanations

a. One of the articles (Feldens et al. 2010) was rated as having a low risk of bias; two\* were rated as being at critical risk of bias (in relation to confounding)

#### Reference

Feldens CA, Giugliani ERJ, Vigo A, Vitolo MR. 2010. Early feeding practices and severe early childhood caries in four-year-old children from Southern Brazil: A birth cohort study. Caries Res. 44(5):445-452.

Two additional cohort studies were identified but were excluded from the GRADE analysis due to serious risk of bias (based on information from Gordon et al. J Clinical Epidemiol. 2011, 64:407). The excluded references were:

\*Tanaka K, Miyake Y, Sasaki S, Hirota Y. 2013. Infant feeding practices and risk of dental caries in Japan: The Osaka maternal and child health study. Pediatric Dentistry. 35(3):267-271.

\* Wendt LK, Hallonsten AL, Koch G, Birkhed D. 1996. Analysis of caries-related factors in infants and toddlers living in Sweden. Acta Odont Scanda. 54(2):131-137.

### Appendix Table 7. Question 6: Does consumption of complementary drinks that contain free sugars increase the risk of early childhood caries? Setting: population

	Certainty assessment						№ of patients		Effect			
№ of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	No/ lower intake of free sugars from complementary drinks	Intake /higher intake of free sugars from complementary drinks	Relative (95% Cl)	Absolute (95% Cl)	Certainty	Importance
ECC												
6	observational studies	very serious <sup>a</sup>	not serious	not serious	not serious	strong association	36250		-	<b>0</b> (0 to 0 )		

Explanations

a. Assigned based on ROBINS-I overall risk of bias scores.

Total sample size for all studies at baseline is specified in the table

References:

- 1. Warren JJ, Blanchette D, Dawson DV, Marshall TA, Phipps KR, Starr D, Drake DR. 2016. Factors associated with dental caries in a group of American Indian children at age 36 months. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 44(2):154-161.
- 2. Warren JJ, Weber-Gasparoni K, Marshall TA, Drake DR, Dehkordi-Vakil F, Dawson DV, Tharp KM. 2009. A longitudinal study of dental caries risk among very young low ses children. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 37(2):116-122.
- 3. Watanabe M, Wang DH, Ijichi A, Shirai C, Zou Y, Kubo M, Takemoto K, Masatomi C, Ogino K. 2014. The influence of lifestyle on the incidence of dental caries among 3-year-old Japanese children. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 11(12):12611-12622.
- 4. Wendt LK, Hallonsten AL, Koch G, Birkhed D. 1996. Analysis of caries-related factors in infants and toddlers living in Sweden. Acta Odont Scand. 54(2):131-137.
- 5. Wigen TI, Wang NJ. 2014. Health behaviors and family characteristics in early childhood influence caries development. A longitudinal study based on data from Moba. Norsk Epidemiologi. 24(1):91-95.
- 6. Yonezu T, Yotsuya K, Yakushiji M. 2006. Characteristics of breast-fed children with nursing caries. Bull Tokyo Dent Coll. 47(4):161-165.

### Appendix Table 8. Question 7: Does consumption of complementary foods to which free sugars have been added increase the risk of early childhood caries? Setting: Population

	Certainty assessment						№ of patients		Effect			
№ of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Free sugars not added to complementary food	Free sugars added to complementary food	Relative (95% Cl)	Absolute (95% CI)	Certainty	Importance
ECC			-									
1	observational studies	not serious	not serious	not serious	not serious	none	240	91	-	<b>0</b> (0 to 0 )		

Reference:

Feldens CA, Giugliani ERJ, Vigo A, Vitolo MR. 2010. Early feeding practices and severe early childhood caries in four-year-old children from Southern Brazil: A birth cohort study. Caries Res. 44(5):445-452.

### **Appendix Table 8.** Question 9: Does oral hygiene provided by a parent/carer reduce the risk of early childhood caries? Setting: Population

	Certainty assessment						№ of patients		Effect			
Nº of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Oral hygiene provided by parent / carer	No oral hygiene provided by parent / carer	Relative (95% Cl)	Absolute (95% Cl)	Certainty	Importance
ECC												
2	observational studies	serious <sup>a</sup>	not serious	not serious	not serious	none	-/1935 b		not estimable			IMPORTANT

Explanations

a. Serious risk of bias due to lack of information on water fluoride

b. Overall number of participants from both studies is specified in the GRADE table. In Okuno et al. (1994), the number of participants analysed in the intervention and control groups were 121 and 187, respectively. The number of participants in intervention and control groups were not provide for Leroy et al. (2012)

References:

- 1. Leroy R, Bogaerts K, Martens L, Declerck D. 2012. Risk factors for caries incidence in a cohort of Flemish preschool children. Clinical Oral Investigations. 16(3):805-812.
- 2. Okuno M, Kani T, Shimizu H. 1994. A cohort study on dental caries in infants. Japanese Journal of Public Health. 41(7):625-628.

### Appendix Table 9. Question 10: Is oral health education for care givers' effective for preventing early childhood caries? Setting: Population

Certainty assessment							№ of patients		Effect			
№ of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Oral health education for care givers	No or lower exposure to oral health education for caregivers	Relative (95% Cl)	Absolute (95% Cl)	Certainty	Importance
ECC												
6	randomised trials	not serious	serious <sup>a</sup>	not serious	not serious	none	1185	1202	-	<b>0</b> (0 to 0 )	<b>⊕⊕⊕</b> ⊖ MODERATE	CRITICAL

a. Four out of six studies indicated a significant protective effect of oral health education for caregivers, whereas two studies, with sufficient power, indicated a non-significant effect. Meta-analysis of studies reporting odds ratio reported significant effect.

#### References:

- 1. Feldens CA, Vitolo MR, Drachler Mde L. 2007. A randomized trial of the effectiveness of home visits in preventing early childhood caries. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 35(3):215-223.
- 2. Harrison R, Benton T, Everson-Stewart S, Weinstein P. 2007. Effect of motivational interviewing on rates of early childhood caries: A randomized trial. Pediatric Dentistry. 29(1):16-22.
- 3. Jiang EM, Lo EC, Chu CH, Wong MC. 2014. Prevention of early childhood caries (ecc) through parental toothbrushing training and fluoride varnish application: A 24-month randomized controlled trial. J. Dent. 42(12):1543-1550.
- 4. Mohebbi SZ, Virtanen JI, Vahid-Golpayegani M, Vehkalahti MM. 2009. A cluster randomised trial of effectiveness of educational intervention in primary health care on early childhood caries. Caries Res. 43(2):110-118.
- 5. Plutzer K, Spencer AJ. 2008. Efficacy of an oral health promotion intervention in the prevention of early childhood caries. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 36(4):335-346.
- 6. Vachirarojpisan T, Shinada K, Kawaguchi Y. 2005. The process and outcome of a programme for preventing early childhood caries in Thailand. Community Dent Health. 22(4):253-259.

Appendix Table 11. Question 10: Does an optimum concentration of fluoride in water reduce the risk of early childhood caries? (Fluoridated water compared with non-fluoridated water / water with a low fluoride concentration for children)

Setting: Population

Certainty assessment					№ of patients		Effect					
№ of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Fluoridated water	Non-fluoridated water/ water with lower concentration of fluoride	Relative (95% Cl)	Absolute (95% CI)	Certainty	Importance
ECC												
9	observational studies	not serious	not serious	not serious	not serious		2367	2075 ª	-	0 (0 to 0 )	MODERATE-	CRITICAL

a. No of patients in intervention and control groups were unavailable from the study: O'Mullane, D., and H. Whelton. "Efficacy of fluoride against dental caries; fluoride in water." Fogorvosi szemle 90 (1997): 7.

#### **References:**

Blinkhorn AS, Brown MD, Attwood D, Downer MC. 1981. The effect of fluoridation on the dental health of urban Scottish schoolchildren. Journal of Epidemiology & Community Health. 35(2):98-101.

Booth JM, Mitropoulos CM, Worthington HV. 1992. A comparison between the dental health of 3-year-old children living in fluoridated Huddersfield and non-fluoridated Dewsbury in 1989. Community Dent Health. 9(2):151-157.

Evans DJ, Rugg-Gunn AJ, Tabari ED, Butler T. 1996. The effect of fluoridation and social class on caries experience in 5-year-old Newcastle children in 1994 compared with results over the previous 18 years. Community Dent Health. 13(1):5-10.

French AD, Carmichael CL, Rugg-Gunn AJ, Furness JA. 1984. Fluoridation and dental caries experience in 5-year-old children in Newcastle and northumberland in 1981. Brit Dent J. 156(2):54-57.

O'Mullane D, Whelton H. 1997. Efficacy of fluoride against dental caries; fluoride in water. Fogorvosi szemle. 90 Spec No: 7-12.

Rugg-Gunn AJ, Carmichael CL, Ferrell RS. 1988. Effect of fluoridation and secular trend in caries in 5-year-old children living in Newcastle and Northumberland. Brit Dent J. 165(10):359-364.

Tank G, Storvick CA. 1964. Caries experience of children one to six years old in two Oregon communities (Corvallis and Albany). I. Effect of fluoride on caries experience and eruption of teeth. JADA (1939). 69:749-757.

Studies with serious risk of bias, excluded from GRADE Profile analysis:

Jackson D, Goward PE, Morrell GV. 1980. Fluoridation in Leeds. A clinical survey of 5-year-old children. Brit Dent J. 149(8):231-234. Jackson D, Gravely JF, Pinkham IO. 1975a. Fluoridation in Cumbria. A clinical study. Brit Dent J.1. 139(8):319-322. Jackson D, James PM, Thomas FD. 1985. Fluoridation in Anglesey 1983: A clinical study of dental caries. Brit Dent J. 158(2):45-49. Jackson D, James PM, Wolfe WB. 1975b. Fluoridation in Anglesey. A clinical study. Brit Dent J.1. 138(5):165-171.

### Appendix Table 12. Question 11: Does consumption of fluoridated milk reduce the risk of early childhood caries? Setting: Population

	Certainty assessment						№ of patients		Effect			
№ of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Fluoridated milk	Unfluoridated milk	Relative (95% Cl)	Absolute (95% CI)	Certainty	Importance
ECC	•	•	<u>.</u>		•							
1	observational studies	serious <sup>a</sup>	not serious	not serious	not serious	strong association	417	247	-	<b>0</b> (0 to 0 )		CRITICAL
New outcome	9											
									not estimable		-	

#### *Explanations*

a. Socioeconomic status of control and intervention groups was not controlled for. There was also a lack of lack of control for dietary factors (e.g. sugar intake).

#### **Reference:**

Bian JY, Wang WH, Wang WJ, Rong WS, Lo EC. 2003. Effect of fluoridated milk on caries in primary teeth: 21-month results. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 31(4):241-245.

### **Appendix Table 13.** Question 12: Does salt fluoridation reduce the risk of early childhood caries? **Setting**: Population

	Certainty assessment						№ of patients		Effect			
Nº of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Salt fluoridation	unfluoridated salt	Relative (95% Cl)	Absolute (95% CI)	Certainty	Importance
ECC						·						
1	randomised trials	serious <sup>a</sup>	not serious	not serious	not serious	none	304/-	137/-	not estimable			
New outcome	2											
									not estimable		-	

Explanations

- a. This study received a high risk of bias rating due to lack of blinding of the outcome assessors.
- Regarding other considerations: follow-up period was relatively short. Data for pre-cavitated lesions shows higher mean lesions in test compared with control group (i.e. opposite effect as observed for caries into dentine measured by dmft).
- A cohort study\* was identified that fulfilled the inclusion criteria for research question 12 but was not included in the narrative synthesis or GRADE process as it provided lower quality evidence compared to the RCT for addressing this intervention evaluation research question due to its study design. The cohort study indicated a significant protective effect of the use of fluoride salt on caries experience (dmft).

#### **Study reference:**

Jordan RA, Schulte A, Bockelbrink AC, Puetz S, Naumova E, Warn LG, Zimmer S. 2017. Caries-preventive effect of salt fluoridation in preschool children in the Gambia: A prospective, controlled, interventional study. Caries Res. 51(6):596-604.

Appendix Table 14. Summary of lower level evidence

Case control			
Reference	Country	+, 0, - *	Summary of study and findings
Al-Ghanim et al 1998	Saudi Arabia	0	445 children aged 4.13 years, comparing practices in case with dmft $\geq$ 8 those with dmft 0. A higher % of children the ECC group were breastfed for longer duration, be breastfeeding duration was not predictive of ECC in the multivariate logistic regression model.
Cross sectional			
Lida et al 2017	USA	0	1576 children aged 2-5 years. Using data from NHANES the association of breastfeeding and its duration wa examined in bivariate analyses and by multivariable logist and Poisson regression analyses. After adjusting for potenti confounders significant in bivariate analyses, breastfeedin and its duration were not associated with the risk for ECC.
Folayan et al 2015	Nigeria	0	497 children aged 6 – 71 months. Duration of breastfeedir (up to 12 months compared with over 12 months duration was not associated with ECC in multivariate analysis.
Correa-Faria et al 2015	Brazil	0	381 children aged 1-5 years. In bivariate chi square analysi a greater proportion of children breastfed beyond 12 month had ECC. However, duration of breastfeeding was no identified as an independent risk factor in multivaria analysis.
Nobile et al 2014	Italy	+	515 children aged 36-71 months. Prevalence of ECC wa 12.2% in those breastfed 5-10 months compared with 20.1 in those breastfed 11-19 months. Multivariate analys showed prevalence of ECC increased with breastfeedir duration OR 1.26, 96% CI 1.01-1.57) P=0.039.
Bissar et al 2014	Germany	+	1007 children aged 3-5 years. Breastfeeding >12 months wa a significant risk factor for S-ECC in multivariate analys OR 3.27 (1.63, 6.59) p=0.0009.
Olatoshi 2014	Nigeria	+	302 children aged 6-70 months. Odds ratio for ECC with breastfeeding 7-12 months compared with >12 months wa 0.12 (0.05, 0.27) in multivariate analysis – however, it unclear which confounders were controlled for (e.g. ag sugars intake).

Nunes et al 2012; 2014	Brazil	0	Measured ECC in 260 children aged 18-42 months and compared those still being breastfed with those who ceased breastfeeding by 12 months in a low-income population. Analysis adjusted for some known confounders, using a hierarchical approach. Prolonged breast-feeding was not associated with ECC (IDR 1.15; 95%CI 0.84–1.59; P = 0.363).
Al Malik et al 2003	Saudi Arabia	0	Children aged 2-5 years, n= 987. ECC was more prevalent with longer breastfeeding (but confounded by education level). However this association was not found in multivariate analysis
Mattee et al 1994	Tanzania	0	2912 children aged 1 to 4 years. Duration of breastfeeding was non-significant in multivariate analysis but a wide variability in effect was observed OR 2.4 95% CI 0.7, 9.1
	ears increase the risk of EQ	C compai	red with breastfeeding until less than two years of age?
Case control			
Ayhan H 1996		+	161 children aged 2-5 years with ECC compared with 181 children aged 2-5 years without caries. Breastfeeding beyond 2 years was more common in cases (43%) compared with controls (1%). However this observation does not control for confounding.
Q5: Does consumption of liquids that	containing free sugars from	m an infan	t feeding bottle increase the risk of ECC?
Case control			
Ye et al 1999	China	+	Study of 2094 children aged 2-5 years, 404 cases or 'rampant' caries compared with 1690 controls stratified by age. Odds ratio for ECC when sweet liquids were consumed from a bottle 1.71, P=0.002.
Wang et al 2008	China	+	Study of 204 children aged 4 and 5 years, with dmft >6 compared with 237 children that were caries free. Odds ratio for ECC when sweet liquids were consumed in a bottle was 2.25 (logistic Regression), P<0.05.
Q6: Does consumption of complement	ary drinks that contain fr	ee sugars i	ncrease the risk of ECC
Cross sectional	v	0	
Detsomboonrat and Pisarnturakit 201.	5 Thailand	+	Children aged 9-18 months, N=151. Hierarchial multiple regression was used to determine factors predictive of dental caries. Frequency of drinking sweeting milk was a significant predictor, $\beta$ =17-0.18, P<0.005.

Warran et al 2016	USA	+	American Indian Children (n=232) aged 36 months followed from birth. The relationship between dental caries (dmft) at 36 months and intake of sugars-containing drinks at 36 months was explored in logistic regression. Analysis identified higher added sugar beverage consumption as a significant risk factor for dmft (p<0.05).
Hoffmeister et al 2016	Chile	+	Children aged 2-4 years in southern Chile. Zero inflated negative binomial regression model was used to determine the factors associated with dental caries. In the 4 year old age group, a high frequency of consuming sugar containing drinks at bedtime was associated with increased ECC (OR 1.30) 1.06, 1.59).
Q8: Does oral hygiene provided by a	a parent/carer reduce the	risk of early c	hildhood caries
Quasi avnorimental			
Quasi-experimental Manowiec 2003	Poland		A study of 4-6 year old children. Two models of supervised
			tooth brushing: brushing supervised by teachers and parents and brushing supervised by teacher only with a control group not supervised. The dft values differed between groups at baseline and were 6.53, 4.5 and 5.4 for control, teachers and parent supervision and teacher only. The increases in dft were 1.27 for the control group and 0.95, 0.13 for the parent/teacher and teacher only intervention groups respectively. Difference between groups at baseline, or other confounders, did not appear to be accounted for in analysis.
Q9: Is oral health education for care	e-givers' effective for prev	enting early o	childhood caries?
Cohort Wagner et al 2012	Austria	-	A case-cohort study of 5 year old children whose mothers had (intervention) or had not (control) participated in a one off oral health education programme following the child's birth. At 5 years 33.2% of the intervention group had caries (d <sub>3</sub> 4mfs 7.4) compared with 42.6% of the control group (d <sub>3</sub> 4mfs 6.4).
Da Silva et al 2013	Brazil	-	Mothers with babies aged 0-8 months at baseline, n=112. Followed up for one year following educational lectures (oral hygiene dietary practices). The educational intervention resulted in a decrease in the percent of caries in dental surfaces. Initially 5.6% of surfaces had white spot or

			cavities. This decreased to 0.4% after one year (NB the number of surfaces increased as teeth erupted).
10: Does an optimum concentration of	fluoride in water reduce	e the risk o	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ross sectional			•
Beal and James 1971	England	-	Caries levels of 5 year olds residing in fluoridated areas compared with non-fluoridated area, 5.5 years after the introduction of water fluoridation,.n=2280. Before water fluoridation the % of children who were caries free (and % with def >10) were 8.9 (30.4) and 28.6 (18.1) for two areas to receive fluoridation and 16.1 (12.0) for a control area. Following 5.5 years of water fluoridation these values changed to: 47.0 (def >10, 1.5%) and 41.2 (def >10 4.9%) and for the control area, 24.1 (def >10 20.1).
McInnes et al 1982	S. Africa	_	331 children aged 1-5 years living in areas with water fluoride at 2.2-4.0 mg/l had on average dmft $0.8 \pm 2.1$ and 82% were caries free (51% had enamel opacities). 177 children aged 1-5 years living in non-fluoridated areas had an average dmft of 5.4 9±/_ 5.8) and 28% were caries free, none had opacities.
Gu et al 1989	China	-	Measured dental caries in children aged 3-6 years, 31 and 52 months after stopping water fluoridation. Caries significantly increased in the 3 year old group but not in the 4-6 year old children who were born during the water fluoridation period.
Seaman et al 1989	UK (Wales)	-	5 year old children attending schools in fluoridated and non- fluoridated areas of Wales, UK. For fluoridated areas mean dmft was 0.8 (+/- 1.43) for non-fluoridated it was 2.26 (+/- 1.46).
Treasure and Dever 1991	New Zealand	-	345 5 year old children. Significantly lower dmft in those residing in fluoridated compared with non-fluoridated communities. The average dmft for fluoridated areas were 1.08 (=/- 1.64) and 1.03 (+/- 1.86) and for non-fluoridated communities the average dmft were 2.0 (+/- 2.93) and 2.91 (=/- 2.82). In non-fluoridated communities there was a clear social gradient in caries levels that was not observed for fluoridated communities.
Vignarajan and Williams 1992	Antigua	-	3-4 year old children attending nursery schools, 146 from a low water fluoride concentration area (0.1-0.3 ppm) and 66 from an optimum fluoride area (0.6-1.0 ppm). Caries

			experience in the low fluoride area was 29% higher than in optimum area. Average dmft values were 0.9 (=/- 2.29) and 0.64 (+/- 1.65) for children from low and optimally fluoridated areas respectively.
Serwint et al 1993	USA	-	Convenience sample of 110 sequential children aged 18-36 months attending a general paediatric clinic. 27% of those with caries drank fluoridated tap water compared with 54% of those without caries. P<0.05.
Cisternas et al 1994	Chile	-	780 pre-school children from cities in Chile. Children from non-fluoridated areas had dmft of $4.7 +/- 3.9$ and $4.7 +/- 3.7$ and those from fluoridated areas had dmft $3.7 =/- 3.5$ and $1.2 +/- 2.0$ .
Gray and Slowick 2001	UK (England)	-	Used data from national dental surveys to observe change in the percentage of 5 year olds without dental caries before and following the introduction of water fluoridation. In the areas where water fluoride was introduced the prevalence of caries free children increased whereas in non-fluoridated areas it decreased or remained the same.
Tickle et al 2003	UK (England)	-	All 5 year old children residing in fluoridated and non- fluoridated areas of Cheshire, England. Prevalence of ECC was 12.4% higher and dmft 29.4% higher in children from non-fluoridated areas. For non-fluoridated areas prevalence of ECC was 37% and mean dmft 1.34. For fluoridated areas prevalence was 32.4 and mean dmft 1.01. Analysis demonstrated that water fluoridation was effective in reducing ECC after controlling for confounding including SES.
Postma et al 2008	S. Africa	-	Data from national oral health survey of children aged 36-71 months, n=5822. Factors associated with ECC were explored in multivariate analysis. Area based fluoride level was included. Decreased water fluoride concentration was significantly associated with ECC.
Chi et al 2013	USA	-	Pilot study of 115 children aged 3-5 years to explore if developmental delays increased risk of dmfs. Multiple variable Poisson regressions models were used to test the factors associated with risk of dmfs. Living in a non- fluoridated community was associated with increased caries risk.

Cross sectional			
Marino et al 2001 and 2004	Chile	-	Cross sectional sample of children aged 3-6 years from communities receiving fluoridated milk (n=152) compare with control (n=150). After 4 years of the milk fluoridation programme the proportion of caries free children in the study community increased from 22% to 48.4%. Following termination of the fluoride milk scheme, dent caries levels in children aged 3, 4, and 5 years increased to levels similar to the control group.
Q12: Does salt fluoridation reduce t	he risk ECC?	·	
Cohort study			
Wagner et al 2012	Austria	_	A case-cohort study of 5 year old children whose mother had (intervention) or had not (control) participated in a or off oral health education programme following the child birth. Analysis of data for total sample of the 471 childred showed lower dmft in those that used fluoridated sa average dmft was 1.81 compared with 2.22 in those usin non fluoridated salt (p=0.015).

\* '+' denotes a positive association, '0' denotes a null association and '-'denotes a negative association between risk factor and risk of ECC. **References** 

Al-Malik MI, Holt RD, Bedi R. 2003. Prevalence and patterns of caries, rampant caries, and oral health in two- to five-year-old children in Saudi Arabia. Journal of Dentistry for Children (Chicago, III). 70(3):235-242.

Al Ghanim NA, Adenubi JO, Wyne AA, Khan NB. 1998. Caries prediction model in pre-school children in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. International Journal of Paediatric Dentistry. 8(2):115-122.

Vignarajah S, Williams GA. Prevalence of dental caries and enamel defects in the primary dentition of Antiguan pre-school children aged 3-4 years including an assessment of their habits. 1992. Community dental health. 9(4):349-60.

Ayhan H. 1996. Influencing factors of nursing caries. Journal of Clinical Pediatric Dentistry. 20(4):313-316.

Beal JF, James PM. 1971. Dental caries prevalence in 5-year-old children following five and a half years of water fluoridation in Birmingham. Brit Dent J.. 130(7):284-288.

Bissar A, Schiller P, Wolff A, Niekusch U, Schulte AG. 2014. Factors contributing to severe early childhood caries in south-west Germany. Clin Oral Investig. 18(5):1411-1418.

Chi DL, Rossitch KC, Beeles EM. 2013. Developmental delays and dental caries in low-income preschoolers in the USA: A pilot cross-sectional study and preliminary explanatory model. BMC Oral Health. 13:53.

Cisternas P, Guerrero S, Morales A, Uauy R. 1994. Dietary ingestion of fluoride and caries prevalence in preschool and school children in cities with different fluoride content in the drinking water and diet. Revista Medica de Chile. 122(4):459-464.

Correa-Faria P, Paixao-Goncalves S, Paiva SM, Pordeus IA. 2016. Incidence of dental caries in primary dentition and risk factors: A longitudinal study. Braz Oral Res. 30(1).

da Silva RA, Noia NB, Goncalves LM, Pinho JR, da Cruz MC. 2013. Assessment of mothers' participation in a program of prevention and control of caries and periodontal diseases for infants. Revista Paulista de Pediatria. 31(1):83-89.

Detsomboonrat P, Pisarnturakit PP. 2015. Dental caries and related oral health factors among 9 to 18 month old Thai children. Southeast Asian Journal of Tropical Medicine & Public Health. 46(4):786-797.

Folayan MO, Kolawole KA, Oziegbe EO, Oyedele T, Oshomoji OV, Chukwumah NM, Onyejaka N. 2015. Prevalence, and early childhood caries risk indicators in preschool children in suburban Nigeria. BMC Oral Health. 15:72.

Gray MM, Davies-Slowik J. 2001. Changes in the percentage of 5-year-old children with no experience of decay in Dudley towns since the implementation of fluoridation schemes in 1987. Brit Dent J. 190(1):30-32.

Gu XS, Shen YM. 1989. Effects of stopping water fluoridation on prevalence of dental caries in children. Chinese Journal of Preventive Medicine. 23(6):346-348.

Hoffmeister L, Moya P, Vidal C, Benadof D. 2016. Factors associated with early childhood caries in Chile. Gac Sanit. 30(1):59-62.

Iida H, Auinger P, Billings RJ, Weitzman M. 2007. Association between infant breastfeeding and early childhood caries in the United States. Pediatrics. 120(4):e944-952.

Manowiec J. 2003. Evaluation of caries prevention programmes in preschool children. Annales Academiae Medicae Stetinensis. 49:303-320.

Marino R, Villa A, Guerrero S. 2001. A community trial of fluoridated powdered milk in Chile. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 29(6):435-442.

Marino RJ, Villa AE, Weitz A, Guerrero S. 2004. Caries prevalence in a rural Chilean community after cessation of a powdered milk fluoridation program. J Public Health Dent. 64(2):101-105.

Matee M, van't Hof M, Maselle S, Mikx F, van Palenstein Helderman W. 1994. Nursing caries, linear hypoplasia, and nursing and weaning habits in Tanzanian infants. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 22(5):289-293.

McInnes PM, Richardson BD, Cleaton-Jones PE. 1982. Comparison of dental fluorosis and caries in primary teeth of preschool-children living in arid high and low fluoride villages. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 10(4):182-186.

Nobile CG, Fortunato L, Bianco A, Pileggi C, Pavia M. 2014. Pattern and severity of early childhood caries in southern Italy: A preschool-based cross-sectional study. BMC Public Health. 14:206.

Nunes AM, Alves CM, Borba de Araujo F, Ortiz TM, Ribeiro MR, Silva AA, Ribeiro CC. 2012. Association between prolonged breast-feeding and early childhood caries: A hierarchical approach. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 40(6):542-549.

Nunes AM, da Silva AA, Alves CM, Hugo FN, Ribeiro CC. 2014. Factors underlying the polarization of early childhood caries within a high-risk population. BMC Public Health. 14:988.

Olatosi OO, Sote EO. 2014. Association of early childhood caries with breastfeeding and bottle feeding in southwestern Nigerian children of preschool age. J West Afr Coll Surg. 4(1):31-53.

Postma TC, Ayo-Yusuf OA, van Wyk PJ. 2008. Socio-demographic correlates of early childhood caries prevalence and severity in a developing country--South Africa. Int Dent J. 58(2):91-97.

Seaman S, Thomas FD, Walker WA. 1989. Differences between caries levels in 5-year-old children from fluoridated Anglesey and non-fluoridated mainland Gwynedd in 1987. Community Dent Health. 6(3):215-221.

Serwint JR, Mungo R, Negrete VF, Duggan AK, Korsch BM. 1993. Child-rearing practices and nursing caries. Pediatrics. 92(2):233-237.

Tickle M, Milsom KM, Jenner TM, Blinkhorn AS. 2003. The geodemographic distribution of caries experience in neighboring fluoridated and nonfluoridated populations. J Public Health Dent. 63(2):92-98.

Treasure ET, Dever JG. 1991. The prevalence of caries in 5-year-old children living in fluoridated and non-fluoridated communities in New Zealand. New Zealand Dental Journal. 88(391):9-13.

Wagner Y, Greiner S, Heinrich-Weltzien R. 2014. Evaluation of an oral health promotion program at the time of birth on dental caries in 5-year-old children in Vorarlberg, Austria. Community Dentistry & Oral Epidemiology. 42(2):160-169.

Wang WH, Wang WJ. 2008. Caries-related factors for preschool children. Chinese journal of stomatology. 43(2):105-106.

Warren JJ, Blanchette D, Dawson DV, Marshall TA, Phipps KR, Starr D, Drake DR. 2016. Factors associated with dental caries in a group of american indian children at age 36 months. Community Dentistry & Oral Epidemiology. 44(2):154-161.

Ye W, Feng XP, Liu YL. 1999. Epidemiological study of the risk factors of rampant caries in shanghai children. Chinese Journal of Dental Research. 2(2):58-62.