**Supplementary Table 1**

*Descriptive statistics and correlation between all five moral foundations, intolerance of ambiguity and generalized prejudice*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *α* |  *M* | *SD* | 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. | 7. | 8. | 9. | 10. | 11. |
| **Moral foundations** | .76 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Care
 | .65 | 4.56 | 0.85 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Fairness
 | .70 | 4.51 | 0.84 |  .55\*\*\* | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Loyalty
 | .58 | 3.16 | 0.80 |  .11\* |  .03 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Authority
 | .66 | 3.06 | 0.88 |  -.08 | -.19\*\*\* |  .48\*\*\* | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Sanctity
 | .66 | 2.20 | 0.78 |  .08 |  -.04 |  .43\*\*\* |  .52\*\*\* | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Intolerance ambiguity** | .80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Discomfort ambiguity
 | .85 | 3.66 | 1.22 |  .04 |  .14\*\* |  .04 |  .03 |  .12\* | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Moral absolutism
 | .82 | 2.70 | 1.02 |  -.21\*\*\* |  -.17\*\*\* |  .29\*\*\* |  .50\*\*\* |  .42\*\*\* |  .20\*\*\* | - |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Need for complexity
 | .77 | 4.16 |  .95 |  .08 |  .16\*\* | -.06 | -.27\*\*\* | -.17\*\*\* |  .16\*\* | -.08 | - |  |  |  |
| **Generalized prejudice** | .81 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Derogated groups
 | .85 | 3.83 | 0.88 |  -.24\*\*\* |  -.20\*\*\* |  .08 |  .22\*\*\* |  .18\*\*\* |  .15\*\* | .22\*\*\* | -.09 | - |  |  |
| 1. Dangerous groups
 | .66 | 6.05 | 0.71 |  .11\* |  -.02 |  .12\* |  .22\*\*\* |  .15\*\* |  .08 | .06 | -.10\* |  .09 | - |  |
| 1. Dissident groups
 | .70 | 2.86 | 1.31 |  -.30\*\*\* |  -.37\*\*\* |  .18\*\*\* |  .46\*\*\* |  .23\*\*\* | -.07 | .31\*\*\* | -.19\*\*\* |  .44\*\*\* | -.02 | - |

*Note*. α = Cronbach’s alpha reliability, \*\*\* *p* < .001, \*\* *p* < .01, \* *p* < .05

**Supplementary Table 2**

*Intolerance of ambiguity factors: item parceling*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  |  |
| Item | Parcel | Item-total correlation |
| **Discomfort with ambiguity** |  |  |
| I get pretty anxious when I’m in a social situation involving me which I have little control of. | x1 | .70 |
| It intensely disturbs me when I am uncertain of how my actions will affect others. | x1 | .37 |
| I am just a little uncomfortable with people unless I feel that I can understand their behavior. | x2 | .63 |
| If I don’t get the punch line of a joke, I don’t feel right until I understand it. | x2 | .37 |
| It bothers me when I don’t know how other people react to me. | x3 | .62 |
| I don’t feel comfortable with people until I can find out something about them. | x3 | .49 |
| If I am uncertain about the responsibilities of a job, I get very anxious. | x3 | .53 |
| **Moral absolutism** |  |  |
| There’s a right way and a wrong way to do almost everything. | x4 | .63 |
| Our thinking would be a lot better off if we would just forget about words like “probably,” “approximately,” “perhaps.” | x4 | .44 |
| You can classify almost all people as either honest or crooked. | x5 | .63 |
| A person either knows the answer to a question or he doesn’t. | x5 | .49 |
| There are two kinds of people: the “good” and the “bad. | x6 | .57 |
| There are two kinds of people in the world: the weak and the strong. | x6 | .54 |
| A person is either a 100% patriotic or he isn’t. | x6 | .56 |
| **Need for complexity** |  |  |
| I enjoy tackling problems which are complex enough to be ambiguous. | x7 | .61 |
| Vague and impressionistic pictures appeal to me more than realistic pictures. | x7 | .26 |
| Some problems are so complex that just trying to understand them is fun. | x8 | .59 |
| I tend to like obscure or hidden symbolism. | x8 | .39 |
| I pursue problem situations which are so complex some people call them “mind boggling.” | x9 | .52 |
| It is more fun to tackle a complicated problem than to solve a simple one. | x9 | .44 |
| I’m drawn to situations which can be interpreted in more than one way”. | x9 | .44 |

**Supplementary Table 3**

*Prejudice against derogated, dangerous, and dissident groups: item parceling*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  |  |
| Item | Parcel | Item-total correlation |
| **Derogated groups** |  |  |
| People who just seem to be losers | x10 | .63 |
| Physically unattractive people | x10 | .61 |
| Mentally handicapped people | x10 | .56 |
| Prostitutes | x10 | .35 |
| Obese people | x11 | .62 |
| Unemployed people | x11 | .61 |
| Drug users | x11 | .51 |
| Psychiatric patients | x12 | .62 |
| People who in appearance or performance just do not make the grade | x12 | .58 |
| Muslims | x12 | .48 |
| **Dangerous groups** |  |  |
| People who make our society dangerous for others | x13 | .55 |
| People who behave in immoral ways | x13 | .34 |
| People who disrupt safety and security in our society | x14 | .51 |
| Gang members | x14 | .34 |
| People who cause disagreement in our society | x15 | .37 |
| Violent people | x15 | .34 |
| **Dissident groups** |  |  |
| Feminists | x16 | .62 |
| LGBT activists | x17 | .65 |
| Protestors | x18 | .33 |

**Supplementary Table 4**

*Direct, indirect and total effects (unstandardized effects with bias-corrected 95% bootstrap confidence intervals) for Model 1 with intolerance of ambiguity as mediator of the relation between moral foundations and generalized prejudice against dissident, dangerous, and derogated groups*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Independent variable:Dependent variable: | Binding intuitionsDissident groups | Individualizing intuitionsDissident groups | Binding intuitionsDangerous groups | Individualizing intuitionsDangerous groups | Binding intuitionsDerogated groups | Individualizing intuitionsDerogated groups |
| Direct effect |  .55[.30, .88]\*\*\* | -.44[-.69, -.26]\*\*\* |  .53[.28, .83]\*\*\* |  Not estimated  |  .36[.08, .69]\* | -.42[-.61, -.25]\*\*\* |
| Total effect |  .53[.35, .76]\*\*\* | -.46[-.68, -.29]\*\*\* |  .33[.13, .54]\*\*\* | .09[.04, .19]\*\* |  .36[.20, .53]\*\*\* | -.38[-.54, -.25]\*\*\* |
| Total indirect effect | -.01[-.20, .13] | -.01[-.07, .06] | -.20[-.42, -.04]\* | .09[.04, .19]\*\* |  .00[-.25, .21]  |   .04[-.05, .15] |
| Indirect effect through moral absolutism |  .02[-.14, .14]  | -.01[-.05, .05] | -.21[-.42, -.07]\*\* | .08[.03, .17]\*\* | -.02[-.22, .16]  |   .01[-.06, .10] |
| Indirect effect through discomfort with ambiguity | -.01[-.040, .00]  | -.01[-.05, .00]# |  .01[-.00, .05]  | .01[-.00, .06]  |  .02[-.01, .07]  |   .03[-.00, .10] |
| Indirect effect through need for complexity | -.03[-.09, .01]  |   .01[-.00, .04] |  .00[-.05, .07]  | .00[-.03, .02]  | -.00[-.08, .06]  |   .00[-.02, .03] |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*Note.* \*\*\* *p* < .001, \*\* *p* < .01, \* *p* < .05, # *p* < .10.

**Supplementary Table 5**

*Direct, indirect and total effects (unstandardized effects with bias-corrected 95% bootstrap confidence intervals) for Model 2 with moral foundations as mediators of the relation between intolerance of ambiguity and generalized prejudice against dissident, dangerous, and derogated groups*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Independent variable:Dependent variable: | Discomfort with ambiguityDissident groups | Moral absolutismDissident groups | Need for complexityDissident groups | Discomfort with ambiguityDangerous groups | Moral absolutismDangerous groups | Need for complexityDangerous groups | Discomfort with ambiguityDerogated groups | Moral absolutismDerogated groups | Need for complexityDerogated groups |
| Direct effect | Not estimated | Not estimated | Not estimated | Not estimated | -.17[-.37, -.02]\* | Not estimated |  .13[.06, .22]\*\*\* | Not estimated | Not estimated |
| Total effect | Not estimated | Not estimated | Not estimated | Not estimated | -.01[-.14, .09] | Not estimated |  .10[.02, .18]\* | Not estimated | Not estimated |
| Total indirect effect | -.04[-.10, .01] |  .31[.21, .44]\*\*\* | -.12[-.20, -.06]\*\*\* |  .02[-.02, .06] |  .16[.05, .31]\*\* | -.08[-.16, -.03]\*\* | -.04[-.08, .00]# |  .22[.15, .30]\*\*\* | -.08[-.14, -.03]\*\* |
| Indirect effect through binding intuitions |  .11[-.02, .05] |  .20[.12, .29]\*\*\* | -.09[-.16, -.05]\*\*\* |  .01[-.02, .05] |  .18[.09, .31]\*\*\* | -.09[-.16, -.04]\*\*\* |  .01[-.01, .03] |  .12[.06, .19]\*\*\* | -.06[-.10, -.03]\*\* |
| Indirect effect through individualizing intuitions | -.05[-.10, -.01]\* |  .12[.07, .20]\*\*\* | -.03[-.08, .02] |  .01[-.01, .04] | -.02[-.07, .02] |  .00[-.00, .03] | -.04[-.09, -.01]\* |  .10[.06, .17]\*\*\* | -.02[-.07, .02] |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*Note.* \*\*\* *p* < .001, \*\* *p* < .01, \* *p* < .05, # *p* < .10.