Table 4. Characteristics of Included Studies

Citation, Year, Country	Design/Method	Sample Information
Allen et al., 2011, Australia	Qualitative; semi-structured interviews	Healthy cohort of participants (n=24) in actual biobank
Barr, 2006, England	Qualitative; semi-structured interviews	Female patients (n=43) who donated to actual biobank
Beskow et al., 2016, U.S.	National online survey; randomized experiment	Hypothetical decision-makers (n=1916)
Beskow et al., 2008, U.S.	Qualitative; cognitive interviews	Hypothetical decision-makers (n-40)
Bickmore et al., 2009, U.S.	Randomized experiment using BICEP	Hypothetical decision-makers (n=29)
Busby, 2004, England	Qualitative/ interviews	Donors (n=27) to an actual genetic research project
Cervo et al., 2013, Italy	Descriptive; self- administered questionnaire	Patients (n=430) enrolled in actual biobank studies
Dixon-Woods et al., 2007,	Qualitative; semi-structured interviews	Healthy volunteers (n=29) in an actual genetic study
England		
Ducournau et al., 2009,	Qualitative; observation & interviews	Men (n=60) offered a check-up & asked to participate in
France		actual biobank
Hoeyer, 2003,	Qualitative; observation & interviews	Donors and refusers (n=29) recruited as participants in
Sweden		actual program offering check -ups
Joseph et al., 2008, U.S.	Survey; verbally administered, in-person	Female donors and refusers (n=93) to an actual biobank

Citation, Year, Country	Design/Method	Sample Information
Klima et al., 2014, U.S.	Survey; mailed, self- administered QuIC	Parents (n=252) who actually enrolled their children to
		participate in congenital cardiovascular malformation
		research that included biobanking
Mahnke et al., 2014, U.S.	Proof of concept study testing hypothetical	Community members (n=9) representative of potential
	computer-based consent	biobank participants
Mancini et al., 2011,	Mailed, self-administered, 12- page questionnaire	Patients (n=574) treated for cancer and actually asked to
France		donate tumor samples for research
Marshall et al., 2006,	Qualitative & Quantitative; survey & interviews	Clinic patients and controls (n=655) actually enrolled in
Nigeria & U.S.		genetic hypertension study in Nigeria & U.S.
Marshall et al., 2014,	Qualitative & Quantitative; survey & interviews	Female cases and controls (n=215) enrolled in an actual
Nigeria		genetic epidemiological study on breast cancer
Matsui et al., 2012, Japan	Intervention study; add-on cluster, randomized	Patients (n=336) actually consenting to genetic cohort
	controlled trial	study
Matsui et al., 2007, Japan	Descriptive study of intervention using a 2-	Patients (n=2192) being asked to participate in actual
	question, in-person questionnaire	genetic cohort study

Citation, Year, Country	Design/Method	Sample Information
McCarty et al., 2015, U.S.	Randomized controlled trial; mailed, self-	Men with prostate cancer (n=71) willing to enroll in
	administered QuIC	actual biobank
McCarty et al., 2008, U.S.	Qualitative; focus group (Focus Group Series 3)	Potentially eligible biobank subjects (n=21)
McCarty et al., 2007, U.S.	Mailed, self- administered QuIC	Random sample of actual biobank participants (n=924)
McCaughey et al., 2016,	Retrospective survey: mailed/ e-mailed, 35-item	Patients and controls (n=141) who actually donated a
Australia	questionnaire with 14 questions re: understanding	biospecimen for ophthalmic research
McGraw et al., 2012, U.S.	Qualitative; cognitive interviews evaluating	Patients and community members (n=43) making
	written versus video informed consent	hypothetical biobanking decision
Merz & Sankar, 1998, U.S.	Descriptive survey	Prospective jurors (n=99) making hypothetical decision
Moutel et al., 2001, France	Self-administered questionnaire	Patients (n=51) enrolled in actual biobanking study
Ormond et al., 2009, U.S.	Qualitative & Quantitative; interviews & QuIC	Actual biobank participants (n=200)
Panoyan et al., 2008, U.S.	Survey; self-administered questionnaire	Participants (n=151) in actual genetic study
Petersen et al., 2014	Self-administered questionnaire	Female breast cancer patients in Belgium (n=152),
Belgium, Germany, & UK		Germany (n=122), and UK (n=122)
Rahm et al., 2013, U.S.	Self-administered questionnaire	Donors and refusers (n=203) to hypothetical biobank

Design/Method	Sample Information
Randomized trial; interview & questionnaire	Individuals (n=229) recruited into actual studies
14 question quiz or semi-structured interview	Research volunteers and patients (n=480) being asked to
(self-rated understanding only)	enroll in actual biobank
Intervention study; experimental post-test only;	Visitors (n=134) in waiting rooms; hypothetical decision
in person, self-administered questionnaire	to donate biospecimen of family member
2 x2 experimental design; prospective	Patients (n=200) approached for enrollment into an
randomized study /online survey, QuIC	actual biobank
Mailed, self-administered survey	Participants (n=99) recruited from a twin registry and
	radio ads for actual genetic study
	Randomized trial; interview & questionnaire 14 question quiz or semi-structured interview (self-rated understanding only) Intervention study; experimental post-test only; in person, self-administered questionnaire 2 x2 experimental design; prospective randomized study /online survey, QuIC

BICEP=Brief Informed Consent Evaluation Protocol instrument; QuIC= Quality of Informed Consent instrument