

Online Appendix A

NYS AFL-CIO/Cornell Union Leadership Institute

Six core Union Leadership Institute principles

1. Union leadership is “bringing people together to make important things happen that wouldn’t happen otherwise.” This is the Institute’s working definition of leadership. Leadership is about *making things happen*. If something important to the organization can easily happen, then there isn’t a need for leadership. Developing one’s leadership is precisely developing one’s capacity to *make things happen*.
2. High-impact union leadership is driven by the pursuit of core union values, including empowering and giving voice to workers (in their workplace, in their union, and in the larger society); promoting inclusiveness and respect for differences; building broad solidarity among workers, their unions, and their allies; and achieving social and economic justice for all.
3. Your main tools of leadership are your use of self and your relational effectiveness (emotional intelligence). The effective use of self requires self-awareness (including the capacity to see yourself in the results you get, being aware of one’s strengths and limitations, and self-confidence) and self-management (including the exercise of self-control, adaptability, initiative, and integrity). Relational effectiveness requires empathy and the awareness of others and is demonstrated in your ability to inspire, influence, and develop others, as well as managing conflict and engaging in teamwork. To transform our unions, our workplaces, our communities, and our world, we must transform ourselves.
4. Strategic thinking is a fundamental leadership discipline requiring:
 - *Clarity of purpose* about what your organization is seeking to achieve;
 - An *understanding of power*, how to build it, and how to leverage it; and
 - the exercise of *strategic choice*, which is the discipline and ability to use every day-to-day decisions to build your organization’s internal capacity or build your external strategic leverage to achieve your purpose.

5. Effective leaders need to see far and wide, understanding their leadership context. Leaders need to have a curiosity and a sense of the context in which they are exercising leadership, in terms of what is happening in their union, their industry, the larger labor movement, the larger society, and the world.
6. The decision to be an effective union leader requires a commitment to lifelong learning. This commitment involves self-reflection, critical self-assessment, critical thinking, learning how to learn, and fostering your openness to new possibilities.

Online Appendix B

Demographics

NYS AFL-CIO/Cornell Union Leadership Institute 2001–2018.

| Class year | Male | Female | Under | | | | Black/ non- Latino | | Asian/Pacific Islander/Middle Eastern | | Total grads | Race/ Ethnicity% non-White | | Graduation rate ^a | |
|------------------------|------|--------|-------|------------|-------|----------------------|---|--|---|---------------|----------------|----------------------------------|----|---------------------------------|--|
| | | | 45 | Over 45 | White | Latino/ Caribbean | Asian/Pacific Islander/Middle Eastern | | | % Under 45 | % Female | 45 | 59 | 41 | |
| 2003 | 13 | 9 | 13 | 9 | 12 | 7 | 3 | | | 22 | 45 | 59 | 41 | 92% | |
| 2004 | 14 | 5 | 13 | 6 | 11 | 2 | 6 | | | 19 | 42 | 68 | 26 | 95% | |
| 2005 | 15 | 5 | 12 | 8 | 13 | 3 | 4 | | | 20 | 35 | 60 | 25 | 95% | |
| 2006 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 13 | 3 | 3 | | | 19 | 32 | 53 | 53 | 95% | |
| 2006 July ^b | 16 | 5 | 14 | 7 | 17 | 1 | 3 | | | 21 | 19 | 67 | 24 | 88% | |
| 2007 | 20 | 14 | 19 | 15 | 18 | 10 | 6 | | | 34 | 47 | 56 | 41 | 83% | |
| 2008 | 17 | 15 | 9 | 23 | 17 | 11 | 4 | | | 32 | 47 | 28 | 47 | 100% | |
| 2009 | 23 | 8 | 14 | 17 | 12 | 16 | 2 | | | 31 | 61 | 45 | 26 | 94% | |
| 2010 | 13 | 9 | 14 | 8 | 9 | 6 | 7 | | | 22 | 59 | 64 | 41 | 92% | |
| Subtotal 2003–2010 | 140 | 80 | 118 | 102 | 122 | 59 | 38 | | | 220 | 45 | 54 | 36 | 92.6% avg. | |
| 2011 | 17 | 12 | 20 | 9 | 15 | 11 | 3 | | | 29 | 48 | 69 | 41 | 82% | |
| 2012 | 14 | 12 | 17 | 9 | 13 | 10 | 3 | | | 26 | 50 | 65 | 46 | 96% | |
| 2013 | 12 | 15 | 18 | 9 | 14 | 6 | 6 | | | 27 | 48 | 67 | 56 | 93% | |
| 2014 | 11 | 15 | 12 | 14 | 7 | 11 | 7 | | | 26 | 73 | 46 | 58 | 90% | |
| 2015 | 15 | 5 | 12 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 6 | | | 20 | 60 | 60 | 25 | 95% | |

(continued)

(continued)

| Class year | | | | | | | Race/ Ethnicity% | | | Graduation rate ^a | |
|-------------|------|--------|----------|---------|-------|----------------------|---|----------------|-----------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|
| | Male | Female | Under 45 | Over 45 | White | Black/ non-Latino | Asian/Pacific Islander/Middle Eastern | Total grads | non-White | Under 45 | % Female |
| 2016 | 18 | 12 | 17 | 13 | 11 | 13 | 6 | 30 | 63 | 57 | 40 |
| Subtotal | 86 | 69 | 93 | 62 | 66 | 56 | 31 | 152 | 57 | 61 | 45 |
| 2011–2016 | | | | | | | | | | | 97% 92.2% |
| 2017 | 13 | 13 | 22 | 8 | 10 | 11 | 6 | 3 | 26 | 62 | 85 |
| 2018 | 16 | 17 | 24 | 9 | 13 | 11 | 8 | 1 | (pending) | 61 | 73 |
| Subtotal | 29 | 30 | 46 | 17 | 23 | 22 | 14 | 4 | 59 | 61 | 78 |
| 2017–2018 | | | | | | | | | | | 93% (2017 data only) |
| Grand total | 256 | 179 | 257 | 181 | 211 | 137 | 83 | 7 | 429 | 50.8 | 59.9 |
| | | | | | | | | | | 41.7 | 92.5 |

Note. Average cohort size: 26.

The demographics are based on participants who graduated, not including those who started the program and did not complete it. Trends in age, gender, and diversity.

Change from 2003–2010 (nine classes) percentages to 2011–2018 (eight classes) percentages:

- 10.6% increase in women
- 12.2% increase in participants younger than 45 years
- 11.2% increase in participants of color

^aGraduation rates are based on the percentage of participants who completed the program compared with the total entering. Drop-off and failure to complete is usually due to personal or family issues. Occasionally, a participant loses his or her position in the union and is withdrawn from the program by the sponsor.

Participants have an additional 12 months to complete the program if they do not graduate with their cohort. These figures represent people in the entering class of that year who actually graduated, though some (usually 1–3 per class) do take advantage of the additional time and graduate with the next cohort. Class of 2018 data is for people currently in the program who will graduate in July of 2018.

^bFirst class entered June 2001 and graduated in May 2003. From 2001 to 2006, the program consisted of a 2-year curriculum. In 2006, we transitioned into a 1-year program with a condensed (not shortened) curriculum. We graduated the first 1-year class in July of 2006 (our fifth class to finish the program), and since 2006, the program has been a 1-year program.

Data compiled and updated May 2018 by Institute staff.