

Appendix 1:

Search Strategy – MEDLINE – Run 1/5/2017

Medline

1. exp Elbow/
2. elbow.tw.
3. exp Elbow joint/
4. exp Tennis Elbow/
5. epicondylitis.tw.
6. common extensor origin.tw.
7. epicondylalgia.tw.
8. 1 or 2 or 3 or 4 or 5 or 6 or 7
9. exp "Outcome Assessment (Health Care)"/
10. (Outcome? adj2 assessment).tw.
11. patient reported outcome?.tw.
12. outcome? measure?.tw.
13. exp health status/
14. health status.tw.
15. exp "quality of life"/
16. quality of life.tw.
17. (QL or QoL or HRQL or HRQoL).tw.
18. (function* adj2 (status or psychological or mental or physical or social)).tw.
19. disabilit*.tw.
20. exp "Activities of Daily Living"/
21. activities of daily living.tw.
22. (wellbeing or well being).tw.
23. exp happiness/
24. (happi* or happy).tw.
25. 9 or 10 or 11 or 12 or 13 or 14 or 15 or 16 or 17 or 18 or 19 or 20 or 21 or 22 or 23 or 24
26. assessment.tw.
27. index.tw.
28. indices.tw.
29. instrument?.tw.
30. measure?.tw.
31. profile?.tw.
32. rating?.tw.
33. report*.tw.
34. scale?.tw.
35. schedul*.tw.
36. scor*.tw.
37. exp health surveys/
38. survey?.tw.
39. 26 or 27 or 28 or 29 or 30 or 31 or 32 or 33 or 34 or 35 or 36 or 37 or 38
40. (symptom? adj2 (assessment or index or indices or instrument? or measure? or profile? or rating? or report* or scale? or schedule? or scor* or survey?)).tw.
41. 25 or 40
42. exp Self-Assessment/
43. self-assess*.tw.
44. exp Questionnaires/
45. questionnaire?.tw.
46. self report*.tw.
47. 42 or 43 or 44 or 45 or 46
48. (Validation Studies or Comparative Study).pt. or exp psychometrics/ or psychometr*.tw. or clinimetr*.tw. or clinometr*.tw. or exp observer variation/ or observer variation.tw. or exp Health Status Indicators/ or exp reproducibility of results/ or reproducib*.tw. or exp discriminant analysis/ or reliab*.tw. or unreliab*.tw. or valid*.tw. or coefficient.tw. or homogeneity.tw. or homogeneous.tw. or internal consistency.tw. or (cronbach* and (alpha or alphas)).tw. or (item and (correlation* or selection* or reduction*)).tw. or agreement.tw. or precision.tw. or imprecision.tw. or precise values.tw. or test-retest.tw. or (test and retest).tw. or (reliab* and (test or retest)).tw. or stability.tw. or interrater.tw. or inter-rater.tw. or intrarater.tw. or intra-rater.tw. or intertester.tw. or inter-tester.tw.

or intratester.tw. or intra-tester.tw. or interobserver.tw. or inter-observer.tw. or intraobserver.tw. or intraobserver.tw. or intertechnician.tw. or inter-technician.tw. or intratechnician.tw. or intra-technician.tw. or interexaminer.tw. or inter-examiner.tw. or intraexaminer.tw. or intra-examiner.tw. or interassay.tw. or inter-assay.tw. or intraassay.tw. or intra-assay.tw. or interindividual.tw. or inter-individual.tw. or intraindividual.tw. or intra-individual.tw. or interparticipant.tw. or inter-participant.tw. or intraparticipant.tw. or intra-participant.tw. or kappa.tw. or kappa*.tw. or kappas.tw. or repeatab*.tw. or ((replicab* or repeated) and (measure or measures or findings or result or results or test or tests)).tw. or concordance.tw. or (intraclass and correlation*).tw. or discriminative.tw. or known group.tw. or factor analysis.tw. or factor analyses.tw. or dimension*.tw. or subscale*.tw. or (multitrait and scaling and (analysis or analyses)).tw. or item discriminant.tw. or interscale correlation*.tw. or error.tw. or errors.tw. or individual variability.tw. or (variability and (analysis or values)).tw. or (uncertainty and (measurement or measuring)).tw. or standard error of measurement.tw. or sensitiv*.tw. or responsive*.tw. or ((minimal or minimally or clinical or clinically) and (important or significant or detectable) and (change or difference)).tw. or (small* and (real or detectable) and (change or difference)).tw. or meaningful change.tw. or ceiling effect.tw. or floor effect.tw. or Item response model.tw. or IRT.tw. or Rasch.tw. or Differential item functioning.tw. or DIF.tw. or computer adaptive testing.tw. or item bank.tw. or cross-cultural equivalence.tw.

49. 39 or 47 or 48
50. 41 and 49
51. (Oxford elbow score or Liverpool Elbow Score or Elbow Self-Assessment Score or Elbow Function Assessment or (American Shoulder and Elbow Surgeons-elbow) or (Modified American Shoulder and Elbow Surgeons) or Mayo Elbow Performance Score or Hospital for Special Surgery score or Hospital for Special Surgery short version or patient-rated elbow evaluation or Patient-Rated Tennis Elbow Evaluation or Elbow Functional Assessment or (Disabilities of the Arm, Shoulder and Hand questionnaire) or subjective elbow value or (Broberg and Morrey) or Ewald).mp. or Pritchard.tw. [mp=ti, ab, ot, nm, hw, kf, px, rx, ui, tn, dm, mf, dv, kw]
52. (OES or LES or ESAS or ASES or ASES-e or MEP or PREE or PRTEE or EFA or DASH or quickDASH).mp. [mp=ti, ab, ot, nm, hw, kf, px, rx, ui, tn, dm, mf, dv, kw]
53. 8 and 52
54. 8 and 50
55. 51 or 53 or 54
56. exp ANIMALS/ not humans.sh.
57. 55 not 56

Appendix 2 : Included reference from systematic search

1. Dawson J, Doll H, Boller I, Fitzpatrick R, Little C, Rees J, et al. The development and validation of a patient-reported questionnaire to assess outcomes of elbow surgery. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 2008;90(4):466-73.
2. Sathyamoorthy P, Kemp G, Rawal A, Rayner V, Frostick S. Development and validation of an elbow score. *Rheumatology.* 2004;43(11):1434-40.
3. Dawson J, Doll H, Boller I, Fitzpatrick R, Little C, Rees J, et al. Comparative responsiveness and minimal change for the Oxford Elbow Score following surgery. *Qual Life Res.* 2008;17(10):1257-67.
4. Dawson J, Doll H, Boller I, Fitzpatrick R, Little C, Rees J, et al. Specificity and responsiveness of patient-reported and clinician-rated outcome measures in the context of elbow surgery, *Orthopaedics & Traumatology: Surgery & Research* 98.6 (2012): 652-658.
5. Poltawski L, Watson T. Measuring clinically important change with the Patient-rated Tennis Elbow Evaluation. *Hand Therapy.* 2011;16(3):52-7.
6. Melikyan EY, Shahin E, Miles J, Bainbridge LC. Extracorporeal shock-wave treatment for tennis elbow. *Bone & Joint Journal.* 2003;85(6):852-5.
7. Dunkow PD, Jatti M, Muddu BN. A comparison of open and percutaneous techniques in the surgical treatment of tennis elbow. *Bone & Joint Journal.* 2004;86(5):701-4.

8. Connell DA, Ali KE, Ahmad M, Lambert S, Corbett S, Curtis M. Ultrasound-guided autologous blood injection for tennis elbow. *Skeletal radiology*. 2006;35(6):371-7.
9. Alizadehkhayat O, Fisher AC, Kemp GJ, Frostick SP. Pain, functional disability, and psychologic status in tennis elbow. *The Clinical Journal of Pain*. 2007;23(6):482-9.
10. Connell D, Datir A, Alyas F, Curtis M. Treatment of lateral epicondylitis using skin-derived tenocyte-like cells. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*. 2009;43(4):293-8.
11. Clarke AW, Ahmad M, Curtis M, Connell DA. Lateral Elbow Tendinopathy Correlation of Ultrasound Findings With Pain and Functional Disability. *The American Journal of Sports Medicine*. 2010;38(6):1209-14.
12. Creaney L, Wallace A, Curtis M, Connell D. Growth factor-based therapies provide additional benefit beyond physical therapy in resistant elbow tendinopathy: a prospective, double-blind, randomised trial of autologous blood injections versus platelet-rich plasma injections. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*. 2011;45(12):966-71.
13. Nazar M, Lipscombe S, Morapudi S, Tuvo G, Kebrle R, Marlow W, et al. Percutaneous tennis elbow release under local anaesthesia. *Open Orthop J*. 2012;6:129-32.
14. Stenhouse G, Sookur P, Watson M. Do blood growth factors offer additional benefit in refractory lateral epicondylitis? A prospective, randomized pilot trial of dry needling as a stand-alone procedure versus dry needling and autologous conditioned plasma. *Skeletal Radiology*. 2013;42(11):1515-20.
15. Maffulli G, Hemmings S, Maffulli N. Assessment of the effectiveness of extracorporeal shock wave therapy (ESWT) for soft tissue injuries (assert): an online database protocol. *Translational medicine@ UniSa*. 2014;10:46.
16. Tonks JH, Pai SK, Murali SR. Steroid injection therapy is the best conservative treatment for lateral epicondylitis: a prospective randomised controlled trial. *International Journal of Clinical Practice*. 2007;61(2):240-6.