APPENDIX B

Table 4. Outcome measures.

Program Name	Measuring Instrument	Outcome Variable	Time Effect Experiment Group	Time Effect Control Group	Program Effect	Reference Study
1.	CBCL	Child externalizing	•	•		Van Zeijl et al., 2006
VIPP-SD		behavior: Overactive	0.39	0.23	0.25	-
		Oppositional	0.26	0.14	0.12	
		Aggressive	0.08	0.11	0.04	
	7 point Rating scales	Maternal sensitivity	0.09	0.08	0.00	
2.	CBCL	Child externalizing	0.34	0.15	0.06	Bakermans-Kranenburg,
VIPP-SD		behavior	0.36	0.26	0.20	van IJzendoorn, Pijlman,
		Overactive	0.33	0.12	0.03	Mesman,
		Oppositional	0.13	0.03	0.01	and Juffer, 2008
		Aggressive				
	Observed task	Maternal positive	0.26	0.22	0.50	
		discipline				
	ICQ	Child temperament	na	na	na ^a	Bakermans-Kranenburg,
	DRD4- genotyping	DNA-samples	na	na	na ^a	van IJzendoorn, Mesman,
	Saliva samples	Cortisol production	na	na	na ^a	Alink, and Juffer, 2008
3.	Rating scales for	Maternal sensitivity	0.14	0.05	0.49	Juffer et al., 2005
Pre-VIPP	sensitivity	Maternal cooperation	0.30	0.11	0.84	
	SSP	Attachment	na	na	0.62	
		(disorganization score)				
4.	Rating scales for	Maternal sensitivity	0.14	0.07	0.42	Juffer et al., 1997
Pre-VIPP	sensitivity cooperation	Maternal cooperation	0.34	0.27	0.87	
	Infant Exploratory Competence	Infant exploration Quality	3.07	1.98	0.35	

LOOMEIMILOC	, ,
(continuea	, ,

Program Name	Measuring Instrument	Outcome Variable	Time Effect Experiment Group	Time Effect Control Group	Program Effect	Reference Study
5. VIPP and	Attachment security score	Attachment	0.10	na	VIPP 0.29	Klein Velderman. Bakermans-Kranenburg,
VIPP-R	Rating Scale for Sensitivity (observation)	Maternal sensitivity	0.46	na	VIPP-R 0.08	Juffer, and van IJzendoorn, 2006
						Klein Velderman, Bakermans-Kranenburg, Juffer, van IJzendoorn, Mangelsdorf, et al., 2006
ó. VIPP-	EAS	Maternal sensitivity Maternal structuring	0.12	0.13	0.01	Poslawsky et al., 2014
AUTI		Maternal non- intrusiveness	0.36	0.22	0.13	
		Child-responsiveness	0.22	0.13	0.04	
		Child-involvement	0.17	0.07	0.02	
	PEQ	Maternal self-efficacy	0.52	0.12	0.45	
	PDH	Daily hassles/strains and stresses	0.04	0.07	0.13	
7.	EAS	Maternal sensitivity	0.55	0.03	0.47	Yagmur et al., 2014
VIPP-TM		Maternal non- intrusiveness	1.29	0.41	0.62	
	Discipline rating scales	Maternal physical discipline	0.79	0.47	0.19	
		Laxness (parental discipline strategies)	0.35	0.53	0.24	
	Supportive presence scale	Maternal supportive presence	0.54	0.47	0.09	

LOOMEIMILOC	, ,
(continuea	, ,

Program Name	Measuring Instrument	Outcome Variable	Time Effect Experiment Group	Time Effect Control Group	Program Effect	Reference Study
8. VIPP	Rating scale for sensitivity	Maternal sensitivity	1.54	0.68	0.79	Kalinauskiene et al., 2009
	Attachment Q-set	Daily hassles (intensity)	0.02	0.28	0.41	
		Daily hassles (frequency)	0.78	0.12	0.80	
		Depression	0.36	0.10	0.28	
		Attachment security	na	na	0.00^{a}	
		Efficacy	na	na	0.31	
€.	EAS	Positive parenting (total	0.46	0.18	0.27	Negrão et al., 2014
VIPP-SD		score)	0.27	0.15	0.27	
		Maternal sensitivity	0.63	0.42	0.56	
	EAS	Non-intrusiveness Positive child behavior (total score)	0.68	0.07	0.51	
	FES	Responsiveness	0.72	0.07	0.52	
		Family relational	0.43	0.50	0.65	
		functioning (total score)				
	Standardized	Cohesion	na	na	na ^a	Pereira et al., 2014
	observations DHQ	Maternal harsh discipline Parenting stress	na	na	na	
10. Pre-VIPP	Rating scale for sensitivity	Maternal sensitivity	na	na	0.91	Bakermans-Kranenburg et al., 1998
	SSP	Attachment security	na	na	na ^a	
1. PALS	Targeted maternal	Maternal sensitivity	na	na	0.49	Landry et al., 2006
	observed behaviors,	Maternal positive affect			0.83	
	rated	Support of infant foci of			0.36	
		attention			0.93	
		Maternal responsiveness			0.79	
		Language input			0.71	
		Labeling of objects			0.63	
						(continue

Program Name	Measuring Instrument	Outcome Variable	Time Effect Experiment Group	Time Effect Control Group	Program Effect	Reference Study
11. PALS		Labeling of actions	1	1	0.39	Landry et al., 2006
(continued)		Child's social cooperation	na	na	na	,
		Maternal harsh voice tone	na	na	na	
		Maternal physical intrusiveness	na	na	na	
12. VF	NCATS	Parent Total Score	0.30	0.34	0.78	Magill-Evans et al., 2007
		Parental sensitivity to cues	0.09	0.57	0.60	
	PSOC	Social-emotional growth fostering	0.04	0.31	0.53	
		Cognitive growth fostering	0.50	0.10	0.70	
		Efficacy	0.40	0.47	0.19	
		Satisfaction	0.02	0.16	0.07	
13. VIP	MDI	Cognitive development	na	na	0.26	Mendelsohn et al., 2007
	CBCL	Total score	na	na	0.31	
		Externalizing			0.19	
		Internalizing			0.09	
	PLS-3	Child language	na	na	0.04	
	PSI	Parenting stress, total score	na	na	0.41	
	Parent-Child Dysfunctional Interaction Subscale Difficult Child Subscale	Parent-child interaction	na	na	0.24	
	StimQ-T	Child behavior	na	na	0.22	
	CES-D	Parenting practices, total score	na	na	0.21	
		Maternal Depression,	na	na	0.17	(continued)

total score

		total score				
(continued)						
Program	Measuring Instrument	Outcome Variable	Time Effect	Time Effect	Program	Reference Study
Name			Experiment	Control	Effect	
14 175	900	A 1	Group	Group	9	3.5
14. VF	SSP	Attachment security	na	na	na ^a	Moran et al., 2005
45 110	MBQS	Maternal sensitivity	na	na	na ^a	D : 1
15. VF	SSP	Attachment	na	na	na ^a	Brisch et al., 2003
16. VF	Conflict Rating Scale	Mealtime conflict-score	na	na	na ^a	Stein et al., 2006
17. IG	Rating scale for sensitivity	Maternal sensitivity	1.29	0.33	0.62	Robert-Tissot et al., 1996
	Crittenden Experimental	Maternal controlling			na	
	Index	behavior				
	KIA/KIDIES Profile	Sleeping			na ^a	
	(observation)	Cooperation			na	
		Behavior			na	
	R-interview	Aggressiveness			na	
		Self esteem	0.16	0.72	0.11	
18. VF	EPDS	Maternal depression	1.69	1.99	0.33	Bilszta et al., 2012
	NPI	Maternal conceptions of infant behavior	0.23	0.35	0.31	
	PSCS	Maternal social support	0.45	0.59	0.07	
	AAS	Attachment style	na	na	na	
9. VF	EAS	Maternal sensitivity	0.39	0.44	0.57	Van Doesum et al., 2008
	Parental sensitivity scale	Maternal non- intrusiveness	0.12	0.20	0.24	
		Maternal structuring	0.59	0.03	0.39	
		Maternal non-hostility	0.00	0.10	0.10	
		Child involvement	0.04	0.58	0.51	
		Child responsiveness	0.26	0.46	0.68	
	ITSEA	Infant social and	J. 2 0	0.70	3.30	
		emotional functioning:				
		Externalizing			0.09	(continue
		2.1.011141121115			0.07	Comm

(continued)		Internalizing			0.30	
		Dysregulation			0.08	
		Competence			0.63	
	BDI	Depression	0.56	0.52	0.17	
Program	Measuring Instrument	Outcome Variable	Time Effect	Time Effect	Program	Reference Study
Name			Experiment	Control	Effect	
			Group	Group		
20. VF	CBCL	Externalizing	na	na	0.03	Moss et al., 2011
		Internalizing			0.12	
	SSP	Child attachment	na	na	na ^a	
	MBQS	Parental sensitivity	na	na	0.49	
21. VF	NCATS	Total Score	0.79	0.06	0.80	Koniak-Griffin et al., 1992
		Mother's subscale	0.79	0.03	1.04	
		Baby's subscale	0.50	0.06	0.06	
		Cognitive growth-	1.22	0.05	0.98	
		fostering subscale				
		Responsiveness to parent subscale	0.57	0.16	0.08	
	SD-Self	Maternal attitudes	0.36	0.21	0.24	
	SD-Baby	Waternar attitudes	1.17	0.21	0.24	
22. VF	CARE-Index	Maternal sensitivity	0.58	0.04	0.70	Svanberg et al., 2010
22. VI	CARE-muex	Child cooperation	0.58	0.08	0.76	Svanderg et al., 2010
		-	0.32	0.20	0.36	
	EPDS	Child compulsive Depressive symptoms			na ^a	
	Self Esteem	Self-esteem	na	na	na ^a	
		Sen-esteem	na	na	па	
	Questionnaire	David alogical distract	***	***	na ^a	
	GHQ-12	Psychological distress	na	na		
	Parenting Stress Index	Stress	na	na	na ^a	
22	Attachment	Attachment	na 2.25	na O 14	na ^a	Wainen et al. 1004
23.	Questionnaire:	Parent-Child Positive	2.25	0.14	0.92	Weiner et al., 1994
VHT/		Communication Index	0.55	0.16	0.42	
Orion		Parent-Child Negative	0.55	0.16	0.43	
		communication Index	0.66	0.20	0.20	
		Child Well-Being Index	0.66	0.38	0.39	(continued)

LOOMEIMILOC	, ,
(continuea	, ,

Program Name	Measuring Instrument	Outcome Variable	Time Effect Experiment Group	Time Effect Control Group	Program Effect	Reference Study
24.	BABY-KIPPPI	Parents feelings about:				Eliëns, 2005
VHT/VIG		Volume of contact	5.14	3.83	0.39	
		Volume of	0.60	1.44	0.08	
		crying/symptom				
		Medical consumption	na	na	1.98	
25. VF	FAARS	Caregivers' relational schemas	na	na	na ^a	Smith et al., 2013
	RACS	Parent and child behavior	na	na	na	
26.	CBCL	Total score	0.63	0.01	0.60	Axberg et al., 2006
Marte Meo		Externalizing symptoms	0.52	0.09	0.28	
		Internalizing symptoms	0.48	0.16	0.60	
	CPRS	Child behavior symptoms	0.54	0.10	0.82	
	TRF	Total score	0.72	na	na ^a	
		Externalizing	0.86	na	na	
		Internalizing	0.14	na	na	
	CTRS	Child behavior problems in school	1.05	na	na	
27. VF	Scales of Infant Development and Ordinal	Development (mental and psychomotor)	na	na	0.72	Seifer et al., 1991
	Scales of Development	Interaction:				
	-	Child disengage	0.21	0.91	0.21	
	Coding system for	Maternal force	0.27	0.18	0.14	
	interactive dimensions	Child fussy	0.69	0.07	0.68	
		Maternal responsive	0.75	0.28	0.58	
		Child responsive	0.30	0.08	0.24	
		Maternal stimulating	0.77	0.36	0.72	
		Child spontaneous	1.05	0.90	0.30	

Program	Measuring Instrument	Outcome Variable	Time Effect	Time Effect	Program	Reference Study
Name			Experiment	Control	Effect	
			Group	Group		
28. IG	AMBIANCE	Total Score	1.13	0.11	1.31	Benoit et al., 2001
modified		Level of disrupted	1.22	0.37	1.17	
		communication				
29. RFI	MBRS	Maternal responsiveness	0.66	1.45	2.33	Kim & Mahoney, 2005
		Maternal affect	1.40	1.18	2.63	
	CBRS	Child persistence	0.18	0.40	0.60	
		Child cooperation	0.12	0.37	0.72	
		Child affect	1.64	0.22	1.00	
		Child joint attention	0.73	0.76	0.08	
		Child initiation	0.99	0.79	0.31	
	PSI	Child temperament	0.19	0.33	1.14	
		Parenting stress related to	0.66	0.23	0.05	
		parent-child relationship				

Note. Numerical data in the publications have been used or recalculated when possible; outcome data is based on pre-test and post-test; na = not available, e.g. numbers were missing or calculating not possible based on information in the publication; ^a = when numerical data was not available, the study is commented on below; as well as abbreviations:

- Study no. 2: The intervention proved to be effective in decreasing daily cortisol production in children having a specific gene set. Maternal insensitivity was associated with externalizing behaviors, but only in presence of the specific gene (Bakermans-Kranenburg, van IJzendoorn, Mesman, Alink, and Juffer, 2008).
- Study no. 8: Concerning attachment security there were no significant difference between the two groups at post-test, but the correlation between parental sensitivity and infant attachment security was strong in the control group as well as in the intervention group (Kalinauskiene et al., 2009).
- Study no. 9: Harsh discipline decreased in the intervention group (not in the control group), only under conditions of higher parenting stress (Pereira et al., 2014).
- Study no. 10: No significant higher percentage of securely attached infants in the intervention group than in the control group (Bakermans-Kranenburg et al., 1998).
- Study no. 14: Mothers participating in the intervention program were more likely than mothers in the comparison group to be in secure attachment relationships with their infants at 12 months. The overall effect was reported to be modest. The data indicated that the intervention was effective in promoting a secure infant-mother attachment relationship only when the mother was not classified as

- unresolved/disoriented on the AAI. The result indicated that the intervention had a positive impact on the quality of mother-infant interactions (Moran et al., 2005).
- Study no. 15: The group differences in the result did not reach a statistical significance between intervention group and a control group concerning attachment representation, but showed a tendency (the control group had a high percentage of children with a secure attachment and the experimental group had a high percentage of infants with a dismissing classification of attachment representations) (Brisch et al., 2003).
- Study no. 16: The experimental group exhibited significantly less mealtime conflicts and greater infant autonomy during mealtimes than the control group (Stein et al., 2006).
- Study no. 17: The results showed that the infants were more cooperative, less compulsive-compliant, less difficult, less unresponsive, and displayed a more positive affect during interaction at post-test (Robert-Tissot et al., 1996).
- Study no. 20: The study presented significant results regarding child attachment security, as well as a reduction in child disorganization (Moss et al., 2011).
- Study no. 22: There were no significant differences between intervention and control group when it comes to maternal depressive symptoms, self-esteem, parenting stress and psychological distress. There was a trend reported towards more secure attachment in the intervention group (Svanberg et al., 2010).
- Study no. 25: The study presents a path-analysis and conclusion is drawn that provides additional (even if weak) support that VF improves outcomes over and above what other interventions provides (Smith et al., 2013).
- Study no. 26: On the TRF a significant reduction was found concerning externalizing behaviour, whereas on the TRF internalizing syndrome scale change was non-significant (Axberg et al., 2006).
- Study no. 28: There were differences between the intervention group and control group concerning decrease in Atypical parental behaviours and decrease in level of Disrupted communication, corresponding to medium and large effect sizes when presented in w² (Benoit et al., 2001).

Explanations of abbreviations, for references we refer to the individual publications:

CBCL Child Behaviour Checklist

ICQ Infant Characteristics Questionnaire

SSP Strange Situation Procedure
EAS Emotional Availability Scales
PEO Parent Efficacy Questionnaire

PDH Parenting Daily Hassles
FES Family Environment Scale
DHQ Daily Hassles Questionnaire

NCATS Nursing Child Assessment Teaching Scale

MDI Mental development Index

PLS-3 Preschool Language Scale PSI Parenting Stress Index

StimQ-T StimQ-Toddler

CES-D Centre for Epidemiologic Studies-Depression Scale

MBQS The Maternal Behaviour Q-set R-Interview Representation Interview

EPDS Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale PSCS Parenting Sense of Competence Scale

AAS Adult Attachment Scale

ITSEA The Infant Toddler Social and Emotional Assessment

BDI Beck Depression Inventory
SD-Self/Baby Myself as Mother and My Baby
GHQ-12 General Health Questionnaire

FAARS Family Affective Attitude Rating Scale

CPRS Connors' Parents Rating Scale
CTRS Connors' Teacher Rating Scale

TRF Teachers Report Form

AMBIANCE Atypical Maternal Behavior Instrument for Assessment and Classification

MBRS Maternal Behavior Rating Scale
PSOC Parenting Sense of Competence
NPI Neonatal Perception Inventory